

National Judicial Academy

**P-1338: Regional Conference on Contemporary Judicial Developments and Strengthening Justice
through Law & Technology
25th – 26th March, 2023**

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Sonam Jain and Ms. Ankita Pandey

No. of Participants : 99

No. of forms received : 80

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	90.00	10.00	-	7. Related to day to day work. 29. The conference cleared all the conceptions regarding bails, use of electronic evidence & technology in justice delivery system. 36. Learnt to know many new concepts what kind of ideology to be adopted while dealing with bail maximize the use of Justice App. 49. Excellent. 61. Yes. 62. All the resource persons have distinctly clarified it.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	90.00	10.00	-	7. Related to day to day work. 49. Excellent. 61. Definitely, it will help & quite more. 62. Day to day functioning would be simplified & progressive.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	85.90	14.10	-	7. Yes, benefited. 30. Right to privacy was so good. 35. Indeed, my pleasure to attended programme. 49. Excellent. 61. New idea & view give wide horizon. 62. Got vision & guideline for using the technology & perspective a legal issues.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	87.50	12.50	-	7. It opens mind in need of technology in work. 23. Definitely Sir. 35. I will do my best in my work. 49. Excellent. 61. Definitely. 62. Certainly all the ideas & knowledge shared would be used in my work.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	78.75	21.25	-	2. Some more time could have been allocated to same speakers. 7. Experience sharing of dignitaries is needed more. 24. One further separate sessions required to share experience (Separate) or call written. 33. Some more interaction may help all the juniors like me. 35. Yes, It was.

				49. Excellent. 38. Some more time shall be given to explain the actual situation. 61. Yes. 62. Question & answer sessions were held.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	85.33	14.67	-	7. Good for day to day work. 30. Discussion on MTP Act Session 3. 35. Really useful to my work better. 49. Excellent. 61. Yes. 62. Speedier convenient & day to day functions.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	75.00	25.00	-	30. Amendment was comprehensive and useful. 49. Excellent. 50. Excellent. 61. Definitely useful. 62. All resource persons have shared deep knowledge on laws, precedents etc.
c. Up to date	79.17	20.83	-	49. Excellent. 50. Yes. 61. Yes. 62. Yes.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	92.11	7.89	-	30. Center-state conflict co-operative federalism. 35. For my work & our constitution is Bhagwat Geeta for me. 49. Excellent. 50. Remarkable. 61. Wide horizon. 62. Certainly.
e. Related to International Legal Norms	52.05	46.58	1.37	49. Good. 62. Not sure.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	88.46	11.54	-	36. All the topics were synchronized very carefully & keeping in mind the agenda of conference. 47. Excellent. 49. Best. 61. Helpful.

				62. Well synchronized & conducted. 69. The session were well planned.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	61.82	34.55	3.64	7. Need dedicated sessions for this. 30. So many question were asked regarding binding effect of doctrine of precedents & found useful in daily court work. 33. More discussion would lead to further clearance. 36. Group discussion and questions round cleared many doubts. 46. No group discussion session available. 61. Definitely. 62. Actually group discussion amongst participants took place outside venue.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	75.76	24.24	-	30. This was an excellent part. 61. Yes. 62. Very very relevant.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	68.18	28.79	3.03	30. This is what we need more & more. 61. Cleared doubts immediately. 62. Surely.
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	65.00	33.33	1.67	61. Very useful & related. 62. No simulation exercise actually was unsatisfactory.
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	72.73	27.27	-	30. E-court video was wonderful (paperless court) 61. Yes. 62. Very informative.

