

## National Judicial Academy

**SE-27: National Seminar for Presiding Officers of Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT)**

21<sup>st</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2023

**Programme Coordinator** : Ms. Paiker Nasir and Ms. Jaya Rishi

**No. of Participants** : 34

**No. of forms received** : 28

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	<b>92.86</b>	<b>7.14</b>	-	10. Objective of programme very fruitful.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	<b>89.29</b>	<b>10.71</b>	-	10. Objective of programme very fruitful.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	10. Will implement the fruitful information received in seminar.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	10. Gather lot of knowledge.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>69.23</b>	<b>30.77</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>78.57</b>	<b>21.43</b>	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>60.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>54.55</b>	<b>27.27</b>	<b>18.18</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	10. Structure of the programme was logical.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>88.46</b>	<b>11.54</b>	-	10. Structure of the programme was logical. 20. Very relevant.
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>92.59</b>	<b>7.41</b>	-	10. Structure of the programme was logical. 20. Very useful.
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	-	10. Structure of the programme was logical. 20. Very beneficial.
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	<b>88.46</b>	<b>11.54</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
2	<b>92.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>94.74</b>	<b>5.26</b>
3	<b>92.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
4	<b>88.00</b>	<b>12.00</b>	<b>94.44</b>	<b>5.56</b>
5	<b>92.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>94.44</b>	<b>5.56</b>
<b>V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	14. Completely relevant.
b. The content was updated. It	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	20. Yes updated.

reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>92.86</b>	<b>7.14</b>	-	-

### VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. To refresh the relevant field of law.</p> <p>2. I feel best practices shall be adopted by various DRT's. Study material is also useful.</p> <p>3. 1. We have come across best practices adopted in various DRT's. 2. Recent developments in the field were made available. 3. Study material provided to use is very useful.</p> <p>4. Inspired, motivated and root achievement.</p> <p>5. Role &amp; responsibilities of DRT. Procedural issues &amp; challenges judicial discretion.</p> <p>6. 1. Problems and ways of redressing them. 2. Working under advance condition. 3. Though following prudent norms of judgement writing it further enlightened me.</p> <p>8. Management, Speech and fact.</p> <p>10. 1. Programme was very useful in delivery the administration of justice in DRT. 2. Programme was very logical. 3. Very effective for PO's DRT.</p> <p>12. Case management and judgement writing.</p> <p>17. Study material is exhaustive got another view on recent changes in law.</p> <p>19. This programme is useful for disposal of O.A. S.A. cases is useful for recovery matters.</p> <p>20. 1. Deliberation on S.A. 2. Time management. 3. Judgement writing skill.</p> <p>21. 1. Know of deal with Advocates for expeditious disposal of cases. 2. Know how to write effective judgement. 3. Effective measures taken by Banks/FI &amp; how to interpret to do justice.</p> <p>22. Interactive.</p> <p>23. 1. Judgement writing. 2. Case management. 3. Court management.</p> <p>24. The art of writing judgement session was wonderful.</p> <p>26. Application of legal knowledge.</p> <p>28. Overview of the relevant provisions of the act is relation to decided case laws-revisit to important provisions, recap of judicial scare.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. The entire part.</p> <p>2. Practical difficulties discussed.</p> <p>3. Practical difficulties were discussed.</p>

	<p>4. Art, craft and science of drafting judgements/orders. There can be no good judgements/orders without struggle.</p> <p>5. Case management.</p> <p>6. Judgement writing. The ingredients to be considered therefor.</p> <p>7. First two sessions of the programme were useful.</p> <p>8. Lecture part.</p> <p>9. <i>Session 4: Case Management: Improving Efficiency &amp; Efficacy of DRT and Session 5: Judicial Discretion; and the Art, Craft and Science of Drafting Judgments/Orders.</i></p> <p>12. Judgement writing.</p> <p>13. New parts for judgement writing.</p> <p>14. Case management &amp; Judicial discretion &amp; art of drafting.</p> <p>17. <i>Session 1: Recovery of Debts and Bankruptcy Act, 1993 (RDB): Genesis &amp; Overview; Session 3: Procedural Issues and Challenges faced by Debt Recovery Tribunals; Session 4: Case Management: Improving Efficiency &amp; Efficacy of DRT and Session 5: Judicial Discretion; and the Art, Craft and Science of Drafting Judgments/Orders.</i></p> <p>19. All programmes are useful.</p> <p>20. Case laws as well as judgement writing skill.</p> <p>23. Judgement writing.</p> <p>24. The last session.</p> <p>25. Case management. Skill of judgement writing.</p> <p>26. In the art of writing final orders.</p> <p>27. Mr. Sanjay Bhatt lectures.</p> <p>28. <i>Session 1: Recovery of Debts and Bankruptcy Act, 1993 (RDB): Genesis &amp; Overview; Session 2: Role and Responsibilities of DRT post SARFAESI Act. Session 3: Procedural Issues and Challenges faced by Debt Recovery Tribunals; Session 4: Case Management: Improving Efficiency &amp; Efficacy of DRT and Session 5: Judicial Discretion; and the Art, Craft and Science of Drafting Judgments/Orders.</i></p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>1. Appears to be good.</p> <p>2. It should be frequent &amp; for longer period.</p> <p>3. It should be frequent &amp; for longer period.</p> <p>4. To some extent, more topics be discussed in future programme.</p> <p>6. To some extent, to cover overall problems.</p> <p>8. Yes up to concern.</p> <p>12. Of course.</p> <p>13. Duration is very short.</p> <p>17. More enlighten should be on case law on SARFAESI Act.</p> <p>20. It requires time to time organization.</p> <p>21. Yes. Programme be for 7 days.</p> <p>27. More elaborations are required.</p>

	28. Not to undermine the effects could have better information.
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>3. The DRAT chairpersons should also participate to share the views &amp; expectations.</p> <p>4. Such programme should be conducted on yearly basis.</p> <p>6. Arrange such workshop at regular intervals.</p> <p>9. Seminar has to be once in 6 months.</p> <p>10. Regular programme for DRT's should be conducted on periodical basis.</p> <p>12. By repeating these programmes by making them more interactive.</p> <p>13. Duration may be at least once week.</p> <p>20. Please organize again and opportunity may give to more discussion.</p> <p>22. Frequent. Comprehensive system should be developed.</p> <p>23. Regular training in future.</p> <p>24. Sure, try to have online programmes.</p> <p>26. Some last orders of DRT's as observed by NJA research team way be shared.</p> <p>28. A very good organization for legal fraternity.</p>