

National Judicial Academy

**P-1311: West Zone-I: Regional Conference on Contemporary Judicial Developments and
Strengthening Justice through Law & Technology**
29th – 30th October, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman and Dr. Sonam Jain

No. of Participants : 97

No. of forms received : 78

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	97.44	2.56	-	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	91.03	8.97	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	97.40	2.60	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	97.44	2.56	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	88.46	11.54	-	31. The speakers consumed maximum time and exceeded time allotted. 35. Required more time for interaction.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	90.91	9.09	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by - jurists)	87.84	12.16	-	-
c. Up to date	88.16	11.84	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	91.03	8.97	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	60.81	36.49	2.70	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	96.15	3.85	-	-
The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	70.49	29.51	-	31. Due to time constraints the GD was restricted to limited time.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	93.55	6.45	-	7. Excellent. 15. Not noticed.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	82.86	17.14	-	31. Time constraints.
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	70.18	29.82	-	15. Not encountered. 35. As there was no simulation exercise cannot comment on it.
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	84.62	15.38	-	7. Excellent. 15. Not encountered except for PPT used. 19. Visual data was not readable.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	94.81	5.19	96.88	3.12
2	94.74	5.26	96.83	3.17
3	86.84	13.16	93.55	6.45
4	89.47	10.53	96.83	3.17
5	89.04	10.96	95.08	4.92
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks

a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	92.11	7.89	-	23. Material may be provided in e-mail so that the same can be studied before hand. 35. Very useful & exhaustive. 48. Will be checked because material provided in soft form.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	90.41	9.59	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	89.04	9.59	1.37	30. Informative and up to date.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. Opportunity to check own conceptions and self-correction; 2. Knowledge of recent developments in law; 3. Guidance of experienced resource persons.</p> <p>2. Got insight of e-courts. Motivated for paperless courts.</p> <p>3. New innovations & new vision.</p> <p>4. Cleared doubts; Got motivated to perform in better way; Got new insight.</p> <p>5. Gained new knowledge; Cleared doubts; Got motivation for paperless court.</p> <p>6. The phrase “You aspire you get”; The phrase “Don’t speak all you think”; Rigveda “Let noble thoughts come to us from all angles”</p> <p>7. 1. Learnt new facets of Art. 21 of constitution; 2. Learnt new trends in bail jurisprudence; 3. How to deal with challenges to set up e-courts.</p> <p>8. Cleared all doubts and learnt new concepts.</p> <p>9. Contemporary judicial developments, effect of electronic evidence and use of various e-gadgets provided by e-committee of the Supreme court.</p> <p>10. 1. Informative; 2. Updating new concepts; 3. New innovative ideas.</p> <p>11. 1. Recent innovations: JustApp, judgement portal; 2. Binding force of interim mandamus; 3. Self-imposed restrictions.</p> <p>12. 1. Got knowledge of concepts and recent development in law; 2. Opportunity to check new concepts; 3. New concepts which could be implemented in day to day work.</p> <p>13. Constitutional law, Bail, Precedents.</p> <p>14. Scope of law of precedent; Factors to be considered while granting bail; Effective judicial governance and technology.</p> <p>15. 1. Knowledge updation; 2. Steps in progress in technology;</p> <p>16. 1. Acquisition of knowledge of recent development in law; 2. Expert and experienced resource person’s guidance; 3. Checking of own conceptions and self-correction.</p>
---	--