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

Parameters

Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	88.73	11.27	90.00	10.00
2	91.55	8.45	91.67	8.33
3	90.14	9.86	90.00	10.00
4	86.57	13.43	86.21	13.79
5	84.85	15.15	85.71	14.29

V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS

PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	81.16	18.84	-	26. Excellent. 30. Excellent & so much useful to deal with daily problems. Which generally used to face trial courts. During or at the time of hearing. 47. Fully useful & relevant. 49. Best. 50. Relevant and useful while dealing with day to day court work. 61. Useful.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	83.10	16.90	-	26. Very useful for day to day work. 49. Best. 50. Remarkable. 61. More updates/concepts useful.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	87.32	12.68	-	26. I need to study the material. 35. Very well organized the whole 2days programme. 49. Best. 50. Would be more effective if time for each session is increased. 61. Yes.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Precedents. Technology-e-courts.</p> <p>2. Requirement of judgements to be terse. Bail laws in other jurisdiction. Potential of AI in justice delivery system.</p> <p>3. It is more useful, while applying the technology and we can use it gradually.</p> <p>4. Learnt about advanced technology more particularly APPS available, court management.</p> <p>5. Enhanced clarity on the subjects & more confident on the subject.</p> <p>6. 1. Precedential value. 2. Emerging and future technology for effective judicial governance. 3. Criminal law: Issues and challenges.</p> <p>7. Application of precedent.</p> <p>8. The scope of Art.21 of the constitution of India. The approach a judge should have in bail matters. The immediate need of paperless courts.</p> <p>11. Updated about prevalent practices in different high courts.</p> <p>12. Knowledge of contemporary judicial developments.</p> <p>13. Case law, technology, insight, overall enrichment of knowledge.</p> <p>14. 1. An overview of the issues faced by judiciary as an institution. 2. Possible solutions. 3. Case laws.</p> <p>15. 1. Updated legal knowledge. 2. Gives new dimension to learning process. 3. E-up-dation.</p>
---	---

<p>22. 1. How to manage courts work. 2. How we must decide bail application. 3. Proper use of equipment's in court.</p> <p>23. Doctrine of precedent. Bail jurisprudence. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>24. 1. Electronic evidence. 2. Persuasive versus binding effect of judgment. 3. Judiciary and media- need balance.</p> <p>25. 1. Contemporary trends in constitutional law. 2. Precedential value of high court judgements. 3. Developments in criminal law: issues & challenges.</p> <p>26. The contents in every sessions are very useful for day to day work.</p> <p>27. Bail jurisprudence, technology judicial limits.</p> <p>28. I learnt a lot. Taking positive ideas & thoughts with me for actually implementing those in my court.</p> <p>29. Learnt how to use technology to reduce pendency.</p> <p>31. 1. Judicial limits. 2. Judiciary and media-need for balance. 3. Judicial governance vis-à-vis.</p> <p>32. 1. Bail jurisprudence of 3rd session date of 23.03.2023 is more fruitful. 2. Session I: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments- is very fruitful. 3. Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance- is more good.</p> <p>33. We still need to work hard for paperless courts and our AI needs to be improved by ourselves only.</p> <p>34. 1. E-court project. 2. Constitutional law. 3. Judicial limit.</p> <p>35. 1. Be cool. 2. Be calm. 3. But do your work effectively.</p> <p>36. Concept of Bail. Use of technology. Precedents.</p> <p>38. The sense of brotherhood from top most judicial persons.</p> <p>39. Law relating to bail. Precedential value.</p> <p>41. 1. Use of technology. 2. Better idea in understanding the constitution of India. 3. Use of case laws in the district judiciary.</p> <p>42. E-court. Need on initiation for all.</p> <p>43. Technology. Basic benefits of above law learnt mostly.</p> <p>44. Knowledge enhancing, informative and objective.</p> <p>45. 1. Very effective and useful. 2. Enhancement of legal knowledge. 3. Useful in routine judicial work.</p> <p>46. The speech of Hon'ble Justice Sahi Sir was remarkable and knowledge he had is outstanding.</p> <p>47. Lecture taken up will be helpful to us in our regular working and we can pass center same to the officers with us.</p> <p>48. 1. I must use the technology at maximum of in my judicial work. 2. Came to know the correct way to read case laws. 3. Must work hard and keep myself updated.</p> <p>50. 1. Wide goals could be gathered. 2. Clear vision (Now). 3. Legal and logical view point understood.</p> <p>51. 1. Adaptation to technology. 2. To be updated.</p>
--

