

National Judicial Academy

**P-1328: South Zone-I: Regional Conference on Contemporary Judicial Developments and
Strengthening Justice through Law & Technology
28th – 29th January, 2023**

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Ms. Nitika Jain

No. of Participants : 124

No. of forms received : 111

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	99.10	0.90	-	3. Very useful. 4. Clear and very easy to understand. 5. Very useful. 42. Yes, it was clear. 52. Very clear. 91. We learnt more aspects on respective subjects. 105. Yes, useful.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	94.59	5.41	-	3. Helpful in discharging duties effectively. 5. Very relevant. 42. Very relevant. 52. Most useful. 91. This will help in our day to day work in court. 95. Dealing with labour disputes. 103. The latest citations are being given. 104. Very nice and apt. 105. Yes, Understanding law, with socio economic problems.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	96.40	3.60	-	3. Very useful. 4. Highly benefited. 5. Benefited more. 52. I got benefited. 91. We benefited more specifically in constitutional law.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	95.50	4.50	-	3. I will use the skills. 4. Highly benefited. 5. Yes it is useful to me in daily work. 24. Try to get the co-operation of my staff viz training etc. 91. I am using at present. I used the idea. I will it use in future more efficiently.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	87.27	12.73	-	4. Highly benefited. 5. Good opportunity given to share our views. 91. Yes. Sufficient time granted for questioning and queries. 103. Encouraged all the participants.

II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	93.58	6.42	-	3. Good. 4. Very much useful and benefited a lot. 5. Very useful for work. 52. Most useful.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	88.89	11.11	-	3. Could update our knowledge. 5. We enriched with more knowledge over latest law.
c. Up to date	92.66	7.34	-	3. Updated. 5. Yes.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	89.91	10.09	-	3. Very useful. 5. Yes.
e. Related to International Legal Norms	54.29	43.81	1.90	3. Good. 5. Yes.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	95.45	4.55	-	3. Very useful. 4. Systematically arranged. 5. The way of conducting programme is very pragmatic. 46. Inauguration takes away the time for the first session. May be taken care of.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	76.34	23.66	-	3. Interactive Session is good. 5. Yes we have cleared our doubts. 41. There is no group discussion. 105. No group discussion.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	90.00	10.00	-	3. Very apt. 5. Yes very relevant to our work.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	86.24	13.76	-	3. Good. 5. Yes.
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	79.22	18.18	2.60	3. Good. 5. Yes. Very valuable.
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	89.13	10.87	-	3. Good. 5. Yes. Very beneficial.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				

Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	96.33	3.67	93.85	6.15
2	97.12	2.88	98.31	1.69
3	98.08	1.92	98.31	1.69
4	96.12	3.88	96.61	3.39
5	94.95	5.05	92.73	7.27

V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS

PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	93.64	6.36	-	5. Very useful.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	96.36	3.64	-	5. Very good material is supplied with latest case law.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	96.36	3.64	-	5. Yes it is very easy to follow.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>2. Refreshed with the recent law on precedents and electronic evidence. Acquired knowledge about e-courts and e-filing.</p> <p>3. 1. Useful for expeditious disposal of cases; 2. Enriched our knowledge; 3. During interactive session many officers could get their doubts clarified.</p> <p>4. 1. Electronic evidence; 2. Law of precedents; 3. E-court. Court project, Artificial intelligence.</p> <p>5. We have enriched sufficient knowledge over laws.</p> <p>6. 1. Bail, Arrest- discussion with case laws; 2. Precedents discussion; 3. E-court project.</p> <p>7. 1. Had an occasion to hear the great legal luminaries. 2. Could understand the mind of justices at Hon'ble Apex Court, while dealing with the cases, in the interest of public at large. 3. Learnt recent developments.</p> <p>8. 1. Bails, Arrest, Case law. 2. Precedents. 3. E-courts projects.</p>
---	--

