

National Judicial Academy
P-1257: National Workshop for High Court Justices
4th – 5th September, 2021

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman and Mr. Krishna Sisodia, Faculty
No. of Participants : 27
No. of forms received : 08

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	100.00	-		-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	100.00	-		-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	100.00	-		-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	100.00	-		-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	87.50	12.50		7. Some more time to require to be given in the context of the subject of important.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	100.00	-	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	87.50	12.50	-	

c. Up to date	87.50	12.50	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	87.50	12.50	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	75.00	25.00		-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	100.00	-	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	100.00	-	-	
(ii) Case studies were relevant	100.00	-	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	100.00	-	-	-
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	85.71	14.29		
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	85.71	14.29		
IV. SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	100.00	-	100.00	-
2	100.00	-	100.00	-
3	100.00	-	100.00	-
4	100.00	-	100.00	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	100.00	-	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	100.00	-	-	
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	100.00	-	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. It was a great experience for me, particularly because, being a person who got recent entry to the judiciary, the materials introduced to me are of immense help for me to know more about the process of adjudication; 2. Got practical lessons to deal with various kinds of situations; 3. Got the opportunity to interact with persons with rich experience in the field and to know their line of thinking.</p> <p>2. 1. Clarity in my vision; 2. Both understanding of the subject; 3. Practically.</p> <p>3. 1. Independence of judiciary; 2. Pragmatic approaches in decision making; 3. Jurisprudence of interpretation.</p> <p>4. Innovate; Strike a balance; It is always not required to be bogged down with precedents.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. 1. It gave new ideas and insights on the subjects discussed; 2. A very effective interaction was held on each of the subjects; 3. The entire programme was highly motivational and inspiring.</p> <p>7. 1. Scope for insight, foresight & introspection; 2. Wide area for developing law with in stature; 3. Sensitization on the virtual issue about collegiality among judges, which is not addressed perhaps addressed to in true spirit, across the country.</p> <p>8. Acquired new ideas in the braches of Interpretation, Administration and Independence of Judiciary.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Session 3: Jurisprudence of Interpretation; Session 4: Pragmatic Approaches in Decision Making.</p> <p>2. Session 2: Independence of Judiciary; Session 3: Jurisprudence of Interpretation.</p> <p>3. Pragmatic approaches in decision making. This is because it gave us motivation to decide cases based on the expectations of the larger sections of the society and our life experience to decide and interpret law.</p> <p>4. All the sessions were very helpful and enlightened me in my issues.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. Session 4: Pragmatic Approaches in Decision Making- because of its usefulness in daily work.</p> <p>7. Session 1: Administration and Leadership Skills: Conferencing and Collegiality among Judges – As it is not preview in letter and spirit but most relevant.</p>

	<p>8. Session 3: Jurisprudence of Interpretation; Session 4: Pragmatic Approaches in Decision Making.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Felt very useful in its present form. 2. The whole programme was well planned and effective. 3. Yes. 4. None. 5. None. 6. No. 7. It is ok. 8. None.
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Physical interactions would have provided more effectiveness. 2. Solutions to the issues/problems raised be also suggested, and how they may be effectively implemented. 3. Those judges who had decided cases which didn't have precedents at that relevant time and how they decided. Maybe some cases were controversial at that point of time and how they were able to decide it. Those judges also may be invited as resource persons to share their experiences. 4. Please consider uploading the program in the website of NJA so that the same can be viewed later on by any interested judicial officer irrespective of whether he was a participant or not. Portions missed out by participants due to technical glitches can also be viewed; The reading material is also extremely helpful. Please consider uploading them too for the benefit of all. 5. None. 6. By providing more time for interaction. 7. Instead of virtual, it will be more effective if were interactive session can be held. 8. None.