17. Refreshed the law.
18. Up to great extent.
19. We learnt about artificial intelligence and how effectively it can be used.
20. 1. Safe guarding right to life; 2. Use of precedents; 3. Handling bail matters responsibility.
21. Clarity improvement.
22. Clarity.
23. How to enhance e-court project and how to make courts paperless.
24. Regarding burden of proof; Electronic evidence; Persuasive versus binding effects of judgement.
25. The lectures were very knowledgeable, informative & to the point.
26. Knowledgeable; Informative; Legal burden & evidence burden.
27. Emerging technology and effective use of it, technical aspects of e-governance, constitutional aspects vis-à-vis prohibition.
28. Very useful and enhance our knowledge.
29. About reverse burden of proof; About right to freedom of speech & expression; About the e-filing benefits.
30. Apply more technology in judicial work, Law of precedents for the judicial work.
31. Conceptual clarity; Foresightedness; Be the change.
32. The exchange of ideas inter-state and exposure of knowledge from the stalwarts in the field of law have helped at improving personal knowledge.
33. Knowledge sessions useful & relevant to my work. Programme cleared many doubts.
34. Art. 21 & bail; Burden of proof vs proof of reverse burden; Case Information System.
35. Very effective, enlightening and useful for day to day work.
36. Technological aspects of e-courts; Constitutional aspect of Art. 21; Legal burden & evidential burden.
38. 1. Listening from learned speakers is encouraging; 2. Constitution part was also good; 3. Binding effect of judgements, gave new impression.
39. Bail jurisprudence & electronic evidence.
40. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – Reverse burden of proof & electronic evidence.
42. Overview of e-court project. Since it enlightened us about the latest developments of the same.
44. Way of expression, reading & interpretation of judgement; Use of technology in our work.
45. 1. Right to freedom of speech & expression; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. E-court project & future technology for effective Judicial Conference.
46. 1. I have come to learn law of precedent; 2. In changed circumstances law of reverse burden; 3. There must be balance between personal liberty and granting bail; 4. Use of e-services.

47. Judicial limits; Judiciary and media- Need for balance; Reverse burden of proof.

48. 1. Reverse burden of proof: Shifting of onus viz. Statutory requirements; 2. Binding effect of judgements; 3. E-court project.

49. Hearing most eminent resource persons.

50. 1. Paperless office in district court; 2. Annual confidential report; 3. Right to freedom of speech.

51. Programme was very useful and beneficial to us.

52. 1. New achievements of paperless courts in Kerala H.C.; 2. Bail law; 3. Reverse burden of proof.

53. 1. Contemporary legal developments; 2. Updating of new legal aspects; 3. Boost confidence.

54. Knowledge enhancement; Contemporary development.

55. 1. Contemporary developments; 2. Enhancement of knowledge; 3. Capacity building.

56. Case Information System innovations, phase IV and discussion on bail matters with prominent jurists.

57. 1. Law regarding reverse burden of proof & shifting of onus; 2. Latest position, How to deal with electronic evidence; 3. Persuasive & Binding effect of judgement.

60. Judiciary and media. Language in courts. E-court system.

61. E- court project.

62. Idea of paperless court was fantastic and useful for all stakeholder.

63. Use of technology.

64. Use of information and technology.

65. Use of technology.

67. 1. Listening the experience of the resource persons on various aspects is very much useful. 2. Discussion on e-court project is beneficial. 3. Views on the topic of federalism and Art. 21 of constitution is the best achievement of the conference.

68. 1. E- Court project. 2. Electronic evidence. 3. Precedential value of judgements.

70. The discussion about judiciary and media very beneficial for us. Discussion about bail provision will also assist in to deciding bail application.

72. 1. Got to know about CIS innovations. 2. Important case laws about electronic evidence. 3. Sharing work experience in seminar.

73. Art. 21 of constitution regarding bail and reverse burden were most important topics of learning.

74. E-court learning programme. 2. Open sessions for discussions. 3. Share experience with dignitaries of other states.

75. The discussion about judiciary and media is most beneficial, discussion as bail and reverse burden is also very useful.

76. Bail, and not the jail is rule. Sec. 65B evidence Act electronic binding effects of judgements.

77. 1. Enhancement in knowledge regarding law points. 2. Learning about the future technology for effective judicial governance. 3. Getting benefit from experience and ideas of different Hon'ble judges.

	78. Great change in mindset about technology and updation of knowledge.
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. to 5. All parts.</p> <p>6. Talk by Justice A. Muhamed Mustaque.</p> <p>7. Every session was useful as I get inspiration & new vision.</p> <p>8. All sessions are useful.</p> <p>9. Use of citations while dispensing justice in any case and use of electronic evidence as it concern with my daily work in court.</p> <p>10. I find all programme useful. But e-committee programme most useful because, it update acknowledge.</p> <p>11. Developing contours of bail jurisprudence because we are dealing with the same day to day.</p> <p>12., 16, 18. All parts were very useful.</p> <p>13. Constitutional law.</p> <p>15. Technological development due to urgency & relevancy.</p> <p>17. Interactive session.</p> <p>19. First and third session was useful in day to day work.</p> <p>20. Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges and 4. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme].</p> <p>21. Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.</p> <p>22. Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments and Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>24. Regarding e-court committee – useful in day to day business in court proceedings.</p> <p>25. The lecture on bail reverse burden.</p> <p>26. Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>27. Overview of e-courts project as it helped enormously to understand & implement technical aspect of e-courts.</p> <p>28. Overview of e-courts project.</p> <p>29. Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments – Persuasive versus Binding effect of judgements and Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme].</p> <p>30. Future technology for effective judicial governance, Law precedents.</p> <p>31. Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; It was very interesting and discussed present challenges.</p> <p>32. The Q&A’s at the end of every session were very useful.</p> <p>33. E-court project as it brought more insights & enhanced vision for effective judicial governance vision highlighted on: Paperless courts to increase efficiency at work.</p> <p>34. All.</p>

