

National Judicial Academy

P-1325: National Convention for Senior High Court Justices: Strengthening Fiscal and Administrative Protocols in High Courts
14th - 15th January, 2023

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Ms. Jaya Rishi

No. of Participants : 24

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	78.95	21.05	-	16. Was very good.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	63.16	31.58	5.26	10. Session No. 4 and 5 were more technical in nature. Practical experience of judicial officers would have been more beneficial. 15. Will help greatly. 16. Very relevant subjects.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	73.68	26.32	-	10. Session No. 4 and 5 were more technical in nature. Practical experience of judicial officers would have been more beneficial. 15. Will help greatly.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	63.16	36.84	-	10. Session No. 4 and 5 were more technical in nature. Practical experience of judicial officers would have been more beneficial. 15. Will help greatly. 16. Gave me new things to consider.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	57.89	42.11	-	10. Session No. 4 and 5 were more technical in nature. Practical experience of judicial officers would have been more beneficial. 15. Will help greatly.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	72.22	27.78	-	17. In future.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles)	53.33	46.67	-	

/ comments by jurists)				
c. Up to date	53.33	46.67	-	
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	89.47	10.53	-	10. Session Nos 4 and 5 could have been made more practical based.
The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	64.29	21.43	14.28	12. Not discussed. 15. Needed more case studies. 17. No case laws on the cannot subject.
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	88.89	11.11	-	15. It is good.
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	57.14	35.71	7.15	12. Not used. 13. Difficult to read the power point presentative. 15. Excellent but need to be shared with participants.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	94.74	5.26	100.00	-
2	94.74	5.26	93.33	6.67
3	94.74	5.26	100.00	-
4	73.68	26.32	85.71	14.29
5	78.95	21.05	86.67	13.33
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks

a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	66.67	33.33	-	12. Not referred to. 15. The PPT presentation copies by the RP should be shared.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	66.67	33.33	-	9. Subject was one not requiring any recent case laws.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	73.68	26.32	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. The experiences shared by Hon'ble Judges were practical and a very valuable learning experience.</p> <p>2. How tough is the role of a CJ. What all a CJ can do to improve court management. What is expected from a CJ it equally applies to senior judges.</p> <p>3. 1. New concept; 2. Convention (unlike workshop); 3. Working principles (not just theory).</p> <p>5. Court administration and the role of the CJ.</p> <p>6. New dimension.</p> <p>7. 1. Increased general horizon of effective financial management; 2. More practical utility on ground level; 3. There has to be more organized infrastructure.</p> <p>8. Insight to the administrative functioning of High Courts.</p> <p>10. Practical experiences and tips of Resource Persons.</p> <p>11. Improving administration of High Court.</p> <p>12. Good insight of topics.</p> <p>13. Better understanding of the responsibilities and duties of a senior judge in the administration – 1. Admin. 2. Finance management.</p> <p>14. Understood – (a) How to have effective administration; (b) Financial management and handling of administration.</p> <p>15. A great take away for practically implementing the deficit areas of court administration.</p> <p>16. 1. Posting of people according to their ability not according, to their likes and dislikes; 2. Steps needed to be taken for allocation of more money to the judiciary; 3. Expenditure planning should not be on historical expenditure basis.</p> <p>17. Budget & Fiscal management.</p> <p>18. 1. Effective for administrative functions of the High Court. 2. Subject topics are useful for the purpose of administration; 3. Valuable tips & ideas for proper & effective management.</p> <p>19. Learned the niceties of planning for requirement of budget and how the expenditure etc. is to be managed.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. <i>Session 5: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management</i> - especially the experiences of Hon'ble Justice Lokur.</p> <p>3. Interactions.</p>

	<p>7. Session 4: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management and Session 5: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management.</p> <p>8. Interactions on/with judge on administrative work.</p> <p>12. Session 4: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management and Session 5: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management.</p> <p>13. Session 3: Administrative functions of the High Court/ Chief Justice – Candid and free expression of ideas.</p> <p>14. Administration and financial management.</p> <p>15. The whole programme was very much useful especially programme on Budgeting was excellent.</p> <p>16. Session 4: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management and Session 5: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management – was found to be most useful as accounting and Planning are somethings which needs more consideration by the High Courts.</p> <p>17. Constitution of committees. Portfolio judges & their responsibilities.</p> <p>18. Session 1: Administrative functions of the High Court/ Chief Justice and Session 3: Administrative functions of the High Court/ Chief Justice.</p> <p>19. Entire programme.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>10. For Session 4: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management and Session 5: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management – experience of more retired judges could be shared for implementation.</p> <p>11. State may be permitted to participant in representative capacity [Finance Department].</p> <p>13. Yes more detailed programme on administration.</p> <p>14. It should involve senior judges and sitting Chief Justices.</p> <p>15. If the PPTs are made available in advance this could help in better understanding.</p> <p>16. No given the limited time schedule.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. The technical speakers may include more practical suggestions along with their presentations.</p> <p>2. It is doing good. Maintain the standard.</p> <p>6. To be repeat-with CJ.</p> <p>7. There could be no pedestal for assessment and suggestions. Its already much better.</p> <p>10. For Session 4: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management and Session 5: Budget Preparation & Fiscal Management – experience of more retired judges could be shared for implementation.</p> <p>13. A special programme for smaller high courts, on micro management of Registry & lower judiciary to enhance efficiency.</p> <p>14. NJA must allow judges for accompanying with spouses. They are more comfortable.</p> <p>15. More narratives on experience rather than theoretically speaking on the issue.</p> <p>16. There should be more follow up programmes as this subject is not familiar to most judges.</p> <p>19. Programme should be more elaborate extending to 2-3 days.</p>