

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Serial No	Articles/ Case Law/ Suggested References	Page. No
<b>SESSION 1: WRIT JURISDICTION: SCOPE AND EXTENT UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION</b>		
1.	C.K. Thakker and M.C. Thakker, <i>Jurisdiction of High Courts in</i> V.G. RAMACHANDRAN'S LAW OF WRITS, Eastern Book Co. (2006), Vol. 1, Sixth Edition, pp. 168-173	<b>2</b>
2.	M.R. Mallick, <i>Writ Jurisdiction of High Court – General Principles</i> in WRITS LAW AND PRACTICE, Eastern Law House (2000), pp. 137-154	<b>9</b>
3.	Arvind P Datar, <i>Article 226. Power of High Courts to Issue Certain Writs</i> in COMMENTARY ON THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, Wadhwa Nagpur, Second edition (2007), Volume 2, pp. 1245-1250	<b>28</b>
4.	Vijay Hansaria, <i>Who can Apply</i> in JUSTICE B.L. HANSARIA'S WRIT JURISDICTION, Universal Law Publishing Co. (2008), Third Edition, pp. 13-30	<b>35</b>
5.	C.K. Thakker and M.C. Thakker, <i>Alternative Remedy in</i> V.G. RAMACHANDRAN'S LAW OF WRITS, Eastern Book Co. (2006), Vol. 1, Sixth Edition, pp. 205-253	<b>54</b>
6.	Gopal Subramaniam, <i>Writs and Remedies</i> in THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Sudhir Choudhary et.al. (Ed.), Oxford University Press (2016), pp. 614-626	<b>105</b>
<b>CASE REFERENCES</b>		
1.	<i>State Bank of India and Ors. v. K.S. Vishwanath</i> , MANU/SC/0698/2022, AIR 2022 SC 2531	<b>119</b>
2.	<i>Radha Krishan Industries v. State of H.P.</i> , (2021) 6 SCC 771	<b>120</b>
3.	<i>Unitech Limited &amp; Others v. Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation (TSIIC) and Others</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 99	<b>122</b>
4.	<i>Paulmech Infrastructure Private Limited v. State of Odisha and Others</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 856	<b>123</b>
5.	<i>Magadh Sugar &amp; Energy Ltd. v. State of Bihar and Others</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 801	<b>124</b>
6.	<i>Assistant Commissioner of State Tax and Others v. Commercial Steel Limited</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 884	<b>125</b>
7.	<i>Director General of Police, Railway Protection Force and Others v. Rajendra Kumar Dubey</i> , 2020 SCC OnLine SC 954	<b>125</b>
8.	<i>Vetindia Pharmaceuticals Ltd. v. State of U.P.</i> , (2021) 1 SCC 804	<b>128</b>
9.	<i>Rapid Metrorail Gurgaon Limited etc. v. Haryana Mass Rapid Transport Corporation Limited and Other</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 269	<b>129</b>
10.	<i>Hari Krishna Mandir Trust v. State of Maharashtra</i> , (2020) 9 SCC 356	<b>129</b>
11.	<i>Embassy Property Developments (P) Ltd. v. State of Karnataka</i> , (2020) 13 SCC 308	<b>131</b>
12.	<i>Rengali Hydro Electric Project v. Giridhari Sahu</i> , (2019) 10 SCC 695	<b>132</b>

13.	<i>Caretel Infotech Ltd. v. Hindustan Petroleum Corpn. Ltd.</i> , (2019) 14 SCC 81	136
14.	<i>Union of India v. P. Gunasekaran</i> , (2015) 2 SCC 610	137
15.	<i>Rishi Kiran Logistics (P) Ltd. v. Kandla Port Trust</i> , (2015) 13 SCC 233	140
16.	<i>Joshi Technologies International Inc. v. Union of India</i> , (2015) 7 SCC 728	140
17.	<i>Harbanslal Sahnia v Indian Oil Corpn. Ltd.</i> , (2003) 2 SCC 107	142
18.	<i>Whirlpool Corporation v Registrar of Trademarks, Mumbai</i> , (1998) 8 SCC 1	143
19.	<i>Dwarka Nath v. Income Tax Officer</i> , AIR 1966 SC 81	144
20.	<i>Syed Yakooob v. K.S. Radhakrishnan</i> , AIR 1964 SC 477	146
21.	<i>Thansingh Nathmal v. Supdt. of Taxes</i> , AIR 1964 SC 1419	146