	<p>9. Know the Kerala e-courts programme. Which enables effective working of judicial functions, seamless & transparent and Free of cost to the litigant public. Learnt more about recent development with regard to bail.</p> <p>10. Right to freedom of speech and expression. Electronic evidence and global legal perspective. Reverse burden of proof. Shifting of Onus viz. Statutory Requirements.</p> <p>12. Electronic evidence. Reverse burden of proof. E-court project.</p> <p>13. Right to freedom of speech and expression. We can use technology and change to suit our requirement.</p> <p>15. Utilization of funds.</p> <p>16. Precedents. Electronic evidence. Overview of e-court project.</p> <p>17. 1. Exchange of knowledge between delegates. 2. Explore to the new dimensions of case laws. 3. Introduced the technological developments.</p> <p>18. Inputs were given to deal with cases where media are in dominating position. Freedom of speech and expression. Prohibition & related laws.</p> <p>19. 1. How to use technology. 2. How to reduce time by using technology. 3. Court Management.</p> <p>20. Electronic evidence, law of precedent and e-courts project in the learning achievements to refreshed and get knowledge.</p> <p>21. Got to know how to adopt technology in day to day work. Enlightened on various nuances surrounding present legal system.</p> <p>22. 1. CIS implementations. 2. Digital governance. 3. Fortunate to have legal luminaries and getting valuable information from them.</p> <p>23. About considering the settled principle of 'Bail, not Jail'. Looking forward to use of technology in the court proceedings.</p> <p>24. Binding effect of judgements. CIS & AI. Influence of media on society.</p> <p>25. Gained knowledge to adopt the practical approach, CIS system.</p> <p>28. 1. Using technology to reduce time consumption in our judicial work. 2. Bail matters. 3. We can use technology and change to suit our requirement.</p> <p>29. All the subjects are useful to discharge my work.</p> <p>30. Learnt about use of technology, application of precedents and about new Acts.</p> <p>31. Using technology not only saves the time but preserve the data for long time.</p> <p>32. Latest technology in day to day court work. Useful interaction on latest development in law.</p> <p>33. How to make use of technology. Recent judicial developments. What is e-court project.</p> <p>34. 1. Value of precedents. 2. Use to technology in works. 3. Constitutional values.</p> <p>35. Come to know the use of technology. Enlightened various recent judgements. Study materials is very useful.</p> <p>36. Knowledgeable, useful & effective.</p> <p>37. 1. It made us to be techno savvy. 2. It made us to think positively about technological developments.</p> <p>38. Enhancement of knowledge, skills with regard to development of law use and application of technology in our judicial functions.</p>
--	---

<p>39. Clarified about binding effect of judgments came to know about recent judicial developments imposed about the e-court project.</p> <p>40. Learnt new things. Challenges for implementing very useful.</p> <p>42. 1. Importance of technology in judicial administration. 2. Use of AI in decision making in the future.</p> <p>43. All the sessions were good, fortunate that I was one of the participants.</p> <p>46. 1. Developing innovative outlook. 2. Getting updation. 3. Better interaction.</p> <p>49. 1. Federalism how do you understand it presently. 2. PMLA. 3. Relevance of technology in justice delivery system.</p> <p>50. That co-ordination is necessary between the judges of the respective High Courts. To take forward the changes in digitization of the files and justice delivery system.</p> <p>51. E-court implementation. Application of precedents. Freedom of speech & limitation.</p> <p>52. As more useful to me. I have clarified several doubts which are in my mind.</p> <p>53. Highly informative.</p> <p>57. 1. It enhanced the pursuit of knowledge of law. 2. How technology is relevant.</p> <p>58. My reluctance towards e-court project has been changed by this programme. Learnt importance of technology.</p> <p>59. 1. Knowledge about e-courts project how far we could go ahead in that area & what all can be done in future. 2. Could know about the application of precedents. 3. Session on bail jurisprudence & reverse burden was informative.</p> <p>60. All sessions were equally important. I got much benefited in the area of applicability of precedents, exercising discussion in bail applications and reverse burden of proof.</p> <p>61. Have obtained a clear picture of the subject taken for discussion.</p> <p>62. Got knowledge about current trends.</p> <p>63. 1. Learnt new developments in the field. 2. Learnt from experienced resource persons. 3. Learnt more about pragmatic approach.</p> <p>64. 1. Introduction to new horizons of thoughts. 2. Introduced to new initiatives in ICT. 3. Bail jurisdictions re-visited.</p> <p>67. 1. Be technology savvy and step forward to understand e-court system. 2. To have a pragmatic approach to matters & issues. 3. Decision should be taken based on the facts of a case rather than relying on precedents.</p> <p>68. Need and scope of adopting technologies. Reverse burden & shifting of onus. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>69. The programme helped me to see and hear great jurists. The topic discussed were need of the hour.</p> <p>70. Able to focus on those topics.</p> <p>71. 1. Technology can be embraced for more effective functioning. 2. Got better understanding about bail, precedent.</p> <p>72. 1. Concept of bail from Justice L. Nageswara Rao and about the reverse burden. 2. Binding effect of minority judgement over the left out by majority. 3. The vision of e-committee to taken by Justice Raja Vijayaraghavan.</p>

