

National Judicial Academy

P-1208: Workshop for Additional District Judges

21st – 23rd February, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Assistant Professor

No. of Participants : 37

No. of forms received : 37

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	89.19	10.81	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	83.78	16.22	-	33. Not now because form details with family court get it will help me also in future.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	83.78	16.22	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	78.38	21.62	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	67.57	29.73	2.70	The time/lecture period on cyber-crime must be extended (including details investigation process).
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	75.68	24.32	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	61.11	38.89	-	-
c. Up to date	72.22	27.78	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	62.16	35.14	2.70	-
e. Related to international legal norms	20.59	67.65	11.76	19. Cannot say.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	86.49	13.51	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	45.16	51.61	3.23	3. Not there. 25. No group discussion.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	71.88	28.12	-	3. Not there.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	62.86	34.29	2.85	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	54.55	45.45	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	74.50	25.50	81.48	18.52
2	75.00	25.00	76.92	23.08
3	71.88	28.12	88.00	12.00
4	78.79	21.21	84.00	16.00
5	72.73	27.27	84.00	16.00
6	81.25	18.75	91.67	8.33
7	93.75	6.25	95.65	4.35
8	90.63	9.37	95.24	4.76
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	89.19	10.81	-	3. Excellent.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	86.49	13.51	-	3. Excellent.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	89.19	10.81	-	3. Excellent.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. Learning about cyber law; 2. Learning about fair trial.</p> <p>2. 1. Learn about latest technology, How useful in the working; 2. Learn about latest law's; 3. Discuss about doubts.</p> <p>3. None</p> <p>4. Nil.</p> <p>5. 1. Effective time management in disposal of cases; 2. Effective information on cyber laws; 3. Electronic evidence preservation.</p> <p>6. 1. The subject matter of the programme; 2. Materials supplied two books; 3. Resource persons are very efficient for such programme.</p> <p>7. Cyber-crime related investigation information; Useful compilation; Experiences of resource persons.</p> <p>8. 1. Exposure to cyber-crimes; 2. Interactive learning experience; 3. Inter personal interaction with district judge of various states.</p> <p>9. The programme was very good, I has some better thoughts in the issues of fair session trial etc.</p> <p>10. Cyber-crime; Appeals on criminal side; Appeals and revisions on civil side.</p> <p>11. Objects is clear useful for day to day work.</p> <p>12. 1. Interaction with the resource persons was learning achievement; 2. Experience of resource persons were useful; 3. How to judge as situation discussed by resource persons was useful.</p> <p>13. 1. To help the advancement of justice to public; 2. Different views on some fact there analysis capacity in cases; 3. To evaluate main skill of thought regarding good future</p> <p>14. The role of a sessions judging in conducting trial. How to deal with appeal & revision. Appreciation of electronic evidence.</p> <p>15. Latest decisions; Appeal and revision jurisdiction.</p> <p>16. 1. Result of fair trial; 2. Appreciation of evidence; 3. About concept of appeal of revisions.</p> <p>17. Cyber law lecture was very good. Law ratios are cleared.</p> <p>18. Learnt about recent developments in cyber laws; Learnt about problems of precedent to other states & solutions.</p>
---	--

	<p>19. Cybercrime.</p> <p>20. Fair trial; Appeals; Electronic evidence.</p> <p>21. Nice programme and well-coordinated.</p> <p>22. Fair trial, sentencing policy.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. 1. How to tackle board cost on priority basis cases; 2. Concept of fair trial is elaborated; 3. Cyber-crime & collection of evidence is explain very well.</p> <p>25. 1. Case management; 2. How to implement cyber laws.</p> <p>26. Very useful in day to day court work.</p> <p>27. It was very useful for true.</p> <p>28. Gain knowledge. The knowledge will helpful for me. The programme cleared doubts in mind.</p> <p>29. Appeals, revisions and trial.</p> <p>30. Very useful & we learn more.</p> <p>31. Fair session trial, all justice administer; Cyber-crime & electronic evidence.</p> <p>32. It was useful for my daily work.</p> <p>33. All the topics are useful.</p> <p>34. It was useful for my daily work; Group discussion and presentation of topic and cybercrime etc. were useful.</p> <p>35. Good interaction with judges all over India.</p> <p>36. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>37. Legal aspects; Practical aspects; Also implementation of same- in day today affairs of work.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Cyber law programme.</p> <p>2. Cyber law programme.</p> <p>3. <i>Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</i> -They are in day to day working.</p> <p>4. Law relating to cybercrime in electronic evidence.</p> <p>5. <i>Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></p> <p>6. Both supplied to us are effective and useful.</p> <p>7. Cyber-crime & electronic evidence due to personal interest being a PhD in cyber law.</p> <p>8. Collection preservation, protecting digital evidence. Because it is the most relevant and upcoming area in the course of trials.</p> <p>9. Law related to the cybercrime etc.; There is a new field.</p> <p>10. Cyber-crime.</p> <p>11. Programme on appear and revision useful day to day work.</p>