#### CASE LAW (FULL TEXT)

1.	<i>State of Uttarakhand and Another v. Mayan Pal Singh Verma</i> , 2022 SCC OnLine SC 469	149
2.	<i>Vaibhav Enterprise v. Nobel Cera Coat And Others</i> , (2021) 10 SCC 757	152
3.	<i>Assistant Commissioner (CT) LTU, Kakinada and Others v. Glaxo Smith Kline Consumer Health Care Limited</i> , 2020 SCC OnLine SC 681	157
4.	<i>Punjab National Bank v. Atmanand Singh and Others</i> , (2020) 6 SCC 256	181
5.	<i>Home Secretary (Prison) and Others v. H. Nilofer Nisha</i> , (2020) 14 SCC 161	197
6.	<i>Maharashtra Chess Assn. v. Union of India</i> , (2020) 13 SCC 285	217
7.	<i>Radhey Shyam And Another v. Chhabi Nath And Others</i> , (2015) 5 SCC 423	229
8.	<i>Surya Dev Rai v. Ram Chander Rai And Others</i> , (2003) 6 SCC 675 [Partly followed in <i>Radhey Shyam And Another v. Chhabi Nath And Others</i> , (2009) 5 SCC 616 on the point of essential distinctions between exercise of power under Article 226 and Article 227. <u>Overruled on the point that the High Court can exercise its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 to interfere with a judicial order passed by a court of competent jurisdiction in a property suit in which all parties were private individuals</u> in <i>Radhey Shyam And Another v. Chhabi Nath And Others</i> , (2015) 5 SCC 423 at p.449]	253
9.	<i>L. Chandra Kumar v. Union of India And Others</i> , (1997) 3 Supreme Court Cases 261	274
10.	<i>Suganmal v. State Of Madhya Pradesh And Others</i> , AIR 1965 SC 1740	321
11.	<i>E.S. Sundara Mahalingam v. Special Tribunal for Co-operative Cases (District Judge) and Ors.</i> , MANU/TN/9686/2019	326
12.	<i>Vijayanand Puri v. Deorani Devi and Others</i> , 2019 SCC OnLine Pat 1808	331

#### Additional Suggested Readings

– *Bhaven Construction v. Executive Eng. Sardar Sarovar Narmada Nigam Ltd & Anr*, (2022) 1 SCC 75  
[The Supreme Court dealt with and answered the question of whether the arbitral process could be interfered with under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution. While doing so, the Supreme Court held that it is not prudent for the courts to exercise their discretion beyond the procedure prescribed under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Arbitration Act). Instead, such discretion ought to be exercised in “exceptional rarity”, in the event that a party is left without a remedy under the statute, or clear “bad faith” is demonstrated. This, as the Supreme Court observed, is with a view to ensuring that the arbitral process is conducted in a fair and efficient manner, consistent with

*h the legislative intention underlying the Arbitration Act. In the facts of that case, the Supreme Court saw no reason to interfere with the unilateral appointment of an arbitrator, as such appointment was made in accordance with the arbitration clause. ]*

- *Balkrishna Ram v. Union of India*, (2020) 2 SCC 442 (Refer Session 2 for full text of the Judgment)
  - *State of U.P. v. Sudhir Kumar Singh & Others*, 2020 SCC Online 847
  - *Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai v. Ankita Sinha and Others*, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 897
  - *Kiran Devi v. Bihar State Sunni Wakf Board and Others*, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 280
  - *Thakur Prasad v. Beni Prasad & Ors*, (2015)SCC Online All 8502
- Arvind P Datar, *Article 226. Power of High Courts to Issue Certain Writs* in COMMENTARY ON THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, Wadhwa Nagpur, Second edition (2007), Volume 2, pp. 1187-1332

## SESSION 2: JUDICIAL REVIEW OF LEGISLATIVE ACTION

1.	Jaideep Gupta, <i>Judicial Review of Legislation under the Indian Constitution: The First 70 Years</i> in THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA: CALIBRATING AND CALIBRATING 70 YEARS by Lalit Bhasin (Ed.), Law & Justice Publishing Co. (2020), pp. 175-186	347
2.	V. Niranjan, <i>Defective Validation Clause: The Impact of the Finance Act, 2012 on Vodafone and other Assesseees</i> , (2012)5 SCC J-25	359
3.	Dieter Grimm, <i>Constitutional Adjudication and Constitutional Interpretation: Between Law and Politics</i> , NUJS Law Review, 4 NUJS L.Rev. 15 (2011)	380
4.	Santosh Hegde, <i>Limits of Judicial Review — After Kesavananda Bharati</i> , (2001) 3 LW (JS) 1 (1)	396
5.	George C. Christie, <i>A Model of Judicial Review of Legislation</i> , Souther California Law Review (1975), Vol. 48:1306	407
6.	Tarunabh Khaitan, <i>Equality – Legislative Review under Article 14</i> in THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Sudhir Choudhary et.al. (Ed.), Oxford University Press (2016), pp. 699-719	460

