

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(P-1348)

SESSION 1		
Cybercrime – Emerging Trends, Modus & Threats		
1.	Dr. Nishant Chaudhary, <i>Cyber Crime in India: Current Trends</i> , International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science (IJARCMSS) Volume 04, No. 01, January - March, 2021, pp 271-273	1
2.	Abraham D. Sofaer and Seymour E. Goodman, <i>Cyber Crime and Security - The Transnational Dimension</i> , Hoover Press : Cyber DP5 HPCYBE0100 06-25-:1 11:43:01 rev1	4
3.	<i>Darknet Cybercrime Threats to Southeast Asia 2020</i> , United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) This report assesses the Darkweb from user, criminal and law enforcement perspectives with a particular focus on cybercriminality targeted at Southeast Asian countries. Darknets (i.e. networks on the Darkweb) provide the ideal environment for a wide range of criminal activities.	38
4.	Chaz Vidal and Kim-Kwang Raymond Choo, <i>Situational Crime Prevention and the Mitigation of Cloud Computing Threats</i> , LNICST 239, pp. 218–233, 2018	78
5.	Alana Maurushat, Abubakar Bello & Braxton Bragg, <i>Artificial Intelligence Enabled Cyber Fraud: A Detailed Look into Payment Diversion Fraud and Ransomware</i> , 15 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 261-299 (2019)	94
6.	Vasundhara Majithia, <i>The Changing Landscape of Intermediary Liability for E-Commerce Platforms: Emergence of a New Regime</i> , 15 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 470-493 (2019)	124
Rules:		
7.	Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021	140
8.	Case Law: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Manohar Lal Sharma v. Union of India and Others</i>, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 985 ✓ <i>A v. State of Uttar Pradesh and Another</i>, (2020) 10 SCC 505 ✓ <i>Google India Pvt. Ltd. v. Visaka Industries</i>, (2020) 4 SCC 162 ✓ <i>Internet and Mobile Association of India v. Reserve Bank of India</i>, (2020) 10 SCC 274 ✓ <i>Tehseen S. Poonawalla v. Union of India</i>, (2019) 9 SCC 501 ✓ <i>Gagan Harsh Sharma and Another v. State of Maharashtra Through Sr. Police Inspector and Another</i>, (2019) 1 AIR Bom R (Cri) 201 ✓ <i>Videos of Sexual Violence and Recommendations, In Re</i>, (2018) 15 SCC 551 ✓ <i>Christian Louboutin v. Nakul Bajaj</i>, (2018) 253 DLT 728 ✓ <i>Sharat Babu Digumarti v. Government (NCT of Delhi)</i>, (2017) 2 SCC 18 ✓ <i>Myspace Inc. v. Super Cassettes Industries Ltd.</i>, (2017) 236 DLT 478 (DB) ✓ <i>Ramesh Rajagopal v. Devi Polymers Pvt. Ltd.</i>, (2016) 6 SCC 310 ✓ <i>Shreya Singhal v. Union of India</i>, (2015) 5 SCC 1 ✓ <i>Sabu Mathew George v. Union of India</i>, (2015) 11 SCC 545 ✓ <i>Nasscom v. Ajay Sood</i>, (2005) 119 DLT 596 	156 172 179 236 346 370 386 418 445 462 495 502 652 661

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(P-1348)