	<p>54. 1. Freedom of speech & expression vis-à-vis media. 2. Doctrine of precedent. 3. Bail & electronic evidence.</p> <p>55. The programme is superb and best.</p> <p>57. Digital upliftment.</p> <p>58. 1. Change in working. 2. Self-improvement.</p> <p>59. Learnt new things. Benefitted by experiences of the resource persons I am updated about the developments.</p> <p>60. 1. Precedential values of apex court & high court judgment. 2. Law of Bail. 3. E-court & future technology.</p> <p>61. Eye opener, new ideas, concept are take homes.</p> <p>62. Discussion regarding law on bail, law of precedents, concepts of constitutional responsibilities and interaction during the sessions gave good insights. Changed perspectives & got motivated.</p> <p>63. 1. Bail jurisprudence. 2. Electronic evidence. 3. Judiciary and media: need for balance.</p> <p>64. 1. Electronic evidence. 2. Bail jurisprudence. 3. Right to freedom.</p> <p>65. Informed about recent judicial development of law & technology.</p> <p>66. Will help in future justice.</p> <p>67. Broad area while dealing with bails. Technology can solve & save time & working issues.</p> <p>69. 1. Bail concepts described by Ld. Hon'ble is excellent. 2. E-court concept.</p> <p>72. Doctrine of precedent. Overviews of e-courts project. Emerging and future technology for effective judicial governance.</p> <p>73. Developments in criminal law, issues challenges, binding effects of judgements. Overview of e-court projects. Effective, useful, self-introspection.</p> <p>74. 1. How to introduce latest e-court techniques in justice delivery system. 2. Modern techniques & tools enhancing timely justice. 3. Rule of law, the supreme concept of justice delivery system.</p> <p>75. Ideas regarding Bail precedent, and judgements; lecture on constitution provisions.</p> <p>76. Precedential value of judgement of High Court and Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>77. 1. To strengthening justice through law & technology. 2. Throw light on new law. 3. Emerging and future technology for effective judicial governance.</p> <p>78. 1. Persuasive versus binding effects of judgement. 2. Bail jurisprudence. 3. Artificial intelligence.</p> <p>80. 1. Constitutional matter. 2. E-court programme.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.</p> <p>2. Requirement of judgements to be terse. Bail laws in other jurisdiction. Potential of AI in justice delivery system.</p> <p>3. Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments and Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology</p>

for *Effective Judicial Governance*- more useful. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges**- is almost at most important.

4. All speakers and resource person made pain stacking efforts to share & optimize subject content.

5. Actually all the sessions were useful.

6. Using of PPT during their address are more effective to understand their thought and vision.

7. Application of electronic evidence in judicial process.

8. Being member of computer committee of Bombay high court, I found part IV & V most useful as it gave me insight which will give impetus to achieve target of paperless court in near future.

11. Both days were useful.

12. All sessions were useful giving lot of information.

13. E-courts.

14. Discussion with resource persons.

15. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.**

22. Bail, e-court & stakeholder part were entirely useful since it will help in day to day court working.

23. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments** and **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.**-As provided knowledge about precedential value of judgments and concept of bail.

24. Development in criminal law- issue and challenges Session-3 is very useful to adjudicate case in day to day basis and improve myself.

25. Above three subject/programme, I found most useful in day to day work.

26. Developments in criminal law- Issues & challenges as it is very useful in our work.

27. All programme.

28. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments**- learnt a lot.

29. The session conducted by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Thakker and Mr. Justice Govindraj.

30. Electronic evidence use of A.I. in judicial techniques.

31. Latest developments in technology, crypto currency, block chain etc.

32. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.**- bail matters is daily use in court working.

33. Hon'ble Justice Sahi was the best.

34. Right to freedom of speech and Expression because this part is very important and interesting.

35. Contemporary trends in constitutional law. Recent judicial developments. Our judicial work routed in constitution of India.

