

National Judicial Academy

P-1352: National Workshop for High Court Justices on Cyber Law

12th – 13th August, 2023

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Mr. Prasadh Raj Singh

No. of Participants : 35

No. of forms received : 32

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	87.50	12.50	-	27. Extremely useful need more insight as we all are in a transition Phase.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	78.13	18.75	3.12	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	83.87	16.13	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	75.00	25.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	84.38	15.62	-	27. Inputs were meaningful.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	75.00	25.00	-	27. Undoubtedly yes.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	87.50	12.50	-	27. Yes, very informative.
c. Up to date	93.75	6.25	-	27. Well researched.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	83.87	12.90	3.23	27. Information provided by all resource persons was up to date and presented fluidly.

e. Related to International Legal Norms	75.86	24.14	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	90.63	9.37	-	27. Research material is good.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	87.50	12.50	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	84.38	15.62	-	27. Ms. Singh's interactive session were informative.
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	84.38	12.50	3.12	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	93.55	6.45	100.00	-
2	86.67	13.33	94.12	5.88
3	93.33	6.67	100.00	-
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	93.75	6.25	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	100.00	-	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	93.75	6.25	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. To Know about jurisdictional issues in adjudication of cyber-crimes. Determination of parties, place of suing etc. 2. Cyber contract. 3. Conflicting constitutional rights.2. Safe guarding judicial institutions from cyber-attacks.3. Developing law.4. New technology. Helpful. Yet dangerous.5. 1. Idea regarding- Digital Personal Data Protection Bill, 2022. 2. Jurisdiction/ Determination of parties. 3. Right to be forgotten. 4. New-age technologies.6. Information and motivation good opportunity to expose ourselves to learn.7. Possible cyber-attacks. Constitutional mandate.8. 1. Adjudication cyber-crimes. 2. Admissibility of digital evidence. 3. Protection from cyber-attacks.9. 1. Tests to determine jurisdiction. 2. Dealing with new-age technologies. 3. Safe guarding judicial institutions form cyber-attacks.10. Case laws.11. Keeping abreast of latest. What's happening. Keeping pace with time.12. 1. Very good reading material compiled. 2. Well informed and in depth analysis of all subjects. 3. Hon'ble resource persons are well versed with subject and greatly benefitted by their experience as judges.13. Clearing doubts about cyber-crime. Strengthening knowledge with inputs by resource persons. Compilation of precedents on the points involved.16. Innovative, Informative, Updated in the concerned subject.20. Jurisdictional aspects of crimes. Regulation. Admissibility of electronic records & appreciation.21. Concepts of cyber-crimes, Digital forensics contours of section 65-B were clarified.22. Right to be forgotten and electronic / Digital evidence. Digital forensics.23. Cyber hygiene. Android phone to be used sparingly. Evidential proof of electronic evidence.25. 1. Learnings is very effective & gave new insight in thought process. 2. Cyber jurisprudence is well discussed. 3. Big learning about cyber laws.26. The choice of subject were most relevant in today times and I could learn how much I did not know.27. Subject being well researched, learnt some concepts for the first time since its not my domain; Got interested.28. 1. Contemporary topics. 2. Immediate relevance. 3. Discussion on present day issues & solutions thereto.29. The need to delve deeper on the subject so that we can prepare ourselves to render justice in today's cyber eye.
-----------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>30. The use of technology in judiciary is of utmost importance. Hence the risk factor are also unavoidable both were addressed in the programming.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 1: Regulating the Cyber Space: National and International Jurisprudence – Because it provided bird’s eye view of cyber world and evolving jurisprudence. Artificial Intelligence.</p> <p>2. Session 4: Admissibility & Appreciation of Digital Evidence – Justice Prathiba M. Singh very well clearly the Section 65-B in light of Arjun Panditrao Khotkar Vs Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal.</p> <p>4. Entirety as it’s a green field area and emerging challenges.</p> <p>5. Session 1: Regulating the Cyber Space: National and International Jurisprudence; Session 3: Examining Other Potential Adjudicatory Challenges; Session 4: Admissibility & Appreciation of Digital Evidence and Session 5: Safeguarding Judicial Institutions from Cyber-attacks.</p> <p>7. Session 5: Safeguarding Judicial Institutions from Cyber-attacks. The speaker called out in depth on subjects.</p> <p>8. Session 3: Examining Other Potential Adjudicatory Challenges; Session 4: Admissibility & Appreciation of Digital Evidence.</p> <p>9. Safeguarding judicial institutions from cyber-attacks.</p> <p>10. Session 5: Safeguarding Judicial Institutions from Cyber-attacks.</p> <p>13. Reading material. Easy access to library whenever needed.</p> <p>16. Regulation of cyber space and jurisdiction in adjudication of cybercrimes.</p> <p>20. Jurisdictional issues & regulation. Session 1: Regulating the Cyber Space: National and International Jurisprudence; Session 2: Jurisdictional Issues in Adjudication of Cybercrimes.</p> <p>21. Session 4: Admissibility & Appreciation of Digital Evidence – As it had relevance to day to day working.</p> <p>22. Right to be forgotten and electronic / Digital evidence. Digital forensics.</p> <p>24. Effecting institutional expert.</p> <p>25. Entire training programme is well structured & organized the resource persons are excellent and delivered meaningful knowledge.</p> <p>26. All sessions were unique & useful and gave insight into the manner in which I should be approaching some cases pending before me.</p> <p>27. Ms. Singh & Mr. Sundar’s sessions were practical and an insight into the future.</p> <p>28. All sessions had absolute relevance as they covered in sequence.</p> <p>29. Besides the updates on cyber law, the discussion & experiences shared by the participants.</p> <p>30. All were equally important.</p> <p>32. Session 4: Admissibility & Appreciation of Digital Evidence – was most useful. Lesson learnt can be used in day to day court working.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>7. Yes. I feel if we have always the first sessions explaining basics of the subjects and relevant to judges when they handle the subject matter of the theme. The remaining 4 sessions should be based on case studies. As a judge we have to handle different situation which arise out of subject matter. If it is based on the cases studies by providing all sorts of possible situation that would arise in subject themes and</p>

