

**NATIONAL JUDICIAL CONFERENCE FOR  
HIGH COURT JUSTICES (P-1082)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**SESSION 1  
HIGH COURTS: GUARDIANS OF DISTRICT JUDICIARY**

Pg. No.

1.	Justice U.L. Bhatt: Judiciary- A Near Feudal Set Up and Superintendence, Story of a Chief Justice	01
2.	<i>R. Dale Lefever; The Integration of Judicial Independence and Judicial Administration: The Role of Collegiality in Court Governance , Future Trends in State Courts 2010; Reengineering Concepts, 66 (2010).</i>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Three Models</li><li>• Model Based on Individual Rights</li><li>• Model Based on Rules</li><li>• Model Based on Collegiality</li><li>• Steps to Achieve Collegial Governance</li><li>• Conclusion</li><li>• REFERENCES</li></ul>	11
3.	<b>CASE LAW JURISPRUDENCE IN INDIA</b>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Chandra Singh vs. State of Rajasthan (2003) 6SCC545</i></li><li>• <i>Dhyan Investments and Trading Co. Ltd. vs. Central Bureau of Investigation (2001) 6SCC607</i></li><li>• <i>Baradakanta Mishra vs. High Court of Orissa (1976) 3 SCC 327</i></li><li>• <i>Gauhati High Court vs. Kuladharphukan (2002) 4 SCC 524</i></li><li>• <i>Chief Justice of Andhra vs. L.V.A. Dixitulu (1979) 2 SCC 34</i></li><li>• <i>The State of West Bengal vs. Nripendra Nath Bagchi AIR 1966 SC 447</i></li><li>• <i>R.M. Gurjar vs. High Court of Gujarat (1992) 4SCC10</i></li><li>• <i>High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan vs. Ramesh Chand Paliwal (1998) 3 SCC 72</i></li></ul>	21-65

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Ishwar Chand Jain vs. High Court of Punjab and Haryana (1988)3SCC370</i></li> <li>• <i>Samsher Singh vs. State of Punjab(1974)2SCC831</i></li> <li>• <i>Tej Pal Singh vs. State of U.P. (1986)3SCC604</i></li> <li>• <i>B S Yadav vs. State of Haryana AIR1981SC561</i></li> <li>• <i>High Court of Judicature at Allahabad through Registrar vs. Sarnam Singh and anr (2000) 2 SCC 339</i></li> <li>• <i>Bishwanath Prasad Singh vs. State of Bihar (2001)2 SCC 305</i></li> <li>• <i>In The Matter of: K, A Judicial Officer (2001)3 SCC 54</i></li> <li>• <i>Awani Kumar Upadhyay vs. the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and ors. (2013) 12 SCC 392</i></li> <li>• <i>Amar Pal Singh vs. State of U. P. (2012) 6 SCC 491</i></li> <li>• <i>Registrar General, Patna High Court vs. Pandey Gajendra Prasad &amp; ors. (2012) 6 SCC 357</i></li> <li>• <i>Khazia Mohammed Muzammil vs. the State of Karnataka &amp; anr. (2010) 8 SCC 155</i></li> <li>• <i>Syed T.A. Naqshbandi vs. State of Jammu &amp; Kashmir (2003) 9 SCC 592</i></li> <li>• <i>High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan vs. P.P. Singh (2003) 4 SCC 239</i></li> </ul>	
<p><b>SESSION 2</b> <b><u>TRIBUNALIZATION OF JUSTICE: BOON OR BANE</u></b></p>		
		Pg. No.
1.	<p><b>Arvind P. Datar, The Tribunalisation of Justice in India, 2006 Acta Juridica 288, 302 (2006)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Justification for Tribunals</i></li> <li>• <i>Constitutional Provisions</i></li> <li>• <i>The Rapid Growth of Tribunals In India</i></li> <li>• <i>Sphere of Operation of Tribunals</i></li> <li>• <i>Control of Tribunals</i></li> <li>• <i>The Composition of Tribunals</i></li> <li>• <i>A Comparative Perspective: United States of America, Jamaica, Canada &amp; Australia</i></li> </ul>	67
2.	<b>Arvind P. Datar: Tribunals - A Tragic Obsession</b>	83
3.	<b>Mark Elliott; Robert Thomas, Tribunal Justice and Proportionate Dispute Resolution, 71 Cambridge L.J. 297, 324 (2012)</b>	91

