

National Judicial Academy

P-1312: National Seminar on Bail & Interlocutory Applications
05th – 06th November, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Paiker Nasir and Ms. Nitika Jain

No. of Participants : 43

No. of forms received : 43

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	93.02	6.98	-	8. Very good. 22. Did not receive details of session in advance. 43. Interactive session is need of time.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	95.35	4.65	-	8. Very good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	88.10	11.90	-	8. Very good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	93.02	6.98	-	8. Very good.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	85.00	15.00	-	8. Very good. 22. When more resource persons are called 1 & ½ days are not sufficient for interaction.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	95.00	5.00	-	8. Very good.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles /	100.00	-	-	8. Very good.

comments by jurists)				
c. Up to date	100.00	-	-	8. Very well.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	82.93	17.07	-	8. Very well.
e. Related to International Legal Norms	57.50	37.50	-	8. Very well.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	95.12	4.88	-	8. Good.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	100.00	-	-	8. Good.
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	87.50	12.50	-	8. Good.
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	75.00	25.00	-	8. Good. 22. Selected paras/portions from judgement may be shown on screen.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	86.05	13.95	93.94	6.06
2	87.80	12.20	87.10	12.90
3	90.24	9.76	90.32	9.68
4	90.24	9.76	96.77	3.23
5	87.80	12.20	90.32	9.68
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	95.35	4.65	-	2. We can utilize the materials in future years also.

				8. Good.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	95.35	4.65	-	8. Good.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	90.70	9.30	-	4. The programme material is very much lengthy. 8. Good. 22. Yet to read the entire course matter.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. I have more knowledge on all subjects; Good interaction with our brother and sister judges of our country.</p> <p>2. 1. Gained knowledge; 2. Gained strength in mind; 3. Gained strength in physical body.</p> <p>7. 1. Knowledge on the latest developments on law; 2. It was a linguistic fiesta to hear learned speakers; 3. Analyzing the various facets of law.</p> <p>8. 1. Lecture; 2. Discussion; 3. Sharing of experience.</p> <p>9. 1. Scope of judicial discretion: principles for grant of bail; 2. Default bail, anticipatory bail; 3. Cardinal rule of “bail not jail” evolving trends.</p> <p>10. 1. Considerations in deciding bail properly & expeditiously; 2. Use of discretions in passing interlocutory orders; 3. Restrictions in special Act for granting bail.</p> <p>11. Highly informative and interactive.</p> <p>13. It is updated & reflected with recent cases; Programme material is useful. The content was easy & organized.</p> <p>14. 1. Got complete clarification regarding manner of dealing with bail applications; 2. Highly got enlightened with the latest citations that are supplied in the material; 3. Also got benefited with the rulings related to injunction matters.</p> <p>15. Developing concept of kind of injunction.</p> <p>16. 1. It is almost necessary to express my warmest feeling with respect to fruitful lectures and content delivered by the Hon’ble judges of different High Courts.</p> <p>17. The programme updated and refreshed the knowledge.</p> <p>18. 1. Best case laws; 2. Expertise of resource persons; 3. Guidance on the doubts.</p> <p>19. 1. Bail is subjective; 2. Adhering to provisions while imposing conditions; 3. Not to touch merit of the case while disposing bail petitions.</p>
---	---

	<p>22. Got to know about fellow officers & practices/ problems in their judiciary; Updated with latest laws.</p> <p>23. Very useful for day to day work; Concepts completely cleared; Completely doubts are cleared.</p> <p>24. 1. Will utilize the programme message and knowledge in my work; 2. My openness will definitely help me by listening to visionary dignitaries; 3. I have become much more confident.</p> <p>25. 1. Judicial discretion principles for granting bail; 2. Cardinal rule of bail & evolving trends; 3. Conditions for grant of bail in special Acts.</p> <p>26. Latest laws; Read a lot.</p> <p>27. Timelines in bail matters; kinds of injunction; Evolving trends. Bail not jail rule.</p> <p>28. Judge is sovereign in his working; To do work without fear and favour.</p> <p>29. More clarity on conditions of bail.</p> <p>30. By participating in the programme doubts are clarified. It is very-very useful to discharge our daily duties.</p> <p>31. 1. Knowledge gained to a great extent; 2. Intellectual skills; 3. Tools for a systematic approach.</p> <p>35. 1. Concepts were cleared; 2. Practical examples were given; 3. Hon'ble Judges candidly stated what is correct and what is wrong.</p> <p>37. Updating of knowledge; Latest case law discussed; Extensive coverage of topic with interaction sessions.</p> <p>38. Updating of knowledge; Latest law discussed; Extensive coverage of topic.</p> <p>39. 1. Got clarity regarding propositions of grant of bail; 2. Got knowledge regarding bail and injunction orders.</p> <p>40. Updated, Gained good approach while working practically.</p> <p>41. 1. Individual rights vis-à-vis societal interest; 2. Cardinal rule of "bail, not jail"; 3. Judicial reasoning in bail orders.</p> <p>42. We learned a lot of case laws; Came to know about various provisions; Came to know about various Acts.</p> <p>43. Meeting with judicial officers of various states cleared fundamentals.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>6. Complete programme was quite useful as it will help us while presiding over courts.</p> <p>7. The disposal of the application in an effective way.</p> <p>8. Notes supplied by email and Pendrive.</p> <p>10. Complete programme.</p> <p>12. Session 1: Bail: Intricacies and Nuances; Session 2: Expeditious Disposal of Bail Applications and Session 3: Bail under Special Acts- Are more useful they enlightened on various aspects.</p> <p>14. Topic of bail we deal with disposal of application.</p> <p>15. Conditions of bail.</p> <p>16. All sessions. I feel that attending this prestigious seminar have achieved such knowledge and information on bail and interlocutory applications. Good for us while discharging judicial duties.</p>