	✓ <i>Yahoo!, Inc. v. Akash Arora</i> , (1999) 78 DLT 285	665
9.	Additional Recommended Readings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Troy Platts, <i>Cybercrime Trends in 2023 and Beyond</i>, https://nexstor.com/emerging-trends-in-cyber-crime/. ✓ European Commission, Regulation of the European Parliament And Of The Council, Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) And Amending Certain Union Legislative Acts, {SEC(2021) 167 final} - {SWD(2021) 84 final} - {SWD(2021) 85 final} ✓ Eric Jardine, <i>Cyber Security in a Volatile World</i>, in Report on GLOBAL CYBERSPACE IS SAFER THAN YOU THINK: REAL TRENDS IN CYBERCRIME ✓ Joko Dragojlovic, <i>Jurisdiction for Criminal Offenses of Cybercrime – International and National Standards</i>, 40 LAW THEORY & PRAC. 63 (2023). ✓ Soumyo D. Moitra, <i>Developing Policies for Cybercrime: Some Empirical Issues</i>, 13 EUR.J. CRIME CRIM. L. & CRIM. Just. 435 (2005). 	
SESSION 2 Jurisdictional Issues in Adjudication of Cybercrimes		
1.	Dr. Justice S. Muralidhar, <i>Jurisdictional Issues in Cyberspace</i> , 6 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 1-42 (2010)	672
2.	Alexandra Perloff-Giles, <i>Transnational Cyber Offenses: Overcoming, Jurisdictional Challenges</i> , 43 YALE J. INT'L L. 191 (2018).	715
3.	Justice Surya Kant, <i>Cyber Space And Jurisdictional Concerns</i> , Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review	757
4.	Jyoti Rattan, <i>Cyber Jurisdiction : A Seamy Side of Cyber Sovereignty with Special Reference to India</i> , 5(1) GNLU Law Review 52-69 (2018)	762
5.	Mudassir Nazir, <i>Jurisdiction in Cyber Space: Issues and Challenge's</i> , 9 CPJ Law Journal 198-223 (2019)	775
6.	Julia Hörnle, <i>The Conundrum of Internet Jurisdiction and How US Law has Influenced the Jurisdiction Analysis in India</i> , 14 Indian Journal of Law and Technology 183-211 (2018)	795
7.	Jan Kleijssen & Pierluigi Perri, <i>Cybercrime, Evidence and Territoriality: Issues and Options</i> , M. Kuijer and W. Werner (eds.), Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 2016, Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 47	819
8.	Case Law: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Tata Sons Private Limited v. Hakunamatata Tata Founders</i> CS(COMM) 316/2021 & I.A.8000/2021 ✓ <i>Swami Ramdev and Another v. Facebook, Inc.</i> 2019 SCC OnLine Del 10701 ✓ <i>Impresario Entertainment & Hospitality Pvt. Ltd. v. S & D Hospitality</i>, (2018) 246 DLT 337 ✓ <i>World Wrestling Entertainment Inc v. M/s. Reshma Collection</i>, (2017) 237 DLT 197 ✓ <i>Lalitha Lakshaman v. CBI</i> 2017 SCC OnLine Del 6435 ✓ <i>Thota Venkateswarlu v. State of Andhra Pradesh</i> (2011) 9 SCC 527 	846 868 903 913 926 936

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(P-1348)

	✓ <i>Banyan Tree Holding (P) Limited v. A. Murali Krishna Reddy</i> , (2010) 42 PTC 361	941
	✓ <i>India TV) Independent News Service Pvt. Ltd. v. India Broadcast Live LLC</i> , ILR (2007) II Delhi 1231	964
	✓ <i>Om Hemranjani v. State of U.P</i> (2005) 1 SCC 617	1001
	✓ <i>SIL Import, USA v. Exim Aides Silk Exporters, Bangalore</i> , (1999) 4 SCC 567	1008
	✓ <i>Ajay Aggarwal v. Union of India</i> (1993) 3 SCC 609	1015
	Judgments of US Supreme Court on Jurisdiction:	1034
	✓ <i>Rose v. Himely</i> 8 U.S. 241 (1808)	1086
	✓ <i>Hudson & Smith v. Guestie</i> 10 U.S. 281 (1810)	1092
	✓ <i>American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co</i> 213 U.S. 347 (1909)	1105
	✓ <i>Zippo Manufacturing Company v. Zippo Dot Com, Inc</i> 952 F. Supp. 1119	1110
	✓ <i>Amway Corp. v. The Procter & Gamble Co.</i> 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 372 (W.D. Mich. 2000)	1113
	✓ <i>Young v. New Haven Advocate</i> 315 F.3d 256 (4th Cir. 2002), cert. denied, 538 U.S. 1035 (2003)	1118
	✓ <i>Boschetto v. Hansing</i> 539 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 555 U.S. 1171 (2009)	1124
	✓ <i>Tamburo v. Dworkin</i> 601 F.3d 693 (7th Cir.), cert. denied, 131 S. Ct. 567 (2010)	1131
9.	Additional Recommended Readings:	
	✓ Elisabeth Bernabe, <i>A Central Counterterrorism Coalition: An Analysis of Intelligence Sharing and the Challenges it Faces in the European Union</i> , 32 MINN. J. INT'L L. 241(2023)	
	✓ Rebecca Wexler, <i>Life, Liberty, and Data Privacy: The Global CLOUD, the Criminally Accused, and Executive versus Judicial Compulsory Process Powers</i> , 101 TEX. L. REV.1341 (2023)	
	✓ Cedric Ryngaert, <i>Extraterritorial Enforcement Jurisdiction in Cyberspace: Normative Shifts</i> , 24 GERMAN L.J. 537 (2023)	
	✓ David Toth & Zsolt Gaspar, <i>Jurisdictional Challenges of Cybercrime</i> , 2020 J. E.-EUR. CRIM. L. 101 (2020).	
SESSION 3		
Safeguarding Judicial Institutions from Cyber-attacks: Cyber Security and Data Protection		
1.	<i>Cyber Security Basics for Courts</i> , Prepared by JTC Resource Bulletin - December, 2019	1131
2.	<i>Data Governance Policy Guide</i> , National Center for State Courts, December 2019	1172
3.	CPEJ Report, <i>Toolkit for supporting the implementation of the Guidelines on how to drive change towards Cyberjustice</i> , Strasbourg 14 June 2019, CEPEJ (2019) 7	1210
4.	<i>Data Protection & Cybersecurity</i> , Prepared by Law and Practice contributed by ANA Law Group – April, 2019	1257
5.	Brian J. McLaughlin, <i>Cybersecurity: Protecting Court Data Assets 2018 Trends in State Courts</i> 67-72 (2018)	1274

