

## National Judicial Academy

**P-1245: Workshop for High Court Justices on Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division**  
3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2021

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Rajesh Suman and Ms. Shruti Jane Eusebius, Faculty  
**No. of Participants** : 32  
**No. of forms received** : 09

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>44.44</b>	<b>55.56</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>77.78</b>	<b>22.22</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>55.56</b>	<b>44.44</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>77.78</b>	<b>22.22</b>	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>100.00</b>	-	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>
2	<b>50.00</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>57.14</b>	<b>42.86</b>
3	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>
4	<b>100.00</b>	-	<b>100.00</b>	-
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>87.50</b>	<b>12.50</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
-------------------------------------------------	---------------	---	---	---

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. <b>Session 1: Commercial Courts Act, 2015: Architecture of the Act and Challenges in the Area of E-Commerce; Session 3: Interpretation of Construction and Infrastructure Contracts and Session 4: Intellectual Property Rights: Infringement &amp; Enforcement.</b></p> <p>2. Gave details in sights on the act highly instructive.</p> <p>3. 1. Important issues arising out of national and international business disputes have been widely discussed; 2. A very clear ideas about the nature and difficulties of joint venture and how does it lead to commercial disputes has been given; 3. A very lively and useful discussion on IPR was made.</p> <p>4. Learning new aspects to practically apply.</p> <p>5. The programme dealt with the subject matter which is my present roster.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. Delved into hitherto unfamiliar areas of law. Got an insight into developments in several fields of the law.</p> <p>8. Provided exposure to laws relating to IPR, for which there is very little scope in practical work at Patna.</p> <p>9. 1. Occasion to learn relatively new subjects; 2. Updated with recent developments in IPR; 3. Basics of commercial equipment and contracts.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. <b>Session 1: Commercial Courts Act, 2015: Architecture of the Act and Challenges in the Area of E-Commerce; Session 3: Interpretation of Construction and Infrastructure Contracts and Session 4: Intellectual Property Rights: Infringement &amp; Enforcement.</b></p> <p>2. All sessions highly educative.</p> <p>3. <b>Session 4: Intellectual Property Rights: Infringement &amp; Enforcement</b>-because it highlighted on very useful and interesting issues.</p> <p>4. Every part is useful.</p> <p>5. The <b>Session 3: Interpretation of Construction and Infrastructure Contracts and Session 4: Intellectual Property Rights: Infringement &amp; Enforcement</b>-were frequently helpful. As a commercial court judge, they inputs were useful especially this fourth session.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. The <b>Session 1: Commercial Courts Act, 2015: Architecture of the Act and Challenges in the Area of E-Commerce and Session 4: Intellectual Property Rights: Infringement &amp; Enforcement</b>- on the commercial courts Act and Intellectual property laws.</p>

	<p>8. All of it was useful.</p> <p>9. <i>Session 1: Commercial Courts Act, 2015: Architecture of the Act and Challenges in the Area of E-Commerce and Session 4: Intellectual Property Rights: Infringement &amp; Enforcement-</i> found the subject more related to the work done.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. <i>Session 2: Joint Venture Agreements.</i></p> <p>2. None.</p> <p>3. Every part of the programme was very useful.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. The <i>Session 2: Joint Venture Agreements-</i> could have been more in-depth.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. None.</p> <p>9. <i>Session 2: Joint Venture Agreements-</i> found the subject was not very useful considering the routine work done.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. With respect, if suggestions get accumulate and refer to concerned branches / office of Legal System, may be useful in future. Like, suggestions to NALSA (National Legal Services Authority), Law Commission and also to concerned Government agencies, where in future, this may take into consideration for any amendments in law; 2. Let ratio/precedents and settled laws in brief be incorporated in every contract so either party may not agitate what is decided earlier and ultimately we may save time of Court of Justice. 3. It is known to everybody, <b><u>“Time is essence of every contract”</u></b>.</p> <p>2. No suggestions as NJA has maintained high excellence level.</p> <p>3. More programmes on the topic may be organized.</p> <p>4. None since everything is taken care of.</p> <p>5. Great effort by Director, NJA to continue to organize workshop in pandemic time. In visual mode, more participants can join.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. At the beginning of the workshop, the Academy may consider having a brief 10 minutes interactive session for participants to introduce themselves. This may allow for better interaction amongst all participants making the workshop more fruitful.</p> <p>9. NJA has been doing excellently. Workshop on new subjects has been really beneficial.</p>