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The New Tribunals System</li> <li>• <i>Ouster clauses, "Superior Courts of Record" and the Constitutional status of Judicial Review</i></li> <li>• <i>Judicial Review and The Rule of Law</i></li> <li>• <i>Jurisdiction, the second-tier appeal criteria and the Autonomy of the Tribunals System</i></li> <li>• Onward Challenges</li> <li>• <i>Cart and Proportionate Dispute Resolution</i></li> <li>• Tribunal Practice</li> <li>• Managing Judicial Relationships</li> </ul>	
4.	<p><b>Anusha Ramesh, <i>Tribunalisation of India's Competition Regime</i>, 9 NUJS L. Rev. 259 (2016)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Constitutional Limits to the Growth of Tribunals in India</i></li> <li>• <i>Is a Tribunal a Court?</i></li> <li>• <i>Tribunalisation and The Forty-Second Amendment</i></li> <li>• <i>Safeguarding the Power of Judicial Review</i></li> <li>• <i>Preserving Independence</i></li> <li>• <i>Constitutionality of the Provisions Relating to the CCI and the COMPAT</i></li> </ul>	119
<p><b>SESSION 3</b> <b><u>SUPERIOR COURTS: MANAGING JUDICIAL REVIEW WITHIN THE DEMOCRATIC FRAMEWORK</u></b></p>		
		Pg. No.
1.	<p><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.K. Sikri , Role of the Judge in a Democracy, The Constitution at 67 by Supreme Court of India; Available at <a href="http://supremecourtofindia.nic.in">http://supremecourtofindia.nic.in</a></b></p>	151
2.	<p><b>Lever, Annabelle (2009) Democracy and judicial review: are they really incompatible? <i>Perspectives on politics</i>.</b></p>	169
3.	<p><b>Manoj Mate, Globalization, Rights, and Judicial Review in the Supreme Court of India, 25 Pac. Rim L. &amp; Pol'y J. 643, 672 (2016)</b></p>	215
4.	<p><b>Peter Burge, 9 Lords of the Universe: A Re-Examination of the Role of the Supreme Court of the United States</b></p>	245

	as an Instrument of Judicial Review within the Context of a Democratic Framework, 8 Queensland U. Tech. L.J. 89 (1992)	
5.	Martin H. Redish and Matthew B. Arnould, <i>Judicial Review, Constitutional Interpretation, and the Democratic Dilemma: Proposing a "Controlled Activism" Alternative</i> , 64 Fla. L. Rev. 1485 (2012).	261
<p><b>SESSION 4</b>  <b><u>CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, POLICING GOVERNANCE</u></b>  <b><u>WITHIN SEPARATION OF POWERS FRAMEWORK</u></b></p>		
		Pg. No.
1.	STEPHEN GARDBAUM, Separation of Powers and the Growth of Judicial Review in Established Democracies (orWhy Has the Model of Legislative Supremacy Mostly Been Withdrawn From Sale?), Oxford University Press, The American Journal of Comparative Law, Vol. 62, No. 3 (SUMMER 2014), pp. 613-639	315
2.	William J. Wagner, Balancing as Art: Justice White and the Separation of Powers, 52 Cath. U. L. Rev. 957 (2003)	343
3.	Holly Martin, Legislating Judicial Review: An Infringement on Separation of Powers, 17 N.Y.U. J. Legis. & Pub. Pol'y 1097 (2014)	359
<p><b>SESSION 5</b>  <b><u>CONSTRUING THE SOUNDS OF CONSTITUTION'S SPEECH: MEANINGS BEYOND</u></b>  <b><u>TEXT</u></b></p>		
		Pg. No.
1.	Fali S. Nariman "The Silences in our Constitution", (2006) 2 SCC J-15	391
2.	Martin Loughlin, " <i>The Silences of Constitutions</i> " accessed at <a href="https://www.jura.uni-freiburg.de/de/institute/rphil/freiburger_vortraege/silences-of-constitutions-m.-loughlin-manuskript.pdf">https://www.jura.uni-freiburg.de/de/institute/rphil/freiburger_vortraege/silences-of-constitutions-m.-loughlin-manuskript.pdf</a> accessed on January 16, 2018	405