	<p>73. Being a trial judge the discussion on mode of application of precedents and exercise of bail jurisdiction were most useful.</p> <p>74. 1. Consideration and disposal of bail applications. 2. Application of precedents. 3. Advantages of use of IT in judicial process.</p> <p>75. As a trial judge precedential value of high court judgements and developments in criminal law will be helping me in the day to day functions.</p> <p>76. Very good.</p> <p>77. 1. Accused rights Under Art. 21. 2. Precedent application. 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>78. Latest developments in criminal law i.e. Bail law.</p> <p>79. 1. How to deal with electronic evidence while trying the case. 2. What are the points to be looked into while disposing of bail matters. 3. Latest development in technology.</p> <p>80. Information technology and its effective implementation to run e-court.</p> <p>81. 1. To be aware of precedents. 2. To decide the issue on the basis of arguments of law. 3. Interim bail in times of necessity.</p> <p>82. 1. We refreshed our memory in the subject. 2. Very interesting and effective interesting subject selected. 3. We met all sister and brother judges of various states.</p> <p>85. 1. Updated my knowledge about artificial intelligence and competitive and cooperative federalism and recent innovations which is helpful to the judiciary.</p> <p>86. 1. Gained more knowledge on technical aspects. 2. Recent development and the latest updates on the legal and technology subjects covered in the sessions practical. 3. Experience shared useful to understand the subject effectively.</p> <p>88. 1. Judiciary & media, Trial by media. 2. Persuasive versus Binding effect of judgments. 3. E-court projects (Paperless court).</p> <p>89. Understanding adoption of technology. Need to be proactive to understand and Use the technological support provided.</p> <p>90. 1. Objective while deciding the matters was learnt from session 1; 2. Discussions make more me strong. 3. Utilizing and implementations of the technology.</p> <p>91. Federalism, Article 141 and 144 of the constitution. PMLA & Electronic Evidence.</p> <p>92. Development, challenges, achievement and targets in establishing e-courts modules in future.</p> <p>93. 1. Learnt about the binding effects of precedence. 2. Leant the nuances of bail jurisprudence. 3. Leant on the latest development in technology.</p> <p>94. Adopting technologies. Precedents and their importance. Appreciation of electronic evidence.</p> <p>95. 1. Inter-sharing of thoughts across South India is really useful. 2. An eye opener for e-courts projects.</p> <p>96. How to use precedents for effective disposal of cases. Right to freedom of speech and rights of media. Use of technology.</p> <p>97. 1. E-filing concept. 2. Bails. 3. Artificial Intelligence.</p> <p>98. Persuasive and binding effect of precedents. Reverse Burden of proof. Use of technology for effective judicial governance.</p> <p>99. Interactive sessions. Sessions were simple, practical & humorous. Effective sessions.</p>
--	---

