

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1091: National Judicial Conference for High Court Justices
23rd to 25th March, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal
No. of Participants : 17
No. of forms received : 17

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	94.12	5.88		8. Could be more better
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	64.71	35.29		
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	62.50	37.50		
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	76.47	23.53		
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	70.59	29.41		
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	58.82	41.18	-	-
c. Up to date	76.47	23.53	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	68.75	31.25	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	25.00	68.75	6.25	
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	62.50	37.50	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	62.50	37.50	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	56.25	43.75		
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	70.59	29.41	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	43.75	50.00	6.25	
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	87.50	12.50	80.00	20.00
2	75.00	25.00	71.43	28.57
3	66.67	33.33	64.29	35.71
4	93.75	6.25	84.62	15.38
5	86.67	13.33	92.86	7.14
6	37.50	62.50	7.69	92.31
7	31.25	68.75	15.38	84.62
8	81.25	18.75	84.62	15.38
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	88.24	11.76		
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	82.35	17.65	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	76.47	23.53	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. The guideline regarding judicial review within democratic framework got sharpen.</p> <p>2. Perspective; Awareness about present societal scenario; Exchange of views.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. 1. Important issues of supervisory control over District Judiciary, Judicial Review and interpretation of constitution were discussed in detail and comprehensive and important learning experience.</p> <p>5. About the various principles of judicial review and their evolution with the changing times; Principle of sub-silentio; Interpretation of law keeping in mind, the clearing society.</p> <p>6. Programme selected by the Academy barring Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach has enriched my knowledge on the subjects & will be helpful in dispensing justice.</p> <p>7. Some of the sessions were very thought provoking & gave an opportunity to broaden your vision.</p> <p>8. 1. Individual perception; 2. Increases professional discharging of duties as judge; 3. Interaction of vision.</p> <p>9. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 2: Tribunalization of Justice: Boon or Bane; Session 3: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework.</p> <p>10. Effective ways of interpreting social legislation and understanding the use of technology for committing offences.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. A deeper and wider understanding of socio-legal perspective across the country.</p>
---	---

	<p>13. Provided the larger picture; Clarified certain grey areas; Excellent interactions in certain sessions.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. 1. Better understanding of judicial review; 2. Silences of the constitution explained so well; Session by Justice Shalini P. Joshi was useful.</p> <p>16. 1. Be objective in judicial pronouncement; 2. Be truthful guardian of District Judiciary; 3. Introspection periodically.</p> <p>17. 1. Challenges for judicial review; 2. Sounds of constitution speech beyond the text; 3. Guardian the District Judiciary.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 8: Jurisprudence of the PC & PNDT Act & Cultural, Social and Economic Factors that Promote Gender Bias: Context of the PC & PNDT Act in India- It relates to future of nation effecting social and mental condition of individual.</p> <p>2. Corporate fraud and manipulation; For the new insight on manipulative data mining.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Interactive discussions on first five sessions were intellectually stimulating.</p> <p>5. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text- Because of the resource persons haring adequate knowledge and command over the subject/topic; The topics being relevant to our job profile.</p> <p>6. All programme barring Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach- will help me in day to day discharge of my work.</p> <p>7. Discussion on judicial review as also on PC & PNDT Act.</p> <p>8. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 8: Jurisprudence of the PC & PNDT Act & Cultural, Social and Economic Factors that Promote Gender Bias: Context of the PC & PNDT Act in India.</p> <p>9. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 2: Tribunalization of Justice: Boon or Bane; Session 3: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework; Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text.</p> <p>10. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 8: Jurisprudence of the PC & PNDT Act & Cultural, Social and Economic Factors that Promote Gender Bias: Context of the PC & PNDT Act in India.</p> <p>11. The session on constitutional laws was most relevant.</p>

	<p>12. The sessions relating to judicial review and the tribalization of justice and election laws.</p> <p>13. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text- As a relatively new entrant in Higher judiciary, certain issues that do not form part of my daily adjudication were addressed.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework- Judicial review It speaks about limitation of each wing and one can’t be member of more than one wing. Should not exceed boundary.</p> <p>17. Judicial Review challenges.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. None</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward- was more political than legal.</p> <p>5. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach- not relevant to our work.</p> <p>6. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach- as this programme in my opinion has no use in performing judicial work & disposal of cases.</p> <p>7. Some of the resource persons were follow on topics, which I did not find useful at all.</p> <p>8. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach.</p> <p>9. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 2: Tribunalization of Justice: Boon or Bane; Session 3: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework; Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text; Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward.</p> <p>10. None</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>12. The part relating to elections.</p> <p>13. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach- Though they were relevant to understanding concerns of our society, the implementation aspects in judicial work was not highlighted.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. The session Chaired by Hon’ble Justice L. Nageshwar Rao.</p> <p>16. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward- elections It related to election for which a commission is constituted not related to working of courts.</p> <p>17. Free and fair election- As it was suggested to amend laws for which judiciary has nothing to do.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. More enlistment of resource persons like Prof. Upendra Baxi (Just illustratively speaking) who can stimulate real debate.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Private resource persons, specially from private law firm should be avoided.</p> <p>6. No comment in view of aforesaid opinion.</p> <p>7. Topics could be made more relevant to the needs of the participant.</p> <p>8. Material addressed in a session should stick to subject. Diversions should be avoided.</p> <p>9. No comments.</p> <p>10. The way programme conducted in outstanding. No suggestions.</p> <p>11. I would like to see more Academics address us on current topics.</p> <p>12. It was well organized but I feel speakers from the legal fraternity should be prepared.</p> <p>13. Excellent work.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. If the study material & papers should be circulated before hand 2 weeks at least.</p> <p>16. Problems from various High courts should be collected and question bank be made and discussion on each practical aspect be given sufficient time.</p> <p>17. The study material should be sent in soft copies to the participants at least fifteen days prior to the programme.</p>