## CASE REFERENCES

1.	<i>Ibrat Faizan v. Omaxe Buildhome Private Limited</i> , 2022 SCC OnLine SC 620	482
2.	<i>Jacob Puliyeel v. Union of India and Others</i> , 2022 SCC OnLine SC 533	483
3.	<i>Mangalam Organics Ltd. v. Union of India</i> , (2017) 7 SCC 221	487
4.	<i>Madras Bar Association vs. Union of India (UOI)</i> , (2014) 10 SCC 1	490
5.	<i>A.K. Behera v. Union of India</i> , (2010) 11 SCC 322	509
6.	<i>State of Kerala v. Peoples Union for Civil Liberties</i> , (2009) 8 SCC 46	510
7.	<i>Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India</i> , (2018) 10 SCC 1	512
8.	<i>Jindal Stainless Ltd. v. State of Haryana</i> , (2017) 12 SCC 1	512
9.	<i>K.S. Puttaswamy (Privacy-9J.) v. Union of India</i> , (2017) 10 SCC 1	516

## CASE LAW (FULL TEXT)

1.	<i>Madras Bar Association v. Union of India and Another</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 463	518
----	--	-----

2.	<i>Balkrishna Ram v. Union of India</i> , (2020) 2 SCC 442	584
3.	<i>P. Sambamurthy And Others v. State of A.P.</i> , (1987) 1 SCC 362	594
4.	<i>Commissioner of Income Tax v. Godavari Devi Saraf</i> , 1977 SCC Online Bom 215	602
5.	<i>East India Commercial Co. Ltd., Calcutta v. Collector of Customs Calcutta</i> , (1963) 3 SCR 338	605
6.	<i>State v. Abdool Rachid Khoiratty</i> , 2006 SCC OnLine UKPC 1 ( <i>Mauritius</i> )	621
<b>Additional Suggested References</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <i>Common Cause (A Regd. Society) v. Union of India</i>, (2008) 5 SCC 511</li> <li>– <i>Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Assn. v. Union of India</i>, (2016) 5 SCC 1</li> <li>– <i>Manish Kumar v. Union of India</i>, (2021) 5 SCC 1</li> <li>– <i>Indian Young Lawyers Assn. (Sabarimala Temple-5J.) v. State of Kerala</i>, (2019) 11 SCC 1</li> <li>– <i>Gujarat Mazdoor Sabha v. The state of Gujarat</i>, 2020 SCC Online SC798</li> <li>– <i>Alagaapuram R Mohanraj v. Tamil Nadu Legislative Assembly</i>, (2016) 6 SCCC 82</li> <li>– <i>Joseph Shine v. Union of India</i>, (2019)3 SCC 39</li> </ul>		
<b>SESSION 3: JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION</b>		
1.	Prateek Jalan and Ritin Rai, <i>Review of Administrative Action</i> in THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Sudhir Choudhary et.al. (Ed.), Oxford University Press (2016), pp. 432-447	635
2.	V. Sudhish Pai, <i>Is Wednesbury on the Terminal Decline?</i> , (2008) 2 SCC (J)	652
3.	Justice Markandey Katju, <i>Administrative Law and Judicial Review of Administrative Action</i> , (2005) 8 SCC J-25.	661
4.	Santanu Sabhapandit, <i>Article 12 and Judicial Review of Administrative Action: An Analysis</i> , Indian Law Review, (2018)	670
5.	C.K. Thakker, <i>Administrative Discretion and Judicial Review</i> (excerpt - Chapter 9), Administrative Law, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ed., Eastern book Co. Pp. 710-733	691
6.	J.P. Rai, <i>Exercise of Pardoning Power in India: Emerging Challenges</i> , The NEHU Journal, Vol XII, No. 2, July - December 2014, pp. 1-26	716
7.	Michael Kirby, <i>Effective Review of Administrative Acts: The Hallmark of a Free and Fair Society</i> , 5 S. Afr. J. On Hum. Rts. 321 (1989)	742
<b>CASE REFERENCES</b>		
1.	<i>Mohd. Mustafa v. Union of India</i> , 2021 SCC Online SC 1063	768
2.	<i>Shivraj Singh Chouhan v. M.P. Legislative Assembly</i> , (2020) 17 SCC 1	770
3.	<i>Ghanshyam Upadhyay v. The State of Uttar Pradesh</i> , (2020) 16 SCC 811	772
4.	<i>State of Rajasthan and Others v. Heem Singh</i> , 2020 SCC OnLine SC 886	772
5.	<i>Vasavi Engineering College Parents Assn. v. State of Telangana &amp; ors.</i> (2019) 7 SCC 172	774
6.	<i>Municipal Corporation, Ujjain v. BVG India Ltd. &amp; ors.</i> , (2018) 5 SCC 462	776

