

National Judicial Academy

P-1316: National Workshop for High Court Justices

19th – 20th November, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh

No. of Participants : 33

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	93.33	6.67	-	16. Good.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	96.67	3.33	-	16. Good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	93.33	6.67	-	16. Good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	83.33	16.67	-	16. Good.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	83.33	16.67	-	16. Good. 24. Very good, informative workshop. Useful but some resource persons were very brief.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	89.29	10.71	-	16. Good.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	93.10	6.90	-	16. Good.
c. Up to date	82.76	17.24	-	16. Good.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	75.00	25.00	-	16. Good.
e. Related to International Legal Norms	65.38	30.77	3.85	16. Good. 24. Yes, it did increase my knowledge.

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	93.33	6.67	-	16. Good.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	58.33	41.67	-	16. Good.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	73.08	26.92	-	16. Good.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	66.67	33.33	-	16. Good.
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	68.18	31.82	-	16. Good.
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	72.00	24.00	4.00	16. Good.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	93.10	6.90	100.00	-
2	92.31	7.69	94.12	5.88
3	84.62	15.38	93.75	6.25
4	95.83	4.17	100.00	-
5	91.67	8.33	94.12	5.88
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	93.33	6.67	-	16. Good.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	89.66	10.34	-	16. Good.

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	93.33	6.67	-	16. Good. 24. Good reference, material provided.
---	-------	------	---	---

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Few subjects on environmental issues and contempt's of courts issues and subject of medical negligence.</p> <p>3.1. This will enhance the speed & quality of judges. 2. Updated the judges.</p> <p>6. 1. Learnt about environment protection. 2. Medical negligence. 3. Public health and medical industry.</p> <p>7. Practical applicability.</p> <p>8. 1. Judicial activism in protection of environment and wildlife. 2. Bail. 3. Elements of civil and criminal contempt.</p> <p>9. Upgradation of topical subjects was good.</p> <p>11. The wide experience of speakers who had experience in the field opened new ways of thinking.</p> <p>12. Law on medical negligence, DNA testing and contempt law learned.</p> <p>13. Great resource persons. Interactive sessions. Knowing the views of others.</p> <p>15. Enlightened with info and material provided.</p> <p>16. Contempt of court and medical negligence along with protection of environment.</p> <p>17. Sharing views with effective deliberation. New experiences are shared good opportunity to avail.</p> <p>18. Cleared doubts. Analytical discussion. Practical approach.</p> <p>20. 1. Approach to be adopted while dealing with bail applications. 2. Scope of contempt jurisdiction. 3. DNA sampling.</p> <p>21. Convincing, Interactive and helpful.</p> <p>22. 1. To apply while adjudicating the issue. 2. To appreciate the constitutional limitation while adjudication. 3. To apply the law.</p> <p>23. 1. Better understanding of contempt. 2. Use of technology. 3. Medical negligence and DNA testing.</p> <p>24. Environmental contempt and medical negligence, sessions were informative.</p> <p>25. Sensitization regarding law of bails and contempt law and more importantly its limitations.</p> <p>26. How to deal with the contempt matter, duty of the judges towards protection of environmental and bail jurisprudence.</p> <p>27.1. Protection of environmental as a constitutional mandate. 2. Bail jurisprudence mandate. 3. Medical negligence and DNA.</p> <p>28. Protection of environment and wildlife law of contempt and Dimensions of Law Governing Medical Practitioners vis-à-vis Morality and Ethics.</p> <p>29. <i>Session-1 Protection of Environment and Wildlife: The Judicial Approach-</i> Is very useful as it is high time one should have empathy towards the wild animals and also protect our environment.</p>