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(P-1348)

6.	Logan Finucan, Erika Barros Sierra, et.al., <i>Smart Courts: Roadmap for Digital Transformation of Justice in Africa</i> , 29 June 2018	1280
7.	Case Law <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Swapnil Tripathi v. Supreme Court of India</i>, (2018) 10 SCC 639 ✓ <i>Pradyuman Bisht v. Union of India</i>, (2018) 15 SCC 433 ✓ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Praful B. Dasai</i>, (2003) 4 SCC 601 	1306 1320 1325
8.	Additional Recommended Readings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Wang Rui, <i>ASEAN Cybersecurity Policy and China-ASEAN Cooperation</i>, 98 CHINA INT'L Stud. 55 (2023) ✓ Dafina Bucaj, <i>The Obligation to Prevent Transboundary Cyber Harm: Expand the Regulatory Regime or Continue Deflecting Responsibility</i>, 54 GEO. Wash. INT'L L. REV.219 (2022) ✓ Anna Dmitrieva, Shadi Alshdaifat & Pavel Pastukhov, <i>The Features of the Use of Information Technologies in Criminal Proceedings in the BRICS Countries</i>, 10 BRICS L.J. 88 (2023). 	
SESSION 4		
Admissibility and Appreciation of Electronic Evidence		
1.	Dr. Justice S. Muralidhar, <i>Compilation of Judgments on Electronic Evidence</i> Workshop of Additional District Judges, National Judicial Academy, Bhopal-August 18, 2018	1342
2.	Justice Kurian Joseph, <i>Admissibility of Electronic Evidence</i> , (2016) 5 SCC J-1	1381
3.	Justice Raja Vijayaraghavan, <i>Electronic Evidence</i> , Workshop on Adjudicating Terrorism Cases National Judicial Academy, Bhopal-January 24, 2021	1388
4.	Dr. Swati Mehta, <i>Cyber Forensics and Admissibility of Digital Evidence</i> , (2011) 5 SCC J-54	1405
5.	Orin S. Kerr, <i>Searches and Seizures in a Digital World</i> , 119 Harvard Law Review 531-585 (2005)	1423
6.	<i>Standard Operating Procedures for the Collection, Analysis and Presentation of Electronic Evidence</i> , Prepared by Cybercrime Programme Office of the Council of Europe (C-PROC) – 12 th September 2019	1478
7.	Case Law: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal</i>, (2020) 7 SCC 1 ✓ <i>P. Gopalkrishnan v. State of Kerala.</i>, (2020) 9 SCC 161 ✓ <i>Virendra Khanna v. State of Karnataka.</i> W.P. No. 11759/2020 (GM-res) ✓ <i>State by Karnataka Lokayukta, Police Station, Bengaluru v. M.R. Hiremath</i>, (2019) 7 SCC 515 ✓ <i>Shamsher Singh Verma v. State of Haryana</i>, (2016) 15 SCC 485 ✓ <i>Sanjaysinh Ramrao v. Dattatray Gulabrao Phalke</i>, (2015) 3 SCC 123 ✓ <i>Tomaso Bruno v. State of Uttar Pradesh</i> (2015) 7 SCC 178 ✓ <i>Anvar v. P.K. Basheer</i>, (2014) 10 SCC 473 ✓ <i>Gajraj v. State (NCT of Delhi)</i>, (2011) 10 SCC 675 <i>Tukaram S. Dighole v. Manikrao Shivaji Kokali</i>, AIR 2010 SC 965 	1524 1610 1647 1785 1797 1802 1817 1837 1855 1862