36. Persuasive & binding effect of judgements. Judges are not divine but discharging divine functions.

38. The Sessions No I and II is useful because it touches our work.

39. Bail jurisprudence. Precedent value.
40. Constitutional & proceedings very well explored.
41. Use of technology in justice delivery system will enable the court in speed up in disposal of cases.
42. Bail law- it will be useful to decide the bail.
43. All most all.
44. The entire programme was useful.
45. Discussion on laws regarding bails and criminal laws because the provision which we deal almost every day.
46. Subject of Hon'ble Chief Justice (former) Ms. Sonia Gokani madam and Mr. N. Venkataraman and Hon'ble Justice C.K. Thakker (Former Judge Supreme Court) were. So good and enhanced our knowledge.
47. Lectures delivered on the practical aspects, time problems & solutions.
48. Lecture of Justice Joymalya Bagchi as it cleared many concepts. Lecture of Justice R.C. Chavan. His dedication is adorable.
50. All sessions are useful and has its own importance.
51. Every session was enlightening, useful.
54. Doctrine of precedent: As views expressed as to Ideas to mentioned is overruling previous ruling.
55. All the programmes are useful. We learnt a lot about bail, constitution, comprehensive programme etc.
56. All sessions are most useful. They are useful to my work. Bail matters & law precedents about very useful in my work.
57. All are very informative and helping the professional curve.
58. All sessions were useful.
59. All parts were useful. The resource persons were well experienced and expressive.
60. Judiciary & media- Right to freedom of speech, session on precedent & bail jurisprudence.
61. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance-** considering new technologies, modern concepts & developing law.
62. Introduction to present & future of e-courts project & discussion on law of bails & law of precedents very-very useful.
63. Bail jurisprudence and electronic evidence are subjects of our day to day working.
64. Electronic evidence- due to day to day use in court functioning.
65. Whole programme schedule is useful for judicial work and work of computer sections.
66. Art. 21 constitution and Bail will help in future justice.
67. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments.**

	<p>69. Overall programme was very useful for day to day functioning of the courts and step ahead towards e-courts.</p> <p>72. <i>Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance-</i> definitely fulfills the object & expectation of e-committee for implementing project faster.</p> <p>73. CIS innovations, recent innovations, still more knowledge required.</p> <p>74. Knowledge imparted regarding e-court programme was eye opening.</p> <p>75. Above tree.</p> <p>76. About bail jurisprudence. Burden of proof, shifting of onus. Latest development in technology. Crypto currency.</p> <p>77. 1. Right to freedom of speech & expression. 2. Electronic evidence and global legal perspective. 3. E-courts project.</p> <p>78. Bail jurisprudence and binding effects judgement very helpful as it dealt with our day to day problems.</p> <p>80. Constitutional value & bail matters.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>3. NJAC taking lot of pain in chasing subject.</p> <p>7. It's up to mark.</p> <p>11. Director of NJA can consider suggestion from all participants.</p> <p>14. It can be made more interactive.</p> <p>22. Programme is comprehensive in itself.</p> <p>24. Yes, one session required for cyber-crime with electronic evidence with the illustration of primary electronic evidence and secondary electronic evidence, for day to day trial.</p> <p>26. Need some more time, one more day required to get more knowledge in all subjects.</p> <p>29. Some more time be allotted.</p> <p>31. Use Hindi and English language both.</p> <p>32. Yes.</p> <p>33. Sir, More interaction would lead to more clarity. All the participant be asked to ask minimum one question.</p> <p>34. I provide written material.</p> <p>38. Yes, the session no 4 and 5 is required to be realistic rather than exchange of figures and finance.</p> <p>43. Needed with piece of advanced technology. (Need of hour is keep piece with changing time).</p> <p>44. Because of paucity of time, the sessions were less interactive.</p> <p>46. It is quite up to the mark.</p> <p>47. Duration of such programme and having such programmes whichever is possible for the Academy.</p> <p>48. It was well organized.</p> <p>50. If the length and duration is increased them it will be more helpful and effective.</p>