	<p>12. 1. Interactive sessions with resource persons; 2. Interaction with other participants from other states; 3. Programme scheduled perfectly as per need.</p> <p>13. Electronic evidence, civil & criminal appellate justice.</p> <p>14. None.</p> <p>15. ADR method.</p> <p>16. All, updated my knowledge.</p> <p>17. Cyber law the ideas in appreciation of evidence (digital) got cleared.</p> <p>18. Cyber law, advances & bottlenecks; Considering the recent rise in cyber-crime.</p> <p>19. All.</p> <p>20. Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas.</p> <p>21. Cyber discussion was nice, way of presentation was interactive and lively.</p> <p>22. Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>23. Crime appeals & revisions.</p> <p>24. Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas- was very effective as it is very practical as well as in today scenario. it is very relevant.</p> <p>25. How relating to cybercrimes as a lot of more things are required for the same Field.</p> <p>26. Cyber law.</p> <p>27. Computer sessions, specifically in cyber-crimes effective and useful.</p> <p>28. All.</p> <p>29. Computers crimes and detection (Cyber-crimes).</p> <p>30. All of.</p> <p>31. Session 1: Fair Sessions Trials; Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; Session 5: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges.</p> <p>32. Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</p> <p>33. Provisional jurisdiction of civil/court of district judges of cybercrimes-challenges.</p> <p>34. Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</p> <p>35. Last two sessions one excellent.</p> <p>36. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>37. Cyber-crime topic. Because how a days, a judge is in a position to deal with this type of crime.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you</p>	<p>1. No any.</p> <p>2. No any.</p>

<p>find least useful and why</p>	<p>3. Session 1: Fair Sessions Trials; Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges and Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>4. Nil.</p> <p>5. Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts- because ADRs does not work on practical field.</p> <p>6. I don't find any part of the programme least useful.</p> <p>7. No one.</p> <p>8. Each programme has well organized.</p> <p>9. None in particular.</p> <p>10. ADR.</p> <p>11. Know about the changing developments in cyber law.</p> <p>12. I do not found any part of the programme least useful as I gained knowledge through every precious second of time.</p> <p>13. Court management.</p> <p>14. None.</p> <p>15. None.</p> <p>16. No such.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. NA.</p> <p>19. NA.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. All parts were useful.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. All programmes were useful.</p> <p>24. Discussion on civil appeal & revision.</p> <p>25. Fair trials as every judicial officer must know it itself.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Nil.</p> <p>28. None.</p> <p>29. to 31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges and Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges – as not enough case learn and with case the topics well discussed.</p> <p>33. None.</p> <p>34. Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges and Session 6: Civil Justice</p>
----------------------------------	--

	<p><i>Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges – as not enough case law and witness the topics were discussed.</i></p> <p>35. to 37. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. & 2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. Nothing.</p> <p>5. Nice arrangement.</p> <p>6. The institution is servicing better and as much as suggestion is required.</p> <p>7. Time span/duration should be a little more.</p> <p>8. Time span of the workshop of district judge was very short; More frequent programme welcome.</p> <p>9. Cannot say the resource persons/speakers were very good.</p> <p>10. May provided this type of workshop once in a year.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. NJA is serving at its best and suggestions is not required till now.</p> <p>13. None.</p> <p>14. The service appears effective and satisfactory in our aspects.</p> <p>15. Kindly arrange for presentation on special laws; PC Act, NDPS, other sensitive.</p> <p>16. No Suggestion.</p> <p>17. Everything was good.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Some more days may be arranged for programme.</p> <p>20. Latest important case laws on NJA web.</p> <p>21. Everything is nice and praiseworthy.</p> <p>22. & 23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Training schedule to be enhanced.</p> <p>25. No.</p> <p>26. & 27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. No.</p> <p>29. & 30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. None.</p> <p>32. No suggestion.</p> <p>33. No.</p> <p>34. At present, I have no suggestion to make.</p> <p>35. Training period is very short. It should be at least of five days.</p> <p>36. & 37. Participant did not comment.</p>