	<p>100. 1. Bail jurisprudence. 2. Electronic evidence. 3. Precedential value of H.C. judgments.</p> <p>101. Law of precedents and its applicability. Bail jurisprudence and reverse burden of proof. Use of technology in law.</p> <p>102. Learning new technologies in using AI.</p> <p>103. 1. How to interpret the law & facts. 2. How to use the decisions of higher courts as precedents. 3. Active interaction is encouraged.</p> <p>104. Got knowledge of comprehensive and comparative case laws.</p> <p>105. Valuable suggestions guidance of eminent and experienced judge instructions and interaction.</p> <p>106. Understanding of pragmatic approach by Hon'ble Justice Ramasubramanian. Bail concepts. E-courts.</p> <p>107. 1. Developments in technology. 2. Electronic Evidence and Global legal perspective. 3. Persuasive versus binding effects. 4. Judgments.</p> <p>108. 1. Issues on granting bail. 2. Regulation of media over judiciary. 3. Implementation of technology in courts.</p> <p>109. 1. Latest decisions of Hon'ble Supreme Court. 2. How to use technology in administration & Justice. 3. Disposal & bail applications in the light of Section 41A CrPC.</p> <p>111. Persuasive value of precedents. Dealing matters of PMLA. 3. Admissibility of electronic evidence.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. All, because all sessions are exhaustive.</p> <p>2. All the programmes are most useful and effective.</p> <p>3. Precedents. To come to a quick decision.</p> <p>4. All programmes are most useful for every judicial officer.</p> <p>5. All classes are very useful for our day to day work.</p> <p>6. Precedents discussion are most relevant to my daily work.</p> <p>7. Interactive session with Justice L. Nageswara Rao & Justice Joymalaya Bagchi, as it cleared the doubts in the minds of officers on placing reverse burden.</p> <p>8. Precedential value of the high courts are most useful. How we can use the precedents in regular court.</p> <p>9. Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.</p> <p>10. Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments and Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges. – more useful & effective.</p> <p>11. Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments and Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>12. Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.– Useful & effective.</p> <p>13. Precedential value.</p> <p>16. All the five sessions because those sessions cleared the doubts by placing latest legal provisions and with ground realities with practical examples.</p>

17. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments** – This sessions is more useful for day to day discharging of duties.
18. Precedents and binding effect of judgement of Hon’ble Supreme Court and High Courts.
19. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**- Since, I used daily in monitoring e-court process.
20. All the programmes are most useful and effective.
21. Electronic evidence. Every now and then we used to handle cases on electronic evidence.
23. About precedents, Bail & Digitalization. The method of discussion about distinguishing obiter Dicta, Ratio Decidendi & precedent was very useful.
24. Especially CIS. Since now we are looking forward for paperless courts and saving of time, work smart concept.
25. All the programme found most useful. They were practical and useful to work.
27. The second session was very effective & useful. Even the fifth session was very useful.
30. I found all parts of programme useful since they are relevant and helpful to update us.
31. All the session are useful. Using technology for day to day work.
33. Interactive sessions.
34. Discussion and interaction with delegates.
35. Interactive sessions because many doubts were cleared.
36. All the sessions are useful for our day to day work.
37. Interactive sessions wherein there was no hierarchical barriers.
38. All the parts of the programmes are most useful as it is with regards to contemporary judicial developments & technology.
39. Overview of e-courts project because it is very much required to the judiciary.
40. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**
42. AI and law as it is a future of possibilities.
43. Strengthening justice thought law and technology.
44. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments** – Covered many aspects and cleared many doubts.
46. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance** -and the materials available in the pen-drive.
49. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.**
51. E-courts and Digitization of courts.
52. Contemporary trends in constitutional law, Overview of e-courts project.
57. All parts were equally relevant.

58. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges and Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project.**
59. Session on e-courts project.
60. Importance and applicability of precedents.
61. Contemporary trends in constitution law, A precedential area of HC judges and development in case law were very much useful.
62. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.**
63. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**- Session 3 gave a guide line for practical approach & remaining sessions helped to learn new technological development.
64. **Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.**
65. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges-** was very useful for disposal of bail application.
67. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.**
68. **Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.** Nice presentation, simple and informative. Enlightenment regarding latest development in technology was interacting and most useful.
69. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.**
70. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments and Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.**
71. Discussion on technology. It is much useful for day to day work.
72. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges-** Actual concept of 65B certificate of IE Act 1872.
73. Discussion on precedents, Bail jurisdiction and e-court.
74. Overview of e-court project.
75. Electronic evidence at global legal perspective has given a clear idea about the law on the subject.
76. Very good.
77. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project.**
78. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.** It is useful for day to day work.
79. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges-** It is so useful in doing day to day court works.
80. All sessions of the conference.
81. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.** – Reverse burden of proof- Shifting of burden & technology statutory requirements.
82. All sessions are very useful and interesting resource persons have handled the sessions very interesting as well as very humorous by which we felt very interesting.