7.	<i>State of Himachal Pradesh &amp; Others v. Satpal Saini</i> , (2017) 11 SCC 42	<b>780</b>
8.	<i>M/s Kalinga Mining Corp. v. Union of India</i> , (2013) 5 SCC 252	<b>782</b>
9.	<i>M/S Kranti Asso. Pvt. Ltd. &amp; Anr v. Masood Ahmed Khan &amp; Ors</i> , (2010) 9 SCC 496	<b>783</b>
10.	<i>Raja Ram Pal v. Hon'ble Speaker, Lok Sabha</i> , (2007) 3 SCC 184	<b>784</b>
11.	<i>Mansingh v. State of Haryana</i> , (2008) 12 SCC 331	<b>795</b>
12.	<i>Haryana Financial Corporation v. Jagdamba Oil Mills and Another</i> , (2002) 3 SCC 496	<b>795</b>
13.	<i>Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Assn. v. Union of India</i> , (1993) 4 SCC 441	<b>796</b>
14.	<i>A.K. Kraipak v. Union of India</i> , (1969) 2 SCC 262 (Para 20)	<b>797</b>
<b>CASE BRIEFS</b>		
1.	<i>Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. &amp; ors. v. AMR Dev Prabha &amp; ors</i> 2020 SCC OnLine 52 SC 335	<b>798</b>
2.	<i>Embassy Property Developments Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Karnataka &amp; ors.</i> 2019 SCC OnLine SC 1542	<b>799</b>
3.	<i>Yashwant Sinha v. Central Bureau of Investigation &amp; ors.</i> (2020) 2 SCC 338	<b>800</b>
4.	<i>Shrimanth Balasaheb Patil v. Speaker, Karnataka Legislative Assembly &amp; ors.</i> , (2020) 2 SCC 595	<b>803</b>
5.	<i>Indore Development Authority v. Manohar Lal &amp; ors.</i> , (2020) 6 SCC 304	<b>805</b>
6.	<i>63 Moons Technologies Ltd. v. Union of India &amp; ors.</i> (2019) 18 SCC 401	<b>806</b>
7.	<i>Rajbir Surajbhan Singh v. The Chairman, Institute of Banking Personnel Selection, Mumbai</i> , (2019) 14 SCC 189	<b>809</b>
8.	<i>Dinesh Kumar Kashyap and ors. v. South East Central Railway &amp; ors.</i> (2019) 12 SCC 798	<b>810</b>
9.	<i>Goa Foundation v. Sesa Sterlite Ltd. &amp; ors.</i> , (2018) 4 SCC 218	<b>812</b>
10.	<i>Nabam Rebia and Bamang Felix v. Deputy Speaker, Arunachal Pradesh Legislative Assembly and ors.</i> (2016) 8 SCC 1	<b>814</b>
<b>CASE LAW (FULL TEXT)</b>		
1.	<i>Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and Another v. Emta Coal Limited</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 766	<b>821</b>
2.	<i>Pyare Lal v. State of Haryana</i> , (2020) 8 SCCC 680	<b>834</b>
3.	<i>Ramakrishna Mission v. Kago Kunya</i> , (2019) 16 SCC 303	<b>851</b>
4.	<i>Rashmi Metaliks Ltd. and Anr v. Kolkata Metropolitan Development Authority and others</i> , (2013) 10 SCC 95	<b>864</b>
5.	<i>Manohar Lal Sharma v. Union of India</i> , (2013) 6 SCC 616	<b>874</b>
6.	<i>Noble Resources Ltd v. State of Orissa and Anr</i> , (2006) 10 SCC 236	<b>878</b>
7.	<i>Epuru Sudhakar And Another v. Government of A.P. And Others</i> , (2006) 8 SCC 161	<b>892</b>
8.	<i>Jagdish Mandal v. State of Orissa And Others</i> , (2007) 14 SCC 517	<b>921</b>
9.	<i>V. Ramana v. A.P. SRTC and Others</i> , (2005) 7 SCC 338	<b>939</b>



