

# National Judicial Academy

## P-1369: National Workshop for High Court Justices on Constitutional Remedies and Administrative Law 04<sup>th</sup> – 05<sup>th</sup> November, 2023

**Programme Coordinator** : Dr. Amit Mehrotra and Ms. Jaya Rishi

**No. of Participants** : 28

**No. of forms received** : 19

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	<b>91.30</b>	<b>8.70</b>	-	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	<b>91.30</b>	<b>8.70</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	<b>86.96</b>	<b>13.04</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>91.30</b>	<b>8.70</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>86.96</b>	<b>13.04</b>	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>81.82</b>	<b>18.18</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>77.27</b>	<b>22.73</b>	-	12. Excellent material provides NJA.

c. Up to date	<b>81.82</b>	<b>18.18</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>81.82</b>	<b>18.18</b>	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>55.00</b>	<b>30.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	<b>82.61</b>	<b>17.39</b>	-	-
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>76.19</b>	<b>23.81</b>	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>72.73</b>	<b>27.27</b>	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>73.68</b>	<b>21.05</b>	<b>5.27</b>	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	<b>90.87</b>	<b>93.13</b>	<b>71.43</b>	<b>28.57</b>
2	<b>73.91</b>	<b>26.09</b>	<b>71.43</b>	<b>28.57</b>
3	<b>77.27</b>	<b>22.73</b>	<b>64.29</b>	<b>35.71</b>
4	<b>72.73</b>	<b>27.27</b>	<b>71.43</b>	<b>28.57</b>
5	<b>54.55</b>	<b>45.45</b>	<b>64.29</b>	<b>35.71</b>
<b>V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	<b>80.95</b>	<b>19.05</b>	-	12. Regards to Mr. Sumit & Team.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy	<b>80.95</b>	<b>19.05</b>	-	-

in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	85.00	15.00	-	-

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Living Learning Process.</p> <p>2. Deeper reserves needed expanded vision channelized thinking protest.</p> <p>5. Will be useful in dealing with court proceeding.</p> <p>6. Experience of Justice Thakker, Justice Jha and Mr. Sujit us very useful.</p> <p>8. Weaved help in usage of latest position of law in my work. Sharing of experience by the Resource Persons would also be useful to me.</p> <p>11. 1. Programme loving chaired by administration law learning Justice C.K. Thakker. 2. Comprehensive program covering writ jurisdiction. 3. Involvement of lawyers appearing for state and also against it.</p> <p>12. 1. Judges aware of the topics but heaving views of Hon’ble Justice C.K. Thakker in outstanding. 2. Feeling the movements of “curricula”. 3. Enlighten the doctrines under writs.</p> <p>14. Current Judicial decisive are to be Highlighted by discussions.</p> <p>15. 1. Scope of judicial required of legislative and administrative. 2. Constitutional tort and judicial overreach.</p> <p>16. Hard an insight views. The interactive was useful. Hard an apprehending to from the experts.</p> <p>17. Discussion were helpful. Benefitted by the rest experience of the resource persons specially Justice Thakker, good interpretation</p> <p>19. The judge can think about his role in the society within the constitutional limits.</p> <p>20. Power of judicial review and more importations the limitations. Constitutional tart.</p> <p>21. Discussion was insightful. Good retrospection. Benefited by the views expressed by the participants.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>3. Justice Thakker, lucid and simple.</p> <p>5. The lecture of Justice C.K. Thakker.</p> <p>6. Prepared of Mr. Sujit, Justice Pushpendra Singh Bhati was very experience.</p> <p>8. All were useful for this resource opinion earlier.</p> <p>11. As a Judge of the High Court handling writ jurisdictions entire program was very useful.</p> <p>12. <i>Session-2 Judicial Review of Legislative Action: Issues &amp; Challenges. Session-4 Discerning Judicial Activism from Judicial Overreach: Diagnostics &amp; Prescriptions</i> are entirely emphasized by the speaker.</p> <p>14. Judicial reviews.</p> <p>16. <i>Session-1 Writ Jurisdiction - Scope and Limits; Session-2 Judicial Review of Legislative Action: Issues &amp; Challenges; Session-3 Judicial Review of Administrative Action: : Issues &amp; Challenges; Session-4 Discerning Judicial Activism from Judicial Overreach: Diagnostics &amp; Prescriptions</i></p>

	<p>17. <b>Session-2</b> <i>Judicial Review of Legislative Action: Issues &amp; Challenges.</i> <b>Session-4</b> <i>Discerning Judicial Activism from Judicial Overreach: Diagnostics &amp; Prescriptions.</i> Are the most important as they are burning issues.</p> <p>20. <b>Session-1</b> <i>Writ Jurisdiction - Scope and Limits</i> <b>Session-2</b> <i>Judicial Review of Legislative Action: Issues &amp; Challenges.</i> <b>Session-3</b> <i>Judicial Review of Administrative Action: Issues &amp; Challenges</i> <b>Session-4</b> <i>Discerning Judicial Activism from Judicial Overreach: Diagnostics &amp; Prescriptions.</i> <b>Session-5</b> <i>Contours of Constitutional Tort: Evolving Jurisprudence.</i> Sessions was at a tan sent.</p> <p>21. Deliberation by Justice C.K. Thakker and Mr. N. Venkataraman insightful as well as simple.</p> <p>22. The interactive session us especially sessions by Justice C.K. Thakker, Mr. Ghosh and Dr. Justice P.S. Bhati.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>5. Perfect.</p> <p>6. Yes, the Resource Person must be fully prepared with side of the authorities. Adequate time for interactive others</p> <p>17. More can always be scope for improvement.</p> <p>19. Keep up the good work.</p> <p>20. On day 2 session may start at 9.30AM</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>5. Perfect.</p> <p>8. NJA is doing great work. Keep it up.</p> <p>11. This Program should be made compulsory to all newly elevated High Court Judges.</p> <p>12. I required NJA, to conduct such seminar on election Law, particularly duties election petition and law relating to space law.</p> <p>16. Provide relevant material, Judgements which should be practical in addressing day to day functioning in court. And more theoretical sessions. The program must forms in addressing practical issues. By the time the program concludes, the participants must feel that he has learnt something which is not available otherwise.</p> <p>17. An introductory session for 15 minutes should be for the participants.</p> <p>20. Please provided the name of the resource persons in advance.</p> <p>21. An introduction session of the participants may be there at the starting of the session.</p>