

National Judicial Academy

P-1244: National Workshop for High Court Justices
13th -14th March, 2021

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Sonam Jain and Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Faculty

No. of Participants : 32

No. of forms received : 08

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	87.50	12.50	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	87.50	12.50	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	87.50	12.50	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	75.00	25.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	100.00	-	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	85.71	14.29	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	75.00	25.00	-	-
c. Up to date	75.00	12.50	12.50	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	87.50	-	12.50	-
e. Related to international legal norms	75.00	12.50	12.50	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	87.50	12.50	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	71.43	14.29	14.28	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	71.43	28.57	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	85.71	14.29	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	87.50	12.50	100.00	-
2	100.00	-	100.00	-
3	100.00	-	100.00	-
4	71.43	28.57	83.33	16.67
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	75.00	25.00	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	62.50	37.50	-	-

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	62.50	37.50	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Balance the grading system of ACR; 2. Duty to provide a well versioned decision with clarity of law; 3. Concept of AI & Justice principle be followed for future irrespective.</p> <p>2. 1. Sharing of practical experiences and wisdom by seasoned judge like Justice the thrill & B. Radhakrishnana Judge Modan B. Lokur and Justice Deepak Gupta; 2. General inputs on artificial Intelligence.</p> <p>3. Got great clarity on writing judgements; Insights into collegiality; Learnt about biases and how to handle them.</p> <p>4. Skill and style of writing judgement.</p> <p>5. 1. A different and new perspective of thinking; 2. Sharing of experiences of other members; 3. Broadens the horizons and made me think.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. None.</p>			
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Topic of Session 2: Styles of Judicial Reasoning: The Process of Decision Making- because the way in which Justice Lokur by Justice R. Bhat explained the concept of judge made law and paints to be considered while giving judgement is very helpful.</p> <p>2. Generally the entire programme was useful.</p> <p>3. I found following two parts of programme to be most useful- 1. Styles of judicial reasoning: The process of decision making; 2. Inner Re-engineering for Attitudinal changes and biases.</p> <p>4. All the parts were useful, specially to be mentioned- skill, ideas, knowledge in witting judgements, artificial intelligence and constitutional visions.</p> <p>5. The need of self-assess be compassionate and considerate.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. Session 1: Administration and Leadership Skills: Conferencing and Collegiality among Judges; Session 2: Styles of Judicial Reasoning: The Process of Decision Making.</p> <p>8. None.</p>			
3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. None.</p> <p>2. Cannot be states in particular.</p> <p>3. All were good.</p> <p>4. All I found useful.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. None.</p>			

<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Physical programme is much more effectual and productive as it will include group discussions which will help to participants develop more interactions.2. Such programme may be arranged on a regional basis.3. By having programmes in physical form rather than VC, as it helps closer interaction and even more effective participation.4. This type of programme would be more useful through physical participations of deliberation.5. We should think of publishing a newsletter or a short synopsis of wary seminar having a concise summary of the discussions and the law discussed.6. None.7. It is useful to newly appointed judges of High Court.8. None.
---	---