

## National Judicial Academy

**P-1329:** National Conference on Development of Constitutional Law by the  
Supreme Court & High Courts

04<sup>th</sup> – 05<sup>th</sup> February, 2023

**Programme Coordinator** : Ms. Paiker Nasir & Ms. Nitika Jain

**No. of forms received** : 22

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>	-	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	<b>77.27</b>	<b>22.73</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>72.73</b>	<b>27.27</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>76.19</b>	<b>23.81</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>81.82</b>	<b>18.18</b>	-	13. Very useful and painstakingly compiled.

c. Up to date	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>31.58</b>	<b>63.16</b>	<b>5.26</b>	-

### III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME

<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	13. Short sessions will be better.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	-	-
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	<b>56.25</b>	<b>37.50</b>	<b>6.25</b>	-
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>53.33</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>13.34</b>	9. No audio visual work.

### IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

#### Parameters

<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	<b>Effective and Useful (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>	<b>Effective and Useful (%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (%)</b>
1	<b>95.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>91.67</b>	<b>8.33</b>
2	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>
3	<b>78.95</b>	<b>21.95</b>	<b>72.73</b>	<b>27.27</b>
4	<b>94.74</b>	<b>5.26</b>	<b>90.91</b>	<b>9.09</b>
5	<b>94.44</b>	<b>5.56</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>

### V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS

<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent (%)</b>	<b>To some extent (%)</b>	<b>Not at all (%)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
--------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>77.27</b>	<b>22.73</b>	-	13. The soft copy needs to be verified thoroughly before sending

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Item no. 4 &amp; 5 in the present context by the eminent speakers particularly Hon'ble Justice U.U. Lalit.</p> <p>2. The discussion on the judgements, the contours of constitutional morality and manifest arbitrariness.</p> <p>4. 1. Infused a sense of confidence. 2. Triggered thought process. 3. Different ideas of different persons.</p> <p>8. Experienced resource persons useful discussions.</p> <p>9. Open discussion within the legal area views provided.</p> <p>10. 1. Constitutional transformation. 2. Constitutional morality. 3. Judicial review and Judicial Activism.</p> <p>11. Constitutional morality. Judicial activism.</p> <p>14. Could be for full 2<sup>nd</sup> day.</p> <p>19. Was a very absorbing and interactive programme.</p> <p>20. Pertinent and direct.</p> <p>21. Clarity, knowledge, applications.</p> <p>22. It is a nice occasion to know constitutional development in our country.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. All the sessions I to V are quite useful in day to day functioning as judge.</p> <p>2. <b>Session-2 Development of Constitutional Morality: Adhering to the Constitutional Norms &amp; Ethos.</b></p> <p>3. 1. Judicial review of legislative and administrative actions. 2. Judicial activism Vs Judicial Restraint. Evolving jurisprudence they are very much useful in discharge of day to day functions.</p> <p>4. <b>Session-4 Judicial Review of Legislative &amp; Administrative Actions; Session-5 Judicial Activism versus Judicial Restraint: Evolving Jurisprudence.</b></p> <p>8. Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.U. Lalit and Mr. Arun Shourie.</p> <p>9. Open discussion as it clears the minds of the participants.</p> <p>10. Constitutional morality. Judicial review and Judicial Activism.</p>

	<p>11. 2<sup>nd</sup> day of the programme is most useful due to interactive sessions.</p> <p>15. All sessions are very useful.</p> <p>16. Old case law discussions.</p> <p>19. <i>Session-4 Judicial Review of Legislative &amp; Administrative Actions; Session-5 Judicial Activism versus Judicial Restraint: Evolving Jurisprudence.</i></p> <p>20. <i>Session-1 Constitutional Interpretations: Reflection on Transformation, Continuities &amp; Constitution's Silences; Session-2 Development of Constitutional Morality: Adhering to the Constitutional Norms &amp; Ethos; Session-3 Affirmative Actions, Equal Opportunity &amp; Diversity: An Evolving Jurisprudence and Session-5 Judicial Activism versus Judicial Restraint: Evolving Jurisprudence.</i></p> <p>21. All Approach of the speeches to the topics were unique based on experience and interpretation of law.</p> <p>22. <i>Session-4 Judicial Review of Legislative &amp; Administrative Actions; Session-5 Judicial Activism versus Judicial Restraint: Evolving Jurisprudence.</i></p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>2. Programme was comprehensive.</p> <p>8. Presence of sitting judges of Supreme Court.</p> <p>9. Room is always there for further improvement.</p> <p>10. Moduled very well.</p> <p>14. Should be a continued process.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. If it is otherwise not inconvenient consider installation of elevators in addition to the staircase from reception area to the guest house considering the age of some participants.</p> <p>9. This is my third and fourth participation in the NJA it developed a lot from my last attendance.</p> <p>10. More sessions on similar subjects.</p> <p>11. Arrange programme in periodical manner.</p> <p>14. Give opportunity to contribute for NJA programmes.</p> <p>21. Satisfactory in every way.</p> <p>22. Some written matter be sent to the participant prior to the conference.</p>