	<p>84. Binding effect of judgements. Since we are facing in day to day affairs.</p> <p>85. Persuasive versus binding effect of judgments.</p> <p>86. <i>Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges- Bail condition & the electronic evidence and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance</i> – Better understanding of the technology for effective judicial governance & for a paperless court.</p> <p>88. <i>Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.</i></p> <p>89. <i>Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.</i></p> <p>90. All parts are relevant among themselves.</p> <p>91. Article 141 and 144 of the constitution. We learned to use judgments of the Hon’ble High Court and Hon’ble Supreme Court in all aspects.</p> <p>93. The <i>Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance.</i> Since the same is needed for effective judicial governance.</p> <p>94. AI and justice dispensation. Because, it is the future.</p> <p>95. E-Court initiation is the need of the hour.</p> <p>96. Precedential value of judgments.</p> <p>97. Bails & electronic evidence. Developments in criminal law. I learnt how to balance the rights of accused & victims.</p> <p>98. Emerging and future technology for effective judicial governance, Since it is a game changer.</p> <p>99. Bail, Reverse burden, Precedents & the ICT sessions.</p> <p>100. Developments in criminal law: Issues and challenges.</p> <p>101. Bail jurisprudence and electronic evidence and it’s admissibility.</p> <p>102. Entire session is useful.</p> <p>104. <i>Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project.</i></p> <p>105. Precedents & criminal, e-courts, most effective.</p> <p>107. Development in technology in law.</p> <p>108. Implementation of technology for developing more effective e-courts.</p> <p>109. <i>Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges- Interpret provision under PMLA Act., NDPS Act., UAPA Act.- It is most useful for disposed bail applications with reasoning.</i></p> <p>111. Electronic evidence and Tech Platform in judiciary, as many real time cases and evidence being adduced in these tech times.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>1. Summarize the material which was given.</p> <p>3. No. Similar programmes may be organized periodically.</p> <p>4. Needs to be elaborate. Programme can be extended to last for 3 days.</p> <p>5. No Need. It is very programmatic approach in conducting programme.</p> <p>7. It is useful to conduct more number of sessions useful for day to day work in District Judiciary.</p>

	<p>9. More interactive sessions to be required. Frequently arrange these type of conference, certainly it provides platform to interact with other state officers, to develop we and know the difficulties and improve lacking in their respective State.</p> <p>11. There should be separate sessions for civil, criminal and constitutional law.</p> <p>15. Yes, the class rooms should have desks, not auditorium type halls.</p> <p>18. NSTEP, ICJS, JUSTIS, JUDGMENT PORTAL.</p> <p>19. 1. Root level problems facing in e-courts CIS. 2. What kind modules uses in all states needs to be shown.</p> <p>29. Adopt current burring issue in justice delivery system.</p> <p>39. No, but required training to the officer about the e-courts project.</p> <p>46. The materials shared in the pen drive is excellent. Sessions on technology can be hands on.</p> <p>53. It should be more interactive and judges from district judiciary may be involved.</p> <p>60. No suggestion, because everything was arranged in such a good manner.</p> <p>63. If increased for at least one more day, it will give more time for the resource persons to cover all the fields.</p> <p>72. Current programme is good.</p> <p>74. No change is required. More such sessions should be organized.</p> <p>76. Very good.</p> <p>77. Yes. Group discussion or more interactive sessions needed.</p> <p>81. Allocation of time for each session 1.30 Hrs. in which at least 15-30 minutes for raising doubts & get answer.</p> <p>82. Periodical training for all the district judiciary officers to be imparted.</p> <p>95. Virtual links may be shared through You-Tube.</p> <p>99. Time constraint, sessions need more time.</p> <p>101. Programme schedule is good. Some more important topics to be added pertaining to the district judiciary already.</p> <p>107. More effective sessions may be conducted at least for 3 days.</p> <p>108. At least 25% of session time should be given for interaction, views & suggestions.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Take breaks on every 90 minutes of session.</p> <p>3. NJA organized excellent programme. NJA organized the programme effectively by selecting useful topics, useful for to judiciary in discharging their functions.</p> <p>4. 1. Requires no suggestion, since from the beginning to end, the hospitality and arrangements are excellent and marvelous.</p> <p>5. NJA has conducted very wonderful programme and It is very useful.</p> <p>7. Need to organize more number of conference & seminars of this nature.</p> <p>8. These kind of conferences may be conducted at regular intervals, so that Judicial Officers can use the technology and in day to day discharging the duties.</p> <p>9. Time to time conduct workshops or conference on recent trends in judiciary.</p> <p>17. There is a need of training on legal service authority Act. & NALSA activities.</p>

