

## National Judicial Academy

**P-1345: South Zone-II: Regional Conference on Contemporary Judicial Developments and  
Strengthening Justice Through Law & Technology  
25<sup>th</sup> – 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2022**

**Programme Coordinator** : Prof. S.P Srivastava and Mr. Krishna Sisodia

**No. of Participants** : 162

**No. of forms received** : 71

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	<b>95.65</b>	<b>4.35</b>	-	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	<b>83.82</b>	<b>16.18</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	<b>92.65</b>	<b>7.35</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>91.04</b>	<b>8.96</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>79.41</b>	<b>20.59</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>85.51</b>	<b>14.49</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>85.29</b>	<b>14.71</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>86.57</b>	<b>13.43</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>83.82</b>	<b>16.18</b>	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>56.72</b>	<b>41.79</b>	<b>1.49</b>	-

<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	53. Very meticulously framed & excellent.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	<b>56.67</b>	<b>41.67</b>	<b>1.66</b>	39. No group discussion 39. Group discussion not made. 71. Due to paucity of time.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	<b>79.10</b>	<b>20.90</b>	-	39. Not provided.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>56.25</b>	<b>43.75</b>	-	35. Since the questions are not put through mice many of the questions did not reach the other participants. 71. Due to paucity of time.
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	<b>59.62</b>	<b>40.38</b>	-	-
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>76.19</b>	<b>23.81</b>	-	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	<b>Effective and Useful (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Effective and Useful (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>
1	<b>89.55</b>	<b>10.45</b>	<b>98.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>
2	<b>90.91</b>	<b>9.09</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>
3	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>	<b>92.00</b>	<b>8.00</b>
4	<b>90.63</b>	<b>9.38</b>	<b>93.75</b>	<b>6.25</b>
5	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>	<b>97.83</b>	<b>2.17</b>
<b>V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	<b>96.92</b>	<b>3.08</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected	<b>93.85</b>	<b>6.15</b>	-	-

recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>95.38</b>	<b>4.62</b>	-	-

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. Meta data information; 2. Group discussions. 3. Interactive session.</p> <p>2. Contemporary trends. Mr. N. Venkataraman's presentation is excellent with illustrations. Mr. Divan on cooperative federalism etc.</p> <p>3. 1. Conference of this kind are necessary to update myself on various aspects which is not possible in regular work. 2. Deeply benefited with the session of use of technology.</p> <p>4. This kind of regional conference will enhance the conventions followed by the neighbouring states.</p> <p>5. 1. Judicial limits and Art. 21 (Prohibition). 2. Reverse burden of proof. 3. Judicial system – Technology.</p> <p>6. 1. Reverse burden of proof in Session-3. 2. Persuasive versus binding effect of judgements in Session-2. 3. Judiciary and Media: Need for Balance.</p> <p>9. All the sessions are very interactive and interesting. The respectful resource persons explained the subjects very well with good examples. Overall the subjects are very useful to us.</p> <p>10. All the resource persons with their vast and practical approach dealt their respective session's especially contemporary trends in constitutional law, precedential values of high court research and development in criminal law. The sessions are interactive and live. The lecture in Artificial intelligence is thought provoking.</p> <p>11. 1. Enriched with the presentation of various experts. 2. Thought provoking. 3. How to use precedent.</p> <p>13. 1. The topics are very useful. 2. Great opportunity to listen to Hon'ble Justice and resource persons. 3. Discussions are useful.</p> <p>14. Technology part and e-courts session.</p> <p>15. 1. Great opportunity to listen great legal luminaries. 2. Thought provoking discussion. 3. Apt subjects for officers.</p> <p>16. Artificial intelligence. Deep fake. Meta verse.</p> <p>17. 1. Motivated us to use technology and to make life easy. 2. How to receive criticism and how to react to the criticism. 3. Opportunity to introspect.</p> <p>18. 1. Precedents. 2. Prevention of money laundry Act. 3. Technology in practice.</p> <p>19. 1. Binding effect of judgements. 2. PMLA Act. 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>20. All the programmes.</p> <p>21. 1. Contemporary trends in constitutional law with recent judicial developments. 2. Bail jurisprudence &amp; Burden of proof. 3. 65B Electronic evidence.</p>

22. Recent & relevant matters have been discussed which enable us to discharge our duties. Learnt unlearned a relevant the new concepts of law. Developments of technology & law.

