

National Judicial Academy

P-1191: Orientation Program on Cases Concerning Persons Residing Abroad
23rd & 24th November, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, Research Fellow
No. of Participants : 33
No. of forms received : 31

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	80.00	20.00	-	1. Very good. 16. Good. 30. Very good.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	74.19	25.81	-	16. Good. 30. Very good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	80.65	19.35	-	16. Good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	87.10	12.90	-	16. Good.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	83.87	16.13	-	16. Good.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	61.29	38.71	-	16. Very good.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	77.42	22.58	-	16. Very good.
c. Up to date	87.10	12.90	-	16. Very good.

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	80.65	19.35	-	16. Very good.
e. Related to international legal norms	61.29	38.71	-	16. Very good.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	90.32	9.68	-	16. Good.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	87.10	12.90	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	83.87	16.13	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	56.00	36.00	8.00	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	86.21	13.79	85.00	15.00
2	82.76	17.24	85.00	15.00
3	78.57	21.43	80.00	20.00
4	75.86	24.14	80.00	20.00
5	85.19	14.81	80.00	20.00
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	90.32	9.68	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	87.10	12.90	-	-

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	87.10	12.90	-	-
---	-------	-------	---	---

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. No any.</p> <p>3. 1. Presentation; 2. Study material; 3. Overall hospitality.</p> <p>4. 1. All topics were new to me; 2. I personally instigated by self to the deliberation; 3. Subject matter will help us dealing judicator of dispute in court.</p> <p>5. Approach to be adopted by a family court in dealing with cases related to marriage adoption, maintenance issues of persons residing abroad.</p> <p>6. Co-ordination was very good and speakers dealt with the matter apply.</p> <p>7. Application of law regarding foreign nationals.</p> <p>8. 1. Face to face interaction with Hon'ble judges & colleagues from other states; 2. Manner/ mode of resolving/ thinking of so adjudication through several possible views; 3. Discussion of a latest citation along with study material.</p> <p>9. 1. To great extent it will help me deciding the relevant cases; 2. Interacting with participants from all over India; 3. Study material.</p> <p>10. All were important.</p> <p>11. The content and material furnished is good & is likely to be used in judicial work; Lectures were also helpful.</p> <p>12. <i>Session 1: Overseas Indians: Issues and Challenges in Marriages, Nationality and Citizenship; Session 2: Inter Parental Child Removal: Custody and Guardianship Issues and Session 3: Issues Relating to Divorce, Maintenance, Alimony and Settlement of Property.</i></p> <p>13. 1. Broader outlook; 2. To deal with cases depending upon their nation and object of law under which list is filed; 3. To deal with emerging new challenges.</p> <p>14. Very analytical approach; To internet the act and to apply to serve in day to day process with judicial consensus.</p> <p>15. 1. Updating knowledge; 2. Interaction from fellow officers; 3. Enhanced general enforcement on legal issues.</p> <p>16. Subject in <i>Session 1: Overseas Indians: Issues and Challenges in Marriages, Nationality and Citizenship; Session 2: Inter Parental Child Removal: Custody and Guardianship Issues and Session 3: Issues Relating to Divorce, Maintenance, Alimony and Settlement of Property and Session 4: Matrimonial Offences under IPC and Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005: Jurisdiction and Enforcement Issues.</i>- were good & useful to the certain work which I was doing & it may be useful for future also <i>Session 5: Inter Country Adoptions under the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 and Adoptions under the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956</i> - is useful to my work.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Issues related to matrimonial cases of overseas Indian.</p> <p>19. Law relating to marriage; Adoption, maintenance & JJ Act.</p>
---	--

	<p>20. It was in respect of different area; I could understand the issues relating to NRI & citizens; Important provisions relating to them.</p> <p>21. The objective of programme is useful.</p> <p>22. None.</p> <p>23. 1. This programme is useful for a judicial officer in the cadre of district judge; 2. I have been benefitted to enrich my knowledge; 3. I had the opportunity to study future on the subject it.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Beneficial; Effective; Useful.</p> <p>27. No suggestion required already good one.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. 1. Inter parental child removal; 2. Matrimonial offences under IPC; 3. Adoptions under JJ Act.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Interactions with Hon'ble judges of high court; 2. I met with judges of all of part of India; 3. Study material has provided.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. All the parts.</p> <p>2. No any.</p> <p>3. Presentation & study material.</p> <p>4. All parts are useful.</p> <p>5. The practical cases with case laws which are issues faced daily by judicial officers in the court reputed with interactive sessions.</p> <p>6. All the sessions were useful.</p> <p>7. Regarding foreign nationals.</p> <p>8. Interactive session with the presiding Hon'ble judges & other members with us which increases exchange of ideas.</p> <p>9. First.</p> <p>10. None.</p> <p>11. Interactive sessions.</p> <p>12. Session 1: Overseas Indians: Issues and Challenges in Marriages, Nationality and Citizenship - because it is most interacting as I have not dealt with the case in my court.</p> <p>13. Entire programme was very useful to me.</p> <p>14. Session 3: Issues Relating to Divorce, Maintenance, Alimony and Settlement of Property; Session 4: Matrimonial Offences under IPC and Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005: Jurisdiction and Enforcement Issues.</p> <p>15. The programme on the whole was very effective useful.</p> <p>16. All, Since all were good.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Programme on Domestic Violence & Juvenile Justice Act.</p>

	<p>19. All programme is useful for us for delivering judgement.</p> <p>20. Every part was very useful.</p> <p>21. The subjects are very useful and relevant to my work.</p> <p>22. Adoption.</p> <p>23. Session 1: Overseas Indians: Issues and Challenges in Marriages, Nationality and Citizenship.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Interactive session.</p> <p>27. Session 4: Matrimonial Offences under IPC and Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005: Jurisdiction and Enforcement Issues.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Interactive sessions was most useful.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Useful.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. All</p> <p>2. No any.</p> <p>3. Overall programme.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. Reference and discussions of preparation which are all-ready well known and needs no discussion.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. All are useful.</p> <p>8. Not a single part of the programme was least useful.</p> <p>9. Morning session of 24.11.2019.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Every part of programme was useful.</p> <p>12. Session 5: Inter Country Adoptions under the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 and Adoptions under the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956 - because I was dealing with the cases relating to it for more than 10 years.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. No.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. None.</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. NA.</p> <p>23. Session 5: Inter Country Adoptions under the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 and Adoptions under the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956.</p>

	<p>24. Participant did not comment. 25. Participant did not comment. 26. Nil. 27. None. 28. Participant did not comment. 29. Participant did not comment. 30. Participant did not comment. 31. All.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment. 2. Orientation programme should be three days minimum. 3. It should be of minimum five days. 4. On or next visit. 5. Use of charts and more audio visual tools. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. None 8. NJA is already serving at its best to the fullest satisfaction of us. No suggestions in this regard. 9. Kindly provide relevant Bar act at the desk of each participant. 10. Yes, practical discussion. 11. Programme are relevant and many be respected. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Two weeks training programme will be more fruitful. 14. Participant did not comment. 15. None. 16. Nothing. 17. Participant did not comment. 18. Judicial officers should be called frequently. 19. Participants should be provided opportunity again. 20. Participant did not comment. 21. Participant did not comment. 23. No. 24. More interaction has to be provided to participants. Enough time has to be given to participants to clear doubts. 25. Participant did not comment. 26. Nil. 27. No suggestion required already good. 28. Participant did not comment. 29. Participant did not comment. 30. Participant did not comment. 31. Yes.</p>