35. **Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments** and **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – As it dealt with the issues we face day to day in courts.
36. Latest developments in Blockchain- technology.
37. Bail information & reverse onus.
38. Electronic evidence in session 3 was most useful as it relates to our day to day work.
39. Bail jurisprudence because I am dealing with this.
40. **Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges** – Reverse burden of proof & electronic evidence.
41. Bail & electronic evidence, CIS, Art. 21.
42. Developments in criminal law: issues and challenges- since it relates to our day to day court working.
44. Federalism. The way of expression.
45. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme] and Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme].**
46. Law of precedent & personal liberty.
47. E-courts project; Electronic evidence and global legal perspective vis-à-vis Arjun Pandit.
48. Reverse burden of proof: Shifting of onus viz. Statutory requirements.
49. **Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments;**
50. 1. Paperless court; 2. Reverse burden of proof.
51. Developing contours of bail jurisprudence.
52. Bail law – useful insights on dealing with bail application.
53. Overview of e-courts project & e-court services, because their services will definitely improve efficiency and transparency in judicial system.
54. E-court.
55. E-court. Because it can increase accessibility to justice and at the same time saves resources, time and energy.
56. Paperless court and their functioning gave a vision to us.
57. Judicial governance vis-à-vis artificial intelligence because it will improve the efficiency of judicial system & judges.
58. E-court project.
60. Latest developments in technology.
61. E- court due to technological change and speedy justice.
62. Digitization process in court is innovative activity for judiciary.
63. Court part.
64. All.

	<p>65. Paperless court.</p> <p>67. All sessions of the conference is very useful for the daily functioning of court.</p> <p>68. Session-3 <i>Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.</i></p> <p>70. CIS innovation and recent innovation NSTEP, justice.</p> <p>71. Session-1 <i>Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session-2 Precedential Value of High Court Judgments.</i></p> <p>72. I find the Session-4 <i>Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme]</i> - Most useful because E-court project and CIS innovations are much required at present.</p> <p>73. All parts of the programmes were useful. Group discussion and experiences should by dignitaries were helpful.</p> <p>74. All sessions of the conference were very effective and useful.</p> <p>75. CIS innovation and recent innovation NSTEP, JustApp.</p> <p>76. Session-1 <i>Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session-2 Precedential Value of High Court Judgments</i> - Are most useful and beneficial.</p> <p>77. Almost all parts of the programmes are useful because they are related to our day to day courts working and they will be very helpful in increasing in our outputs.</p> <p>78. Session-4 <i>Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme]; Session-5 Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme]</i> -in extremely wonderful for all Resource Persons were outstanding.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>6. Each participant must be given some task in advance to show active participation.</p> <p>7. No change is required.</p> <p>9. Model video of recording electronic evidence may be more useful.</p> <p>13. Timings should be maintained.</p> <p>15. Yes.</p> <p>19. Good.</p> <p>25. Yes, There should be 3 days programme.</p> <p>30. More time should be provided.</p> <p>31. Time should be strictly addressed to – Since we have discussed the subject of “Paperless court” this feedback form could have been designed as “Google form”. We could have started our initiative by today itself.</p> <p>32. In my humble opinion the programme can be made to start earlier in the morning so as to a lot more time for discussion.</p> <p>33. Training programmes for judges on e-courts projects & paperless courts should be enhanced to enable all judicial officers to have expertize on the subject.</p> <p>37. Yes it may be made for 3 to 4 days.</p> <p>42. Programme is very useful.</p> <p>44. PPT may be reduced.</p> <p>45. The topic of e-court future technology for effective judicial governance & the topic of electronic evidence is so large, so give time is less.</p> <p>46. Yes, It should be more concentrated towards trial court.</p>