23. Met legal luminaries & they shared their thoughts on recent & relevant subjects.

24. 1. Enlightened about recent judicial development. 2. Binding effect of judgment. 3. Electronic evidence.

25. Change through technology into our judicial system. Learn to ignore criticism. Smart work through effective use of technology.

26. Electronic evidence. Reverse burden of proof. Future technology.

27. Importance of e-court. Artificial intelligence. Maintenance of federalism.

28. Role of AI in judiciary.

31. 1. Learnt to take criticism as a chance for introspection. 2. Need to keep oneself updated with latest advancements in technology. 3. Advantages of going paperless.

32. I gained a lot. Programme was very effective and fruitful.

33. 1. E-courts project. 2. Judicial governance. 3. Developments in criminal law.

34. I could update myself about the recent developments in various laws.

35. I am taught about the importance of showing magnanimity, while reacting to criticism. The session taught be as to the importance of maintaining the parameters of freedom.

36. Got on enhanced perspective on: (a) Precedents. (b) Appreciate of evidence where there is reverse burden. (c) Appreciation of technology in judicial process.

37. 1. Very helpful to clarify many doubts regarding practical application of subjects dealt with. 2. Confidence to adapt technology. 3. Able to connect with skilled professionals.

38. 1. Updation of knowledge in the relevant area. 2. Interaction with officer of other state.

39. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.*

40. 1. What extent precedents are useful and where it can't be used. 2. Imparting of digital programme is good.

41. 1. Sensitization of constitutional values. 2. Updation of new provisions. 3. Effectively conveyed the importance of electronic records.

43. 1. Competitive and cooperative federalism. 2. Binding nature of judicial precedents. 3. Reverse burden of proof – scope. 4. Artificial Intelligence.

44. Reverse burden. Artificial intelligence- using in judicial system. Use of technology in courts.

45. 1. Contemporary trends in constitutional law. 2. Binding precedents- guiding rule of disagreements. 3. Technology in judiciary.

46. 1. Use of technology in court work. 2. How to appreciate reverse burden of proof. 3. Right to freedom of speech.

47. 1. Co-operative federalism; 2. Judicial diplomacy. 3. Using of technology in future to strengthening the function of judiciary.

48. E-courts and contemporary working of courts.

49. Very useful in day to day work.

	<p>51. Binding effects of judgments and their persuasive value. E-courts. Electronic guidance.</p> <p>52. Had opportunity to hear from distinguished resource person as aspects which will be useful in discharging our duties. Latest updates on subjects discussed cleared the doubts.</p> <p>53. Lectures of Hon’ble Justice A.S. Oka, Justice G. Raghuram, Justice S. Nagamuthu was excellent.</p> <p>54. 1. Good exposure to constitutional law and recent judicial developments. 2. Awareness and exposure to intricacies and practical aspects in PMLA reverse burden of proof. 3. Over view of e-courts project.</p> <p>55. Precedents. Presumptions bail jurisprudence.</p> <p>56. Latest trends are learnt. Very informative.</p> <p>57. Discussion on latest trends.</p> <p>59. 1. Updated on latest developments in law. 2. Was more practical. 3. Speakers from their concerned field were selected apart from judicial officers.</p> <p>60. 1. Learnt about artificial intelligence. 2. Reverse burden of proof, shifting of onus. 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>62. The subject experts should be asked to start the sessions with some basics because not all participants know much about the subject the expert is speaking about.</p> <p>63. Will help me to manage paperless court.</p> <p>64. 1. Exposure to new information. 2. Practical solution for some of the common issues. 3. Got acquainted with new technological advancements.</p> <p>65. About e-court project.</p> <p>66. It is a learning process, updating the knowledge by each one of us, which would aid for deciding cases particularly validity of legislature, subordinate legislature is challenged.</p> <p>67. 1. Contemporary trends in constitutional law- recent judicial developments. 2. Precedential value of high court judgements. 3. Overview of e-court project.</p> <p>68. E-learning.</p> <p>69. <b>Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.</b></p> <p>71. Destination in proceed PMLA case and predicate offence.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Sharing of computers knowledge it is required for the future.</p> <p>2. <b>Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments. Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments. Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance</b> – They guided well.</p> <p>4. Very useful informative.</p> <p>6. Technology in dispensation of justice.</p> <p>9. Precedential value of high court judgments and contemporary trends in constitutional law and recent judicial developments and all other subjects taught in two days sessions are very useful to our day to day discharging duties.</p>