**Orientation Course for Newly Elevated High Court Justices, [P-1304]  
10-11 September, 2022**

10.	<i>Bikas Chatterjee v. Union of India and Others</i> , (2004) 7 SCC 634	<b>949</b>
11.	<i>Om Kumar and Others v. Union of India</i> , (2001) 2 SCC 386	<b>953</b>
12.	<i>Union of India v. Ganayutham</i> , (1997) 7 SCC 463	<b>981</b>
13.	<i>Tata Cellular v. Union of India</i> , (1994) 6 SCC 651	<b>999</b>
14.	<i>Sterling Computers Ltd. v. M/s M &amp; N Publications Ltd. and Others</i> , (1993) 1 SCC 445	<b>1062</b>
15.	<i>Maru Ram v. Union of India and Ors</i> , 1981 SCC (Cri) 112	<b>1080</b>

**Additional Suggested References**

- Harry Woolf, Jeffrey Jowell, Andrew Le Sueur., *De Smith’s Judicial Review*, Sixth Ed., Sweet & Maxwell 2007 (Chapter 11, Pp. 543-608)
- *Rajeev Suri v. Delhi Development Authority and Others*, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 7
- *Sushil Kumar v. The State Of Haryana & Ors*. Civil Appeal No. 401 OF 2022 (Arising Out of SLP(Civil) No. 30370 OF 2017) - [8.4 *The Single Judge as well as the Division Bench for good reasons refrained from going into the individual comparative merit. In judicial review proceedings, the Courts are concerned with the decision-making process and not the decision itself.*]
- *Reliance Airport Developers (P) Ltd. v. Airport Authority of India and Ors*, (2006) 10 SCC 1
- *Harbhajan Singh and Minister of Employment and Immigration*, 1985 SCC OnLine Can SC 11
- *Council Of Civil Service Unions And Others v. and Minister For The Civil Service*, [1985] A.C. 374
- *William Marbury v. James Madison*, 5 US 137 (1803)
- *Associated Provincial Picture Houses, Limited v. Wednesbury Corporation*, [1948] 1 K.B. 223
- *S.R. Bommai v. Union of India* [1994 AIR 1918]
- *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* [(1973) 4 SCC 225]
- *Indra Sawhney & Others v. Union of India* [AIR 1993 SC 477]
- *Minerva Mills Ltd. & Ors vs Union of India & Ors* [AIR 1980 SC 1789]
- *K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd) v. Union of India* [AIR 2017 SC 4161]
- *Manak Lal v. Dr. Prem Chand* [1957 AIR 425]
- *State of Rajasthan v. Union of India* [(1977) 3 SCC 592] (Pg. 210 onwards) - *Consideration of irrelevant material, criteria & non-consideration of the relevant material was first stated in the case.*
- *Andhra Pradesh SRTC v. Abdul Kareem* [(2005) 7 SCC 338]
- *State of Gujarat & Ors v. Ambalal Haiderbhai* [1976 3 SCC 495]
- *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* [(1978) 1 SCC 248] - *actions are open for judicial review on account of unconstitutionality even if administrative –constitutionality, denial of natural justice, malafides & ultra-vires.*
- *Pradeep Kumar Biswas v. Indian Institute of Chemical* [(2002) 5 SCC 111]
- *Andi Mukta Sadguru... v. V.R. Rudani and others* [(1989) 2 SCC 691]
- *Natural Resource Allocation, In Re* [(2012) 10 SCC 1]