	<p>55. Programme is good for further modulation, I think a group discussion of participant so that each of us know what is going on in other states and which kind of modification is needed in our state.</p> <p>57. May be with less subject and more discussions.</p> <p>59. Programme should be more interactive.</p> <p>61. Very good & no changes required.</p> <p>62. More eminent resource persons can be invited for their sharing of knowledge & experiences. Besides discourses, study material can be distributed & feedback of ground realities & difficulties or challenges in dispensation of justice can be called.</p> <p>67. Focus needed on infrastructure & technology.</p> <p>74. What problems are being faced by judicial officers in day to day court work are needed to be discussed, so fruitful results can be achieved.</p> <p>77. Need further modulations.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>3. We indeed benefitted. Useful to a great extent.</p> <p>4. NJA may create a plat form where through irrespective being nominated to participate, the judicial officer can have access to subject content.</p> <p>11. Instead of many sessions, subject of one legal issue can be fixed for arriving at a proper conclusion.</p> <p>14. Designated short time slots should be allotted to all participants to speak.</p> <p>15. It should be pin pointed and for one day.</p> <p>22. In my humble opinion programme of NJA should be at least 3 or 4 days. So that many more things can be included in the programme which will be more beneficial to the judges.</p> <p>24. Recording of evidence, appeance of other side through virtually mode is required in more cases and such procedural programme is also required to add.</p> <p>Instead of calling paper book physically call scanned copy of whole record with digitally signed to save money, time etc., also on the beginning or starting the institution of case, all the paper are required to scanned and saved in separate computer i.e. e-records room.</p> <p>25. I request to NJA or GSJA, to provide the material in soft copy by way of mail to the concern judicial officer.</p> <p>26. It would be my pleasure if I will get an opportunity to attend such training programme at NJA.</p> <p>28. Invite (please) more & more judges from Hon'ble S.C. & H.C. judges.</p> <p>31. Use Hindi and English language both and programme copy available to participate hard and soft copy.</p> <p>32. In every three months Regional conference may be conducted.</p> <p>33. Each participant be asked to frame minimum one question.</p> <p>34. This type of programme organized many time.</p> <p>36. Nothing required NJA serves us already in but passible way.</p> <p>38. Kindly take suggestion of anything which is very imp and also part programme so the G.D. would be more effective. Thank you.</p>

	<p>43. The way entire programme was conducted. No suggestion called for is best suggestion.</p> <p>44. NJA is good.</p> <p>45. Kindly arrange the kind of programme at regular intervals for enhancement of knowledge.</p> <p>46. NJA & GSJA has very well arranged the programs are select the subject.</p> <p>47. Duration if increased we can have more interactive sessions for discussion the issues & solutions for effective results.</p> <p>48. Please organize such conferences. It was as treat to hear stalwarts in the field of law. If time is money, these masters have spent millions on us.</p> <p>50. If updated periodically.</p> <p>55. NJA conduct programme very good manner till arrival to departure. I am personally very impressed with the personality of respected Shri Sahi Sir. He is amazing with his presence of mind and also his speech.</p> <p>56. NJA also conducted programme in a very good manner.</p> <p>59. Need to increase frequency of programmes.</p> <p>60. NJA has organize programme in wonderful manner, so no suggestions.</p> <p>61. Kindly arrange such programme, more particularly sessions like 4 & 5 to all the state level.</p> <p>62. Feedback be called from all stakeholders for collection of Data of challenges & difficulties faced in the dispensation of justice. Analysis thereof can be displayed the areas & solutions can be suggested improvised such conference must be conducted in all the states for motivation & gathering the facts for reality checks.</p> <p>67. District should be provided with some emergency funds to meet out urgent repair of infrastructure DJ has to call PWD and later is helpless without funds.</p> <p>69. I am satisfied with the module & programme looking forward for more such programme by NJA.</p> <p>72. Interaction discussions among each state officers about ground level actual position of state and by adopting ideas of improved state can make other states better.</p> <p>73. Online meeting.</p> <p>74. The programmes are designed by NJA with great vision which are always useful for the members of District Judiciary. If some practical aspects are included, it would work to the great extent.</p> <p>75. Such programmes should be increased to say 4 programmes in a year.</p> <p>77. It is my suggestion that such types of programmes may be conducted for judicial evolutions.</p> <p>78. NJA should propose and send the soft copy to all the judicial officer who consent attend such helpful & effective conference have it would be helpful to them also.</p> <p>80. Problems faced by the judges must be discussed.</p>
--	--