10. In all the sessions the relevant topics chosen and the way the resource persons dealt the topics in a such a manner as how we can apply the subject dealt in day in day out while discharging the duties as a judicial officer. The last day session on future technology for effective judicial governance is really mind blowing and thought provoking.
11. Every programme has it's own importance for us.
12. Artificial intelligence.
13. 1. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project**- is most useful, learnt a lot. 2. Overall sessions are good for day to day discharge of work. 3. Interactive sessions are very useful to clear practical problems.
14. "E-court."
15. The lectures are in simplified language. Easy to understand. Provided opportunity to participate in discussions.
16. Presentation by Justice S. Nagamuthu. Presentation by Mr. Harold D'Costa.
17. Use of technology. Mind opening thoughts.
18. Technology in judiciary.
19. Electronic evidence.
21. Overall subjects, The subject matter of the programme relevant to day to day work particularly with regard to new learning, so also international norms as well as constitutional vision of justice & also in respect of technology & judicial developments i.e. C.I.S. innovations.
22. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments. Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments** - because of speakers and clarity in their thoughts.
23. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments. Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance** – Because of the speakers & clarity in their thoughts.
24. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance** – In our day to day work we need to use the technology, so.
26. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – useful in day to day court.
27. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**
28. About AI because the same can reduce the workload to a great extent.
29. All parts were useful.
31. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance** – Because the courts cannot lag behind when the world is going completely digital.
32. Every sessions were effective. I gained a lot from all the sessions.
33. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.**
34. All sessions made me feel good and useful. Because of the expertise of the speakers.
35. All sessions were useful. I am enlightened about the limitations of PMLA.

36. Use of technology.
37. The challenges in integrating AI to judicial governance.
38. Contemporary trends in constitutional law- favorite subject.
39. Entire programme is very useful.
40. Justice S. Nagamuthu.
41. Classes on reversal of burden.
43. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – Reverse burden of proof- Practical aspects.
44. Emerging future technology for effective judicial governance.
45. CIS- court case management is an eye opener. Binding precedents- the principles thereof.
46. Technology to be used in court proceedings. Which can make Indian courts transparent and paperless.
47. Emerging and future technology for effective judicial governance. This will be useful to understand the technology in better manner and to fulfill the requirements of the society.
48. E-courts, AI and present sessions.
49. E-courts project. To make paperless court.
50. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**
51. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.**
52. All the sessions. Since the listed subject are latest topics in news and discussion.
54. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**
55. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – Drawing of presumptions under NDPS, POCSO, PC Act.
56. Entire programme is useful.
58. The programme on 1. Precedent value of high court judgments. 2. Development in criminal law and over view of e-courts.
59. All the sessions were most useful.
62. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.**
63. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project.**
64. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – Got the opportunity to know more about the PMLA Act. Sec. 65B of evidence Act.
65. Future technology regarding judicial governance.
66. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments. Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.**
67. Overview of e-courts project.
68. E-learning.
69. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project-** Helped in knowing how to digitalize my court work.
70. Discussion on PMLA and emerging technology.