<b>SESSION 4: JUDICIAL RESTRAINT, ACTIVISM AND OVERREACH: EVOLVING JURISPRUDENCE</b>		
1.	R Shunmugasundaram, <i>Judicial activism and overreach in India</i> , Amicus Curiae Issue 72 Winter 2007	<b>1132</b>
2.	R. Hari Krishnan and Anurag Bhaskar, <i>Article 142 of the Indian Constitution: On the Thin Line Between Judicial Activism and Restraint</i> in JUDICIAL REVIEW – PROCESS, POWERS, AND PROBLEMS by Salman Khurshid, <i>et.al.</i> (ed.), Cambridge University Press (2020), pp. 341-364	<b>1140</b>
3.	C. Herman Prichett, <i>Activism versus Self –Restraint</i> in THE ROOSEVELT COURT: A STUDY IN JUDICIAL POLITICS AND VALUE 1937-1947, Quid Pro Books (2014), pp.214-222	<b>1165</b>
4.	Soli J. Sorabjee, <i>Judicial Activism - Boon or Bane?</i> , (2008) 3 SCC J-24	<b>1175</b>
5.	Sangeeta Mandal, <i>Judicial Review of Policy Decisions of the Government: New Challenges and Dimensions of Judiciary in India</i> , 9 INDIAN J.L. & Just. 115 (2018).	<b>1185</b>
6.	M. M. Semwal and Sunil Khosla, <i>Judicial Activism</i> , The Indian Journal of Political Science, JAN. - MAR., 2008, Vol. 69, No. 1 (JAN.- MAR., 2008), pp. 113-126	<b>1197</b>
7.	Swati Sharma, Rahul Rishi & M. S. Ananth, <i>Judicial Activism in India: Whether More Populist Or Less Legal?</i> , Indian Journal Of Constitutional & Administrative Law (2017), Volume I Issue I	<b>1212</b>
8.	Justice Ruma Pal, <i>Judicial Oversight or Overreach: The Role of the Judiciary in Contemporary India</i> , (2008) 7 SCC J-9	<b>1225</b>
9.	Dr. Justice B.S. Chauhan, <i>The Legislative Aspect of the Judiciary: Judicial Activism and Judicial Restraint</i> , (Unpublished, prepared for and delivered at Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy.	<b>1244</b>
<b>SESSION 5 MOULDING OF RELIEF UNDER WRIT JURISDICTION</b>		
1.	V. Lakshminarayanan, <i>Power of High Court to do Complete Justice</i> , (2021) 6 SCC J-39	<b>1263</b>
2.	Arvind P. Datar, <i>Prospective Overruling: Correct Doctrine, Incorrect Application</i> , (2008) 7 SCC J-41	<b>1277</b>
<b>CASE LAW (FULL TEXT)</b>		
1.	<i>Samir Narain Bhojwani vs. Aurora Properties and Investments &amp; Another</i> (2018) 17 SCC 203	<b>1288</b>
2.	<i>Gaiv Dinshaw Irani &amp; Ors vs Tehmtan Irani.</i> (2014) 8 SCC 294	<b>1303</b>
3.	<i>Sheshambal vs. Chelur Corporation Chelur Building</i> (2010) 3 SCC 470	<b>1325</b>
4.	<i>ESI Corporation v. Jardine Henderson Staff Assn.</i> (2006) 6 SCC 581	<b>1335</b>
5.	<i>Lekh Raj v. Munni Lal &amp; others</i> (2001) 2 SCC 762	<b>1358</b>
6.	<i>State of H. P. v. Nurpur (P) Bus Operator Union</i> (1999) 9 SCC 559	<b>1369</b>

**Orientation Course for Newly Elevated High Court Justices, [P-1304]**

**10-11 September, 2022**

7.	<i>B.C. Chaturvedi vs Union of India and Ors.</i> 1995 SCC (6) 749	<b>1372</b>
8.	<i>Ramesh Kumar vs. Kesho Ram</i> AIR 1992 SC 700	<b>1386</b>
9.	<i>State Bank of India v. N. Sundara Money</i> AIR 1976 SC 1111	<b>1391</b>
10.	<i>Pasupuleti Venkateswarlu vs The Motor &amp; General Traders</i> AIR 1975 SC 1409	<b>1398</b>
11.	<i>Patterson v. State of Alabama</i> 224 Ala. 531 (1932)	<b>1402</b>
<b>Additional Suggested References</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– <i>I.C Golak Nath v. State of Punjab</i>, 1967(2) SCR 762</li><li>– <i>Dwarka Nath v. I.T.O.</i>, (AIR 1966 SC 81) [Please refer Session I]</li><li>– <i>M Siddiq v Mahant Suresh Das</i> (2018) 4 SCC 655</li><li>– <i>Patterson v. Alabama</i>, 294 U.S. 1934 [No. 554. Argued February 15, 18, 1935.-Decided April 1, 1935.]</li></ul>		