	<p>30. Through the session and interactions after sessions with the resource persons benefited as there was exchange of views on the topics dealt.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. <i>Session-3 Developing Efficient Judicial System: Court and Case Management.</i></p> <p>2. All the sessions were very engrossing.</p> <p>4. <i>Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies; Session-3 Developing Efficient Judicial System: Court and Case Management; Session-4 Law of Contempt & Session-5 Dimensions of Law Governing Medical Practitioners vis-à-vis Morality and Ethics.</i></p> <p>6. Environmental protection and constitutional mandates because clean environmental is the fundamental right of every citizen.</p> <p>7. <i>Session-5 Dimensions of Law Governing Medical Practitioners vis-à-vis Morality and Ethics.</i></p> <p>8. <i>Session-1 Protection of Environment and Wildlife: The Judicial Approach; Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies and Session-3 Developing Efficient Judicial System: Court and Case Management-</i>and DNA analysis.</p> <p>9. Contempt put in very succinctly.</p> <p>10. Contempt and medical negligence/ DNA testing.</p> <p>11. The session which dealt with bail and medical practitioners.</p> <p>12. <i>Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies & Session-4 Law of Contempt.</i></p> <p>13. Deliberations.</p> <p>15. <i>Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies; Session-4 Law of Contempt and Session-5 Dimensions of Law Governing Medical Practitioners vis-à-vis Morality and Ethics.</i></p> <p>16. Contempt of court. We are connected to it.</p> <p>17. Key notes of each resource person is quite fruitful specially Director of National Judicial Academy.</p> <p>18. Dr. Hon'ble Justice B.S. Chauhan discussed his experiences in dealing with different situations.</p> <p>20. Which dealt with bail jurisdiction.</p> <p>21. <i>Session-1 Protection of Environment and Wildlife: The Judicial Approach; Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies and Session-3 Developing Efficient Judicial System: Court and Case Management.</i></p> <p>22. The discussions on the object of the relevant laws.</p> <p>23. Contempt sessions.</p> <p>25. <i>Session-2 Bail Jurisprudence: Nuances and Intricacies-</i>Close to my heart and related to my present assignment in court.</p> <p>26. Law of contempt and case management.</p> <p>28. Law of contempt.</p> <p>29. <i>Session-1 Protection of Environment and Wildlife: The Judicial Approach, & Session-5 Dimensions of Law Governing Medical Practitioners vis-à-vis Morality and Ethics-</i> are most useful as they are more relevant for the current situation.</p> <p>30. All the session are useful.</p>

<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>2. Session on Bail & Contempt need longer deliberations.</p> <p>3. Always there is scope for improvement.</p> <p>4. Very well prepared.</p> <p>7. Perfect/ Betterment is always a scope to be ventured.</p> <p>15. Modulation is perfect.</p> <p>18. Satisfactory.</p> <p>20. Development in law happening world-wide especially matter affecting human rights and fundamental rights.</p> <p>21. Further programme need to be organized on commercial matter and writ matters.</p> <p>23. Improvement in always possible with Justice Sahi at the helm of affairs.</p> <p>24. I think more time should be given to subject experts.</p> <p>25. More discussion relating to civil laws injunction.</p> <p>27. Some more time required.</p> <p>30. The programme is well designed.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Excellently organized, expecting same efforts to be continued no suggestions since found very good.</p> <p>3. May be 2 ½ days workshop will be useful and effective. All judges must be called for all type of subjects periodically. That may be made mandatory. Really intellectually stimulating exercise.</p> <p>4. Deliberation requires more time.</p> <p>6. Conducting of programmes regularly.</p> <p>7. Supply of material for the topics likely to be discussed in each of the sessions.</p> <p>12. Everything was perfect.</p> <p>13. If any thought comes will certainly convey.</p> <p>16. Nothing, the things are already good.</p> <p>17. Some effective arrangement for transport system.</p> <p>18. NJA is doing very well.</p> <p>20. The programme was well organized. My gratitude to the Director Sir and his team.</p> <p>22. By providing a compilation of the gist of the discussions of the workshops twice or thrice a year.</p> <p>23. Bail constitutional court, the High Court some constitutional experts may also be requested to take sessions.</p> <p>25. Keep up the good work.</p> <p>29. I find programme well designed and very useful.</p> <p>30. NJA is doing a wonderful job.</p>