	71. Analysis of Madanlal Choudhary case by Shri Luthra.
3. Does the programme need further modulations or change	<p>3. Need frequent conferences of this kind to update ourselves.</p> <p>13. Well designed and well organized programme.</p> <p>15. Provide separate slot for discussions. Provide soft material to officers before the programme.</p> <p>16. Should include practical problems &amp; their solutions.</p> <p>17. May require to some extent.</p> <p>18. More time for discussion during interactive sessions.</p> <p>31. The programme will be more interesting if more time is given for interaction.</p> <p>39. There is time constraint.</p> <p>43. To be more interactive.</p> <p>46. Practical training in e-court. The expertise from Delhi High Court may sensitize all other courts about e-court.</p> <p>48. This pattern is good.</p> <p>49. More computer related programmes.</p> <p>53. All topics were excellent. No need to change.</p> <p>54. Programme should be in the form of practical oriented problems analysis &amp; solution based and should be interactive.</p> <p>56. Time for interaction has to be increased.</p> <p>57. Needs more session on topics.</p> <p>59. The material can be supplied few days before the conference.</p> <p>62. I generally feel that interactive sessions should be given more importance. What I find is that by the time the session is complete, there is no time for interactive sessions.</p> <p>66. Need to have collective discussion on the topic.</p> <p>71. Time schedule may be extended for interaction sessions.</p>
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>3. Kindly keep us updated by brief sessions with regard to technological developments.</p> <p>5. Can be extended for one more day.</p> <p>11. If the NJA continues with such programme periodically, everyone will be benefited by various experts.</p> <p>12. Everything is excellent &amp; no suggestion needed.</p> <p>13. Very well designed programme, kindly arrange programmes frequently in order to nourish the knowledge of judicial officers.</p> <p>14. The recorded version of these kind of programme is to be uploaded in the official website of NJA so that the other stakeholder may get benefit.</p> <p>15. Conduct this kind of programme frequently provide opportunity to all officers to participant in the programme.</p> <p>17. I suffered too chill in the auditorium as AC. Temperature was very high. Coffee/ Tea service not in time. We have to make big queue.</p> <p>18. Law with other disciplines (Sociology, History, Medicine, Science etc.)</p> <p>19. More group discussion is required.</p>



22. More subject to be included.
23. More subjects be included.
24. Please concentrate on cyber-crime & law which is important in future.
27. I would like to suggest NJA to organize a e-court visit to Hon'ble Delhi High Court from all the state with a team of civil judge (Jr. Div.). Civil judge (Sr. Div.), PDJ and that the judicial officer can observe the functioning of e-courts so that it can be followed by all the judicial officers of the respective states.
29. Instead of dealing with many subjects allotting short period of time, subject can be studied in more depth, if more time is allotted to subject covered.
33. Kindly conduct more programme exclusively for instituting more paperless courts.
34. NJA is serving us better by arranging training and conference sessions off and on. So no suggestions.
35. By providing opportunities to participant similar sessions and increasing the number of programmes.
37. Though it is impressive how such & vast subjects were covered in such a short time frame, it will be more useful if the duration of sessions is increased.
38. By imparting frequent training programmes.
39. Some more time may be provided for each programme.
41. More use of audio-visual aids.
46. Lectures of eminent jurisprudence shall be helpful to all the subordinate judges across the country.
47. NJA may send periodical newsletter to all the judicial officer in their official email ID regarding the technology developments useful to judiciary and march of law.
49. Virtual conference.
52. To give more time for discussion and participants.
53. To arrangements of logistics was not good there was lot of wastage of time.
54. Programme should be inform of practical oriented. Problems analysis & solution based of should be interactive.
62. NJA is doing well in imparting training to judges. I feel that there should be more region wise subject wise programmes because it will give more opportunity.
63. Please arrange such conferences at least once in three months.
66. Justice A.P. Sahi, Former CJ, himself a university what else advice is required from my side. Great judge, true academician – His Lordships service to NJA is laudable.
68. NJA doing well nothing to say resource person's language should more easier.
71. Only two sessions in a day.