

## NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

**P-1085: National Seminar for Principal District and Sessions Judges on Access to  
Justice and Legal Aid  
9<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2018**

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal  
**No. of Participants** : 31  
**No. of forms received** : 30

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>76.67</b>	<b>23.33</b>	-	6. I think always and try to work
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>72.41</b>	<b>27.59</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>75.86</b>	<b>24.14</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>93.33</b>	<b>6.67</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>62.07</b>	<b>37.93</b>	-	-

c. Up to date	<b>62.07</b>	<b>37.93</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>40.74</b>	<b>48.15</b>	<b>11.11</b>	-

### III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>70.00</b>	<b>30.00</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	<b>73.33</b>	<b>26.67</b>	-	25. More time more group discussion.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	<b>76.67</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>3.33</b>	
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>53.33</b>	<b>40.00</b>	<b>6.67</b>	-

*(To be modified as per the sessions planned)*

### IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

#### Parameters

<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	<b>Effective and Useful</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Effective and Useful</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
1	<b>82.76</b>	<b>17.24</b>	<b>78.26</b>	<b>22.73</b>
2	<b>84.62</b>	<b>15.38</b>	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>
3	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>
4	<b>64.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>
5	<b>68.00</b>	<b>32.00</b>	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>
6	<b>68.00</b>	<b>32.00</b>	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>
7	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>77.78</b>	<b>22.22</b>
8	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>77.78</b>	<b>22.22</b>

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	86.21	13.79	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	72.41	27.59	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	82.76	17.24	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All</li> <li>2. All</li> <li>3. 1. Understood the meaning of ‘Bias’ in its complete sense; 2. Also helped to understand ‘Access to Justice’ and Access to Court.</li> <li>4. It will sincerely improve my working ability.</li> <li>5. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>6. 1. <b>Session 2:</b> Social and Economic Impediments in Access to Justice; 2. <b>Session 3:</b> Timely and Speedy Justice Delivery; 3. <b>Session 7:</b> Efficient Judicial Systems and Access to Justice.</li> <li>7. 1. How to help the litigants; 2. How to dispose of cases; 3. How to deal with serious cases.</li> <li>8. The judicial officer needs to go through the facts thoroughly.</li> <li>9. 1. Modes and means of Access to Justice; 2. In how many cases legal aid is required; 3. Compensation schemes.</li> <li>10. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>11. To look into the provision of the constitution of India to deliver Justice; Reading the legal provisions carefully, Identification points of bias and eliminate them.</li> <li>12. The length of the program could have been extended.</li> <li>13. To improve the efficiency; To get along with the problem of society.</li> <li>14. Discharge duty with absolute efficiency; Maintenance of time as well as punctuality by the judges in their work.</li> </ol>

	<p>15. 1. Access to justice in the list of constitutional vision; 2. Court management, timely &amp; speedy justice delivery; 3. ADR methods &amp; legal aid.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Stress on Access to Justice &amp; Legal Aid.</p> <p>18. 1. Marginalized section of society; 2. How to facilitate the stakeholders for access to justice; 3. Manners in which we could strengthen ourselves to deliver early justice.</p> <p>19. Increased efficiency in capacity to work. Subordinate judiciary will be sensitized towards legal aid. Resource persons shared their experiences.</p> <p>20. Acquired new vision. Made more aware about the legal aid. Widened the horizons.</p> <p>21. 1. Clear vision of justice as per Indian constitution; 2. Judges have to be sensitive enough to deal with their judicial function considering the complexity of the Indian society; 3. Increased vision about the periphery of Legal Service Act.</p> <p>22. 1. To increase access to justice; 2. To improve the quality of legal knowledge;</p> <p>23. Improved perspective; useful interaction; analytical dissection of complex legal concepts.</p> <p>24. 1. To achieve the goal of access to justice; 2. Legal aid programme is so fruitful; 3. To interact with the officers of other States.</p> <p>25. 1. Gender bias? To find out; 2. How far NGO's can help the court; 3. Various means to access justice through the Legal Services Authorities Act.</p> <p>26. 1. Importance of constitution; 2. Learned how to deliver speedy justice; 3. Effective group discussion.</p> <p>27. Information and updated with new laws and recent judgement, research paper with regards to access to justice.</p> <p>28. 1. We learned what's going on its other states and how are they are helping marginalized section of the society; 2. We learned how can we provide best and quality legal aid to the needy persons.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. How to improve one's own ability to be a better judge &amp; provide better access to justice to all.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. All</p> <p>2. All</p> <p>3. All the programme of Hon'ble Justice K. Hema &amp; Ms. Prita Rani Jha.</p> <p>4. All parts of programme are most useful.</p> <p>5. <b>Session 4:</b> Legal Aid to Marginalized Sections of the Society.</p> <p>6. All part, because all are inter-connected and will have cumulative effect.</p> <p>7. Deliberation of Justice K. Hema; She is master of the subject allotted to her.</p>