	<p>49. Whole programme is satisfactory.</p> <p>50. No, but time should be extended at least for one week.</p> <p>51. Duration of programme should be increased.</p> <p>52. More interaction with participants will make programme better.</p> <p>53. Programme is designed very well.</p> <p>60. Yes.</p> <p>61. To some extent.</p> <p>62. It was excellent and fantastic.</p> <p>74. No need at all. The programme was already knowledgeable.</p> <p>76. Need some more practical sessions.</p> <p>77. In my humble view the programme is very comprehensive.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. There can be more participants if programmes like these are organized through video conferencing of course we will miss hospitality. Everything in the conference is effective, useful and good. So no other suggestions.</p> <p>2. Hon'ble Chief Justice, Rajasthan and entire RJA has given great experience & moments of learning. No suggestion.</p> <p>3. Good session so no suggestions.</p> <p>5. Hon'ble the Chief Justice of Rajasthan High Court has made great efforts to make the programme successful, so no suggestions.</p> <p>6. If offices of the District Judiciary are invited, it will lead to better participation.</p> <p>8. This conference is memorable to all participants. Please share event photos & video through google drive on WhatsApp or email. Electronic mode like Microsoft or Google form can be used to fill-up feedback form of all participants.</p> <p>11. I am of the opinion that the time period of the programme should be more than two days.</p> <p>14. More time should be given for interaction.</p> <p>15. All & important conferences & discussion can be made available an own channel available to all judicial officers.</p> <p>16. At least this feedback could be in google Form. Nothing more.</p> <p>19. To make available time for interaction and share administrative difficulties and solutions.</p> <p>25. Every year this type of conference should be arranged for every judicial officer.</p> <p>27. NJA is requested to arrange more such participative programmes which helps the judicial officers throughout nation to exchange their thoughts on various aspects.</p> <p>30. Programme should be conveyed before appropriate time so that we can attend with proper planning.</p> <p>31. The scheme of the programme should be such that it covers problems/Challenges, their solutions and implementation of solutions.</p> <p>32. The PPT's and reading material can be made available to the participants a couple of days in advance through e-mail.</p> <p>33. Training programme be organized to promote the concept of paperless courts for strengthening access to justice by every stakeholder.</p>

	<p>34. Programme was extraordinary; Very useful.</p> <p>37. In festive seasons on last minute participant those who are coming from 800 kms can't find reservations so if NJA establishes one dedicated travel desk, it would benefit to all judges in courts, Judges are helpless & dependent on this to get reserved tickets, In fact I have driven 800 kms being a cardiac patient so if any dedicated number is given to all participant judges, it would be great help like national travel desk for NJA participants.</p> <p>38. & 39. All was good.</p> <p>40. Programme related to e-education must be given more for taking step in paperless court. Please improve medical facility also.</p> <p>44. Resource person may be given requisite time so express their views fully.</p> <p>45. Judges work in criminal cases based on police work. Police & other government agencies do not work in time, so that affects trial in criminal cases. So conference may be organized on this topic for some change.</p> <p>46. Some more topic should be included such as artificial intelligence.</p> <p>48. Conference should be conducted through online mode. Too much time in journey affects courts working.</p> <p>50. Power point study material instead of huge bulky material.</p> <p>52. Programme material can be made brief with bullet point with important rulings.</p> <p>53. Topics for magistrate courts may be included in the programme.</p> <p>60. Programmes schedule must be for at least 3 days so that interactive a valuable to delegates.</p> <p>61. It was a useful and interactive conference.</p> <p>62. All is ok.</p> <p>63. Programmes made regularly.</p> <p>65. Such type of seminar should be organized periodically.</p> <p>68. There should be a full session for group discussions cleared many doubts & share experiences to participants.</p> <p>70. Such type of training programmes should be organize regularly.</p> <p>71. Such more programmes should be organized.</p> <p>76. Such type of programmes should be organized frequently to benefit the officers.</p> <p>77. In my humble view such type of programme should be organized time to time and other stakeholders may be added.</p> <p>78. If possible provide the material earlier so we can go through it.</p>
--	--