	<p>18. Different views and scenario of various states were expressed by the participants which gives a broader perspective.</p> <p>19. Injunction matter.</p> <p>22. Lecture by Justice R. Basant & By Justice G.R. Swaminathan. Their lectures were more relatable & provided hands on experience.</p> <p>23. Regular bail orders, all suggestions are very useful.</p> <p>24. <i>Session 2: Expeditious Disposal of Bail Applications.</i></p> <p>25. <i>Session 1: Bail: Intricacies and Nuances and Session 3: Bail under Special Acts.</i></p> <p>26. I learnt from every resource persons.</p> <p>27. Bail in special Acts. Interlocutory application management & early disposal.</p> <p>33. Overall schedule was very informative & beneficial.</p> <p>35. Conditions of bail.</p> <p>38. Extensive discussion on every aspect of topic.</p> <p>39. <i>Session 2: Expeditious Disposal of Bail Applications and Session 3: Bail under Special Acts-</i> held on 5th November because these sessions gave elaborate view about bail.</p> <p>40. Interactive sessions.</p> <p>41. <i>Session 4: Conditional Bail: Scope & Limits-</i> Conditional bail; Permissible restrictions for conditional bail; Media trial in bail matters.</p> <p>42. Each part is useful and valuable.</p> <p>43. Interactive.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>3. More time must be provided.</p> <p>8. Doubts in a topic can be solicited by email much earlier before the programme.</p> <p>10. Yes, it should be updated.</p> <p>12. The programme helped in all day to day functions effectively.</p> <p>13. Complete programme should be communicated previously. The time should be 3-4 days.</p> <p>17. The programme, if possible be extended to 3-4 days and cover two topics extensively, Since the participants came here from faraway places.</p> <p>19. Change is the law of nature.</p> <p>22. Yes.</p> <p>23. It should be for little more time.</p> <p>24. Sir, It was a very good programme – the participants besides being given adequate time to discuss and were provided with relevant law on the subject.</p> <p>27. Ok.</p> <p>28. It should be for one week at least.</p> <p>32. It is more satisfying need to apply the learning in working.</p> <p>33. May be given more time for the resource persons to discuss about the topics & share their personal experiences.</p>

<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>43. At least one or two participants be provided opportunity to address from podium.</p> <p>8. Doubts in a topic can be solicited by email much earlier before the programme.</p> <p>10. NJA, kindly provide more frequent opportunities to the judges of subordinate courts for latest developments in the field of law.</p> <p>12. The two days' time is too short. It is possible to extend the programme for one week.</p> <p>13. The time period should be enhanced.</p> <p>15. One and a half day programme is insufficient.</p> <p>18. Satisfied.</p> <p>19. Some programmes on judges immunity (Subordinate judiciary).</p> <p>22. One resource persons per session instead of two (not three in any given case, as last one does not get ample time at all); Discussion of problems being faced by district judiciary, rather than discussion of theoretical aspects only; Food can be better; Auditorium needs to be cleaned. Even seats are too upright & uncomfortable for long sitting.</p> <p>26. Please share reading material on regular basis.</p> <p>27. Allow spouse to accompany the officer.</p> <p>32. Programme is perfect one.</p> <p>33. Week day programmes.</p> <p>35. No. Thank you so much for the opportunity.</p> <p>39. Regarding this programme my opinion is good.</p> <p>40. Programmes should be extended for a few more days.</p> <p>42. Don't write to bring laptop in the programme because it makes very difficulties to carry it through out the journey and in the airport.</p> <p>43. Continuous interaction.</p>
---	---