

National Judicial Academy

P-1290: National Workshop for High Court Justices
2nd – 3rd April, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharaya and Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Faculty

No. of Participants : 27

| I. OVERALL | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| PROPOSITION | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| a. The objective of the Program was clear to me | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| II. KNOWLEDGE | | | | |
| PROPOSITION | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is: | | | | |
| a. Useful to my work | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists) | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| c. Up to date | 100.00 | - | - | - |

| | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|----------------|
| d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| e. Related to International Legal Norms | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM | | | | |
| PROPOSITION | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Remarks |
| a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz. | | | | |
| (i) Case studies were relevant | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| (ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| (iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING | | | | |
| Parameters | | | | |
| Session | Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized | | The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons | |
| | Effective and Useful | Satisfactory | Effective and Useful | Satisfactory |
| 1 | 100.00 | - | 100.00 | - |
| 2 | 100.00 | - | 100.00 | - |
| 3 | 100.00 | - | 100.00 | - |
| 4 | 100.00 | - | 100.00 | - |
| V. PROGRAM MATERIALS | | | | |
| PROPOSITION | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| a. The Program material is useful and relevant | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area | 100.00 | - | - | - |

| | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|---|---|
| c. The content was organized and easy to follow | 100.00 | - | - | - |
|---|---------------|---|---|---|

| VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS | |
|--|--|
| 1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme | <p>1. Schemes/Policy of Government and judgements of the courts cannot be substitutes of good governance. Prioritization of cases during pandemic; and various facets of PIL.</p> <p>2. 1. The role of judiciary to meet the challenges in the protection of Environment and Wildlife and the next level hazards; 2. The need and necessity of information and communication Technology in Courts and introduction of artificial intelligence; 3. The challenges faced in managing the pendency of cases and efficient utilization of resources.</p> |
| 2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why | <p>1. All parts of the programme I found useful.</p> <p>2. All the sessions were very informative and useful.</p> |
| 3. Does the programme need further modulations or change | <p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. No comments.</p> |
| 4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective | <p>1. Physical participation will be more effective.</p> <p>2. No comments.</p> |