18. If this type of conferences in held at regular intervals or other laws and new enactments and amendments the same would be very useful.
19. Very-very good.
21. It can be extended to 3 to 5 days be including many more important subjects.
24. To organize these kinds of conference or platforms to exchange thoughts.
27. Absolutely wonderful. I have nothing to suggest.
28. To have 3 days conference so that we can learn more subjects.
30. May conduct conferences in different states.
31. Procedures adopted in courts and administration sides.
33. Please conduct some more conference on different subject.
34. Physical visiting to e-courts is necessary. So NJA has to think of it, if possible.
35. It can be extended to all judges for 3 to 5 days.
40. Arrange more such programmes.
43. To conduct these programmes at regular interval.
46. Some device may be explored to transmit the information to the nominee judges.
49. Not certain of these programmes are being recorded. May be recorded and shared by mail, as only a few judges got nominated so even judges were not nominated get an opportunity to the thoughts shared and expressed.
51. Some of the High Courts are at nascent stage in implementation of e-courts project. Therefore, I suggest a programme by NJA with e-committee of High Court & CPC's of respective High Court to bring them in tandem with other High Courts.
52. Further discussions/Seminar to use e-courts project, technology is required. As more useful for speedy disposal of cases.
53. It should be more interactive.
57. It was a well co-ordinated, well arranged programme. We express our deep gratitude to NJA and Karnataka Judicial Academy for the arrangements.
58. Service is excellent.
62. Trainings to be given at least a week.
63. It would be better if time schedule is followed in it's true spirit.
69. Please arrange more programmes.
70. Require more sessions.
72. Conduct more training programmes.
74. It will be useful if more sessions on the use of IT in judicial process are organized so as to well equip the Indian judges to effectively adapt themselves to the transformation brought out by the increasing use of IT in judicial process.
77. All judicial officers must be given an opportunity to attend NJA programmes once in five years at least. Best judges performance may be appreciated and award of certificate of appreciation be granted once in a year at National level or Regional level or State level with cadre of host wise. It will enhance more quality of work and honesty.
81. Just like in chat box/questions could be collected from participants to be answered by resource persons to save time & to be to the point.

82. Imparting training to all from the level of magistrates not selective – list has to be managed by NJA not by respective High Courts. Offline is effective than online as we have now.
85. Conference period can be extended.
86. Conference period can be extended.
90. NJA may convene this type of conference at least once in a year.
91. NJA conducted programmes very effectively. So, no need to change.
93. More interactive sessions may be organized.
95. Feedback may be in google form. Input can be real only in leisurely mode at home. Number of participant may be increased to reap more benefits.
96. NJA may conduct more number of regional conferences like this to give opportunity all the Judicial officers of various states to take part in such programmes.
97. Time/days are not sufficient, to deal this kind of important topics, need to increase the days of training.
98. Similar programmes may be conducted on the current topics to update the knowledge of Judicial Officers in discharge of duties.
99. More interactive sessions should be conducted regularly to update the officers. One officer from the District Judiciary should be given an opportunity to present their paper focusing on the perspective of the District Judiciary on the subject and the problems faced by them, so that the officers benefit from the discussions.
100. Include the topics such as “Electronic evidence” to discuss in detail and other topics which will be helpful to a judge while discharging.
101. This type of programmes will be helpful to enrich and strengthening the skills of members of judiciary and strengthening of justice the programmes are required to be conducted frequently.
102. Need to arrange such training sessions for further updates.
104. Material supplied is voluminous and not reader friendly. If material is short and with gist. Then it may attract the readers to go through completely.
105. Civil laws, stamp & registration Act also to be added.
107. Periodical training sessions to lower judiciary/District judiciary shall be conducted.
110. Updating officers timely with the new technology and implementation.