

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SESSION- 1		
ADMINISTRATION AND LEADERSHIP SKILLS : CONFERENCING AND COLLEGIALLY AMONG JUDGES		
1.	Dr. Justice B.S. Chauhan, <i>High Court: Guardian of District Judiciary</i> (National Judicial Conference for High Court Justices, February 2, 2018)	1
2.	<i>Principles of Judicial Administration</i> , The National Center for State Courts (NCSC) (Washington DC, July 2012)	25
3.	Chapter 4 and 5, NCMS Baseline Report on Human Resource Development Strategy, 8- 16 (2012)	45
4.	Aharon Barak, “ <i>The Role of the Judge: Theory, Practice and the Future</i> ” in <i>THE JUDGE IN A DEMOCRACY</i> (2008) pp.306-315 (Princeton University Press)	55
5.	Harry T. Edwards, <i>The Effects of Collegiality on Judicial Decision Making</i> , 151 (5) <i>University of Pennsylvania Law Review</i> 1639 (May 2003)	66
6.	<p>Judgments :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Awani Kumar Upadhyay v. High Court of Allahabad.....(2013) 12 SCC 392 ➤ Registrar General, High court of Patna v Pandey Gajendra Prasad.(2012) 6 SCC 357 ➤ <i>Amar Pal Singh v. State of U.P</i>.....(2012) 6 SCC 491 ➤ <i>V.K. Jain v. High Court of Delhi through Registrar General</i>..... (2008) 17 SCC 538 ➤ <i>Gauhati High Court v. Kuladhar Phukan</i>(2004) 4 SCC 524 ➤ <i>Tirupati Balaji Developers (P) Ltd. v. State of Bihar</i>..... (2004) 5 SCC 1 ➤ <i>In the Matter of: K, a Judicial Officer</i>..... (2001) 3 SCC 54 ➤ <i>Biswanath Prasad Singh v. State of Bihar</i>..... (2001) 2 SCC 305 ➤ <i>High Court of Punjab & Haryana v. Ishwar Chand Jain</i>(1999) 4 SCC 579 ➤ <i>High Court of Judicature of Rajasthan v. Ramesh Chand Paliwal</i>.(1998) 3 SCC 72 ➤ <i>I.K. Mishra v. Union of India</i>.....(1997) 6 SCC 228 ➤ <i>Braj Kishore Thakur v. Union of India</i>.....(1997) 4 SCC 65 ➤ <i>Kashi Nath Roy v. State of Bihar</i> (1996) 4 SCC 539 ➤ <i>K.P. Tiwari .v State of M.P</i>..... (1993) Supp 1 SCC 540 ➤ <i>R.M. Gurjar v. High Court of Gujarat</i>.....(1992) 4 SCC 10 ➤ <i>Ishwar Chand Jain v. High Court of Punjab and Haryana</i>.....(1988) 3 SCC 370 ➤ <i>B.S.Yadav v. State of Haryana</i>(1980) Supp SCC 524 ➤ <i>Baradakanta Mishra v. High Court of Orissa</i>(1976) 3 SCC 327 ➤ <i>State of West Bengal v. Nripendra Nath Bagchi</i>.....(1966) 1 SCR 771 ➤ <i>Dr. Raghubir Sharan v. The State of Bihar</i>(1964) 2 SCR 336 	<p>119</p> <p>125</p> <p>136</p> <p>147</p> <p>173</p> <p>187</p> <p>210</p> <p>223</p> <p>243</p> <p>260</p> <p>275</p> <p>280</p> <p>287</p> <p>290</p> <p>293</p> <p>297</p> <p>307</p> <p>342</p> <p>349</p> <p>360</p>

SESSION– 2**STYLES OF JUDICIAL REASONING : THE PROCESS OF DECISION MAKING**

1.	Vinod Dixit , <i>Role Of Non-Legal Facts In Judicial Process</i> , 60 (1) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 32-57(2018)	372
2.	Mohan Parasaran, <i>Free and Equal Decision Making</i> , (2016) 5 SCC J- 9	398
3.	Sir Anthony Mason , <i>The Nature of the Judicial Process and Judicial Decision-Making in A MATTER OF JUDGMENT: JUDICIAL DECISION-MAKING AND JUDGMENT WRITING 1-14</i> (Ruth Sheard ed., Judicial Commission of New South Wales 2003)	405
4.	Michael Kirby, <i>Judging : Reflections on the Moment of Decision</i> , 18 Australian Bar Review 4 (1999)	419
5.	Richard A. Posner, <i>Legal Formalism, Legal Realism, and the Interpretation of Statutes and the Constitution</i> , 37 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 179 (1986)	444

SESSION – 3**INTRODUCTION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN JUDICIAL SYSTEM**

1.	<i>European Ethical Charter on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Judicial Systems and their environment</i> , European Commission For The Efficiency Of Justice (CEPEJ) (Strasbourg, December 2018)	484
2.	Tim Wu, <i>Will Artificial Intelligence Eat the Law? The Rise of Hybrid Social-Ordering Systems</i> , 119 (7) Columbia Law Review 2001 (2019)	563
3.	Rebecca Crootof , <i>“Cyborg Justice” And The Risk Of Technological–Legal Lock-In</i> , 119(7) Columbia Law Review 233 (2019)	591
4.	Tania Sourdin, <i>Judge v Robot? Artificial Intelligence and Judicial Decision Making</i> , 41(4) UNSW Law Journal 1114 (2018)	610
5.	Ric Simmons, <i>Big Data, Machine Judges, and the Legitimacy of the Criminal Justice System</i> , 52(2) UC Davis Law Review 1067 (2018)	630

SESSION – 4

INNER RE-ENGINEERING FOR ATTITUDINAL CHANGES AND BIASES

1.	Anna Spain Bradley , <i>The Disruptive Neuroscience of Judicial Choice</i> , 9 (1) UC Irvine Law Review 1 (2018)	681
2.	Andrew J. Wistrich and Jeffrey J. Rachlinski, <i>Implicit Bias in Judicial Decision Making How It Affects Judgment and What Judges Can Do About It</i> in ENHANCING JUSTICE: REDUCING BIAS 87 (Sarah E. Redfield ed., American Bar Association, 2017)	733
3.	Pamela M. Casey et al, <i>Addressing Implicit Bias in the Courts</i> , 49 Court Review 64 (2013)	777
4.	John F. Irwin and Daniel L. Real, <i>Unconscious Influences on Judicial Decision-Making: The Illusion of Objectivity</i> , 43 McGeorge Law Review 1 (2010)	785