	<p>with possible solution on the different approach call possible approach it will be useful instantly to the judge when they handle the similar situation in the court. I am not expecting the speaker to give solution to the problems but all possible solutions when we approaches the issue in different ways.</p> <p>12. Experts from field should be invited even lawyers who are expert on subject should also be invited.</p> <p>20. The 5th session is more concerned to administration.</p> <p>25. One session needs to have lesser number of sub topics.</p> <p>26. Since the subject is at the nascent stage, it will require changes regularly.</p> <p>27. I don't think so. Its up to date. The research material provided is informative reading.</p> <p>28. For the present, covered all aspects. May need tweaking is future, depending on the challenges as they emerge</p> <p>29. Present modulation is good. However, one can also consider breaking into groups, have group wise discussion and thereafter have a final discussion together led from the chair.</p> <p>30. With the advancement of technology, it has to.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. More programme on cyber laws and data protection is required.</p> <p>2. NJA requested to hold another session "National Workshop on Cyber Law".</p> <p>5. Send the study material to all judges even- if they all nominated to participants in the programme.</p> <p>10. 1. Arranging big screen. 2. More speakers less reading.</p> <p>12. There should be database of judges attending NJA so that every time filling of form can be avoided.</p> <p>16. Sessions be increased.</p> <p>20. 1. Nature of cybercrimes, Nature of electronic of evidence be a little elaborate. 2. a. The security of our data at institutional level. b. The security of data at individual level are to be taken care of.</p> <p>25. Well done NJA.</p> <p>27. None, except boarding (food); rest the programme was up to date and informative.</p> <p>29. The programme schedule & the reading material should be sent/material well in advance.</p> <p>30. There should be more conferences on this subject.</p>