

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Session 1		
OBJECTIVITY AND NEUTRALITY IN JUDGING : OVERCOMING BIAS AND PREJUDICES		
1.	Andrew J. Wistrich and Jeffrey J. Rachlinski, <i>Implicit Bias in Judicial Decision Making How It Affects Judgment and What Judges Can Do About It</i> , in <i>Enhancing Justice: Reducing Bias</i> 87-120 (Sarah E. Redfield ed. 2017)	
2.	John F. Irwin and Daniel L. Real, <i>Unconscious Influences on Judicial Decision-Making: The Illusion of Objectivity</i> , 43 <i>McGeorge Law Review</i> 1-10 (2010)	
3.	The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct, 2002	
Session 2		
DEFECTS IN PRE-TRIAL PROCEDURES AND INVESTIGATION : IMPACT ON ADJUDICATION		
1.	State of Bihar and Others v. Anil Kumar and Others	(2017) 14 SCC 304
2.	Achla D. Sapre v. Asha Mahilkar and Ors	2016 CriLJ 3313
3.	State of M.P. v. Bhooraji and Others	(2001) 7 SCC 679
4.	Gangula Ashok and Another v. State of A.P.	(2000) 2 SCC 504
Session 3		
TRIAL PROCESS UNDER SC/ST (PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) ACT,1989 : ISSUES AND CHALLENGES		
GROUP DISCUSSION		

Session 4

CONTEMPORARY DEVELOPMENTS

- THE SCHEDULED CASTES AND THE SCHEDULED TRIBES
(PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) AMENDMENT ACT, 2015

1.	The Scheduled Castes And The Scheduled Tribes (Prevention Of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015	
----	--	--

Judgments

1.	Asharfi v State of Uttar Pradesh	(2018) 1 SCC 742	
2.	Bisheshwar Mishra and Ors. V State of Bihar	2016 (4) PLJR 1058	

Session 5

CONTEMPORARY DEVELOPMENTS

- DR. SUBHASH KASHINATH MAHAJAN V STATE OF
MAHARASHTRA AND BEYOND

1.	The Scheduled Castes And The Scheduled Tribes (Prevention Of Atrocities) Amendment Bill, 2018	
2.	Sthabir Khora, <i>Misconstruction of the Anti- atrocities Act's Misuse</i> , LIII (15) Economic and Political Weekly 11-14 (April 14, 2018)	
3.	Nitish Nawsagaray, <i>Misuse of the Prevention of Atrocities Act : Scrutinizing the Mahajan Judgment,2018</i> , LIII (22) Economic and Political Weekly 36-42 (June 2, 2018)	

Judgments

1.	Dr. Subhash Kashinath Mahajan v. State of Maharashtra and Another (2018) 6 SCC 454		
2.	Union of India v. State of Maharashtra and Others	(2018) 6 SCC 450	

Session 6 & 7

1.	<i>Witness Protection Scheme - 2018 (Draft -2)</i> , National Legal Service Authority and Bureau of Police Research & Development (2018)	
2.	<i>Twenty Years of Victims and Witnesses Rights in 20 Years Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act -Report Card</i> , National Coalition for Strengthening SCs & STs (Prevention of Atrocities) (New Delhi, 2010)	
3.	<i>Rights And Protection Of Victims And Witnesses in Justice under Trial : Caste Discrimination in Access to Justice Before Special Courts</i> , National Dalit Movement for Justice (New Delhi, 2004)	

Judgments

1.	Pramod Kumar Ray v. State of Odisha	2017 Cri LJ 3068	
----	-------------------------------------	------------------	--

Session 8

EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACT : CONSTRAINTS AND SOLUTIONS

1.	<i>Chapter 4 :Response of the Judiciary in Access to Justice for Dalits in India</i> , National Dalit Movement for Justice 43-54 (New Delhi, 2015)	
2.	<i>Study Report on the Crimes and Atrocities Against SCs & STs with Special Reference to Implementation of the Protection of Civil Rights Act, 1955 and the Prevention of Atrocities Act, 1989 in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, West Bengal, Orissa, Chhattisgarh and Uttar Pradesh</i> , Socio Economic and Educational Development Society 142-149 (New Delhi,2012)	
3.	<i>Twenty Years of Implementing Mechanisms : The Judiciary in 20 Years Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act -Report Card</i> , National Coalition for Strengthening SCs & STs (Prevention of Atrocities 21-28 (New Delhi , 2010)	

4.	<i>Chapter V- Implementation of the Act: Perceptions and Views of the Actors in the Criminal Justice System</i> in Working of the Designated and Exclusive Special Courts under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 : An Evaluation 60-75 (National Law school of India University, Bangalore, 2005)	
Judgments		
1.	National Campaign on Dalit Human Rights and Others v Union of India and Others (2017) 2 SCC 432	

Note: Some of the cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight certain issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment for conclusive opinion.