	<p>8. The entire part of the programme.</p> <p>9. All the parts of training are useful.</p> <p>10. Sessions By Justice Hema.</p> <p>11. Session of Justice K. Hema regarding Access to Justice at Grassroot level.</p> <p>12. The last class was excellent.</p> <p>13. Almost all the topics.</p> <p>14. All part of the programme is equally useful for our work as well as for discharging our judicial duty.</p> <p>15. Access to justice.</p> <p>16. <b>Session 1:</b> Access to Justice: Crucial Component of Constitutional Vision of Justice; <b>Session 2:</b> Social and Economic Impediments in Access to Justice; <b>Session 4:</b> Legal Aid to Marginalized Sections of the Society- It was very useful in day to day working.</p> <p>17. Access to Justice.</p> <p>18. <b>Session 4:</b> Legal Aid to Marginalized Sections of the Society; <b>Session 5:</b> Undertrials &amp; Access to Justice- As we would is a position to sensitize our self in our day to day working in future.</p> <p>19. All the sessions.</p> <p>20. Legal aid and its importance.</p> <p>21. <b>Session 1:</b> Access to Justice: Crucial Component of Constitutional Vision of Justice- discussion in that session provided enhanced vision as to the duties of the judges in discharging function in accordance with the mandates of our constitution.</p> <p>22. Effective/Efficient individual system and access to justice.</p> <p>23. Ways and means to provide legal aid to under trial prisoners.</p> <p>24. Access to justice &amp; Legal services authorities role &amp; responsibilities.</p> <p>25. All of it.</p> <p>26. In group discussion participants could freely discuss problems and found solution.</p> <p>27. All Session, they are useful, information and practical which may help judicial officers in performing their duties in the administration of justice.</p> <p>28. Access to justice was really very useful part and we have learned the ways to help the marginalized section of the society for their access to justice.</p> <p>29. The classes taken by justice K. Hema – more concentrated on experience.</p> <p>30. All.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. No one.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. <b>Session 7:</b> Efficient Judicial Systems and Access to Justice.</p> <p>6. <b>Session 1:</b> Access to Justice: Crucial Component of Constitutional Vision of Justice; <b>Session 2:</b> Social and Economic Impediments in Access to Justice; <b>Session</b></p>

	<p><b>3:</b> Timely and Speedy Justice Delivery; <b>Session 4:</b> Legal Aid to Marginalized Sections of the Society and <b>Session 7:</b> Efficient Judicial Systems and Access to Justice; <b>Session 8:</b> Strengthening Access to Justice at Grassroot Level.</p> <p>7. The session was not completed in time.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. No.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Does not arise.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Superficial instance given by the resource persons was least useful for participants.</p> <p>15. None</p> <p>16. <b>Session 8:</b> Strengthening Access to Justice at Grassroot Level.</p> <p>17. Nil</p> <p>18. <b>Session 7:</b> Efficient Judicial Systems and Access to Justice; <b>Session 8:</b> Strengthening Access to Justice at Grassroot Level- because of lack of infrastructure &amp; facilities at grass root level.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. All most all the session are helpful to us.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Social &amp; economic impediments of social &amp; economic.</p> <p>25. Entire programme.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Nil</p> <p>28. <b>Session 8:</b> Strengthening Access to Justice at Grassroot Level- was least useful because we did not get the ways/solution to our problem which we face at district level.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Request for a pool vehicle from NJA for local visit. It is difficult to get taxi.</p> <p>2. Request for a pool vehicle from NJA for local visit with charge. It is difficult to get taxi.</p>

3. Open floor discussion at least for one hour, on particular issue with advance notice to participants.
4. NJA may make research in respect of various problems faced by the participants to bring out solutions.
5. Participant did not comment.
6. If we try to know ground level problems of the trial courts, call the suggestions and try to solve them.
7. The programme should be completed in time as per schedule.
8. Such training programme should be imparted to all judicial officers.
9. By sending material of programme in advance through e-mail.
10. Participant did not comment.
11. By arranging lectures of the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judges, Legal jurists and professors.
12. There should be higher frequency of such training.
13. Participant did not comment.
14. No comments.
15. Participant did not comment.
16. Transportation and Laundry is costly.
17. There should be a joint training for PDJ & Hon'ble Judge of High Court.
18. Participant did not comment.
19. Reading material should be bilingual for the benefit of junior judges.
20. Well organized, no suggestions.
21. Arrangement of frequent session in such a way so that each officer could cover different session during his tenure of service.
22. More interactive discussion and sharing views of participant.
23. Effect of proliferation of overlapping enactments should be debated.
24. To send the message of trainee to the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
25. There was much stress on finding ones (of the participant judges) "GENDER BIAS"; this is no doubt very relevant and will help to transform the mind-set of the judge and make him justice oriented (Justice as it ought to be and not as it is according to presiding judge) but- it is easier said then done- it requires professional help of proper counsellor/Phycologist – by means of sessions and exercises. To me it will cover legal aid to the judge (to clear the bias).
26. Participant did not comment.
27. None, as the programme is well organized.
28. Participant did not comment.

	<p>29. Put effort on more pragmatic ways of enhancing efficiency; To be more involved in the element of national integration. The officers from the different states must be put together rather than giving a single room; It may be made optional.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--