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(P-1348)

SESSION 5		
Scientific Evidence and Expert Testimony		
1.	Deepali Sharma, <i>Admissibility of Genetic Evidence in Indian Courts</i> , Admissibility of Genetic Evidence in Indian Courts, Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review	1873
2.	David L. Faigman, Christopher Slobogin, and John Monahan, <i>Gatekeeping Science: Using the Structure of Scientific Research to Distinguish between Admissibility and Weight in Expert Testimony</i> , 110 Nw. U. L. Rev. 859 (2016).	1876
3.	William Smart Inyang and Gabriel Femi Goodwil, <i>Forensic Evidence: How Does Admissibility Influence Weight in the Law of Evidence?</i> , International Journal of Business, Economics and Law, Vol. 21, Issue 5 (April) 2020	1923
4.	Dr. Umamaheswari. D, <i>Medico-Legal Aspects in the Admissibility of Scientific Evidence</i> , IJISSET – International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology, Vol. 1 Issue 8, October 2014	1936
5.	Evan Bell, <i>Judicial Assessment of Expert Evidence</i> , Judicial Studies Institute Journal 2010	1941
6.	Tai Golan, <i>Revisiting the History of Scientific Expert Testimony</i> , 73 Brook. L. Rev. (2008).	1983
	Case Law:	
	✓ <i>Darbar Singh v. State of Punjab</i> (2012) 10 SCC 476	2048
	✓ <i>Rohit Shekhar v. Narayan Dutt Tiwari</i> (2012) 12 SCC 554	2057
	✓ <i>Selvi v. State of Karnataka</i> (2010) 7 SCC 263	2071
	✓ <i>Malappa Sidappa Alakumar v. State of Karnataka</i> AIR 2009 SC 2959	2181
	✓ <i>Ram Swaroop v. State of Rajasthan</i> AIR 2008 SC 1747	2192
	✓ <i>State of Madhya Pradesh v. Sanjay Rai</i> , AIR 2004 SC 2174	2195
	✓ <i>State of U.P. v. Ram Sewak</i> (2003) 2 SCC 161	2204
	✓ <i>Alamgir v. State (N.C.T. Delhi)</i> AIR 2003 SC 282	2212
	✓ <i>Keshavlal v. State of M.P.</i> , AIR 2002 SC 1221	2225
	✓ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Damu Gopinath</i> AIR 2000 SC 1691	2229
	✓ <i>Ammini v. State</i> , AIR 1998 SC 260	2245
	✓ <i>T.P. Divetia v. State of Gujrat</i> AIR 1997 SC 2193	2259
	✓ <i>Prem v. Daula</i> , AIR 1997 SC 719	2287
	✓ <i>State (CBI) v. S.J. Choudhary</i> 1996 2 SCC 428	2292
	✓ <i>Nilabati Behra v. State</i> , AIR 1993 SC 1960	2300
	✓ <i>Mahmood v. State of U.P</i> AIR 1976 SC 69	2321
	✓ <i>Fakhruddin v. State of M.P</i> AIR 1967 SC 1326	2326
Guidelines/Rules/Bill (Suggestive Reading)		
1.	<i>The Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011</i>	
2.	<i>The Information Technology (Guidelines for Cyber Cafe) Rules, 2011</i>	
3.	<i>Budapest Convention on Cybercrime, 2001</i>	
4.	<i>Newsletter Reports for P-1271, 1273, 1295, 1346 (Available at NJA Website)</i>	