

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Session 1</b> <b>Constitutional and Statutory Basis of Taxation</b>		
1.	Girish Chandra, <i>Law of Taxation</i> in THE INDIAN LEGAL SYSTEM, 450 -473 (Indian Law Institute, New Delhi, 2006)	1
2.	T.N. Pandey, <i>Evolution and Development of Direct Taxes</i> in FIFTY YEARS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA, 351-390 (Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2003)	25
3.	Mr. V. Lakshmikumaran, <i>Authority to Tax</i> (2017)	65
<b>Session 2</b> <b>Jurisprudence of Tax Administration: Neutrality and Professionalism</b>		
1.	John Kay, <i>The Rationale of Taxation</i> , 2(2) Oxford Review of Economic Policy 1- 6 (1986)	77
2.	Klaus Vogel, <i>The Justification For Taxation: A Forgotten Question</i> ,33(1) The American Journal of Jurisprudence 19-59 (1988)	83
3.	Jason Furman, <i>The Concept of Neutrality in Tax Policy</i> (2008)	125
<b>Session 3</b> <b>Theory of Precedent</b>		
1.	Benjamin N. Cardozo, <i>Adherence to Precedent – The Subconscious Element in the Judicial Process</i> in THE NATURE OF THE JUDICIAL PROCESS, 142 (Yale University, New Haven,1921)	135
2.	Justice E.S.Venkataramiah, Precedent and Judicial Legislation in the Application of Taxing Statutes (1989) 3 SCC J-1	175
3.	V. Nageswara Rao, <i>Conflicting Decisions of Co-ordinate Benches :Problems and Solutions</i> , 32(1) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 49-67(1990)	187

4.	H. Campbell Black, <i>The Principle of Stare Decisis</i> , 34(12) <i>The American Law Register</i> 745-757 (1886)	207
5.	Judgments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central Board of Dawoodi Bohra Community v. State of Maharashtra and Another (2005) 2 SCC 673</li> <li>• Pradip Chandra Parija and Others v. Pramod Chandra Patnaik and Others (2002) 1 SCC 1</li> <li>• Krishena Kumar vs. Union of India (1990) 4 SCC 207</li> <li>• Union of India and Another v. Raghubir Singh (1989) 2 SCC 754</li> <li>• East India Commercial Co. Ltd. Calcutta and Another v. The Collector of Customs, Calcutta AIR 1962 SC 1893</li> </ul>	221 233 237 241 271
<b>Session 4</b> <b>Assessment Proceedings: Role of the Tribunal</b>		
1.	Justice R. V. Easwar, <i>Tax Dispute Resolution – An Indian Judiciary View</i> (Conference of the Foundation for International Taxation, Mumbai, December 3, 2010)	273
2.	Justice F.M.I. Kalifulla, <i>Recent Trends in Taxation</i> , DJA Journal 11-32 (2010)	287
3.	V. Ramesh v. The Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax (Tax Case Appeal No. 227 and 228 of 2019, Order Dated 11.3.2019 ,High Court of Madras)	309
4.	Sabh Infrastructure Ltd. v. Asstt. Commissioner of Income Tax (W.P.(C) 1357/2016, Order dated 25.09.2017 , High Court of Delhi)	317
5.	Ujjam Bai v. State of Uttar Pradesh AIR 1962 SC 1621	331
<b>Session 5</b> <b>Transfer Pricing</b>		
1.	<i>Introduction to Transfer Pricing</i> , United Nations Practical Manual on Transfer Pricing for Developing Countries (United Nations , New York, 2017)	337
2.	<i>Transfer Pricing Practices and Challenges in India</i> , United Nations Practical Manual on Transfer Pricing for Developing Countries (United Nations , New York, 2017)	379
3.	Martin Przysuski et al, <i>Transfer Pricing in India</i> , 6 (11) <i>Corporate Business Taxation Monthly</i>	401

<b>Session 6</b>		
<b>General Anti-Avoidance Rules</b>		
1.	Sukumar Mukhopadhyay, <i>General Anti-Avoidance Rule in Income Tax Law</i> , 47(22) Economic and Political Weekly 24-28 (2012)	411
2.	Final Report on General Anti Avoidance Rules (GAAR) in Income-Tax Act, 1961 (2012) [Extracts]	417
3.	Union of India and Another v. Azadi Bachao Andolan and Another (2004) 10 SCC 1	461
<b>Session 7</b>		
<b>Court and Case Management</b>		
1.	Justice Roshan Dalvi, The “Business of Court Management” XVI (III) Nyaya Deep 13-35 (July 2015)	475
2.	Heike Gramckow, Omniah Ebeid, Erica Bosio and Jorge Luis Silva Mendez, <i>Good Practice Area: Case Management</i> , Good Practices For Courts - Helpful Elements For Good Court Performance And The World Bank’s Quality Of Judicial Process Indicators (World Bank ,Washington D.C.,2016)	499
<b>Session 8</b>		
<b>Evidence in Taxation Law</b>		
1.	Firoze B. Andhyarujina, <i>Evidentiary Value under Income Tax Act,1961</i> AIFTP Journal (June 2017)	535
2.	Dr. Justice Vineet Kothari, <i>Current Issues Concerning Expert Evidence in International Tax Cases in India</i> , XVI (IV) Nyaya Deep 3-19 (October 2015)	585
3.	Alka Bajaj, <i>Mens Rea and Onus of Proof of Mens Rea under Income-Tax Act,1961</i> (National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, New Delhi,1986)	603
4.	N.S. Nappinai, Electronic Evidence- The Great Indian Quagmire, (2019) 3 SCC J 41	637
5.	P. Gopalkrishnan v. State of Kerala, Criminal Appeal No. 1794 of 2019, judgment dated November 29, 2019 (Supreme Court of India)	650

<b>Session 9</b>		
<b>Interpretational Issues in Tax and Treaty law</b>		
1.	Justice G. Raghuram, <i>Principles of Statutory Interpretation</i> (2017)	651
2.	Mr. V. Lakshmikumaran, <i>Interpretation of Tax Statutes</i> (2017)	673
3.	Aseem Chawla, <i>Interpretation of Tax Treaties: An Art yet to be Mastered</i> , 23 (2) National Law School of India Review 1-9 (2012)	685
<b>Session 10</b>		
<b>International Tax Treaty Law and Double Tax Avoidance Agreements</b>		
1.	Klaus Vogel, <i>Double Tax Treaties and Their Interpretation</i> , 4 (1) International Tax & Business Lawyer 1-85 (1986)	695
2.	Dr. Justice Vineet Kothari, <i>Applicability of Foreign Decisions and Interpretation of Tax Treaties in International Taxation</i> , 7(2) KLRI Journal of Law and Legislation 149-182 (2017)	781

***Note: Some of the cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight certain issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment for conclusive opinion.***