3.	Laurence H. Tribe, "Soundings and Silences", 115 Mich. L. Rev. Online 26 (2016)	421
4.	<i>Parag P. Tripathi and Smt. Neelima Tripathi</i> , The Doctrine of the Invisible Constitution: A Relook at the Basic Structure Doctrine in the Context of Unenumerated Fundamental Rights, The Constitution at 67 by Supreme Court of India; Available at <a href="http://supremecourtofindia.nic.in">http://supremecourtofindia.nic.in</a>	463

**SESSION 6**  
**FREE AND FAIR ELECTIONS – VITALIZING OUR DEMOCRATIC FABRIC: THE WAY FORWARD**

Pg. No.

1.	<b>CASE LAWS JURISPRUDENCE ON ELECTION LAWS IN INDIA</b>	
	• <i>Ajay Arjun Singh v. Sharadendu Tiwari</i> , 2016(8)SCALE213	477
	• <i>Krishnamoorthy v. Sivakumar</i> , (2015)3SCC467	485
	• <i>PravasiBhalaiSangathan v. Union of India</i> , (2014)11SCC477	495
	• <i>ManojNarula v. Union of India</i> , (2014)9SCC1	501
	• <i>Common Cause v. Union of India</i> , (2014) 6 SCC 552	507
	• <i>ArikalaNarasa Reddy v. Venkata Ram Reddy Reddygari</i> , (2014)5SCC312	509
	• <i>Public Interest Foundation and Ors. v. Union of India</i> , 2014(3)SCALE563	519
	• <i>Dr. Subramanian Swamy v. Election Commission of India</i> , 2013(12)SCALE575	523
	• <i>S. SubramaniamBalaji v. The Government of Tamil Nadu</i> , 2013(8)SCALE249	525
	• <i>People's Union for Civil Liberties and Anr. v. Union of India</i> , 2013(12)SCALE165	535

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Resurgence India v. Election Commission of India</i>, 2013 (11) SCALE348</li> <li>• <i>DesiyaMurpokkuDravidaKazhagam and Anr. v. The Election Commission of India</i>, (2012)7SCC340</li> </ul>	547 553
2.	<p>TrilochanSastry "Towards the De-Criminalization of Elections and Politics" Available at <a href="https://www.iimb.ernet.in/research/working-papers/towards-de-criminalization-elections-and-politics-">https://www.iimb.ernet.in/research/working-papers/towards-de-criminalization-elections-and-politics-</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Role of crime and money in elections and politics</li> <li>• Suggestions to de-criminalise politics</li> </ul>	567
3.	<p>Manoj Mate "High Courts and Election Law Reform in the United States and India" 32 B.U. Int'l L.J. 267 2014</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Role of Supreme Court of India in electoral reform</li> <li>• Comparative analysis of the approaches of the Supreme Courts of India and USA in Electoral Reform</li> </ul>	589
<p><b>SESSION 7</b> <b><u>CORPORATE FRAUD &amp; MANIPULATION: REPERCUSSIONS, DETERRENT MECHANISMS &amp; JUDICIAL APPROACH</u></b></p>		
		Pg. No.
1.	<p>P. K. Gupta Sanjeev Gupta , (2015), "Corporate frauds in India - perceptions and emerging issues", Journal of Financial Crime, Vol. 22 Iss 1 pp. 79 - 103</p>	631
2.	<p>Umakanth Varottil, The Long and Short of Insider Trading Regulation in India, NSE Centre for Excellence in Corporate Governance, April 2016   No. 13</p>	663
3.	<p>KVSN Jawahar Babu &amp; Damodahr Naidu, Investor Protection Measures by SEBI, Journal of Economics and Management Vol.1 Issue 8, November 2012, ISSN 2278-0629</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objectives of SEBI</li> <li>• Investor Protection Measures by SEBI</li> </ul>	671

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>SEBI Reforms on Stock Exchanges</i></li> <li>• <i>Grievances Addressed by SEBI</i></li> <li>• <i>Compensation from Investor Protection Fund</i></li> <li>• <i>Awareness Campaign</i></li> </ul>	
4.	Case Law Jurisprudence on Corporate Law	681-701
<p><b>SESSION 8</b>  <b><u>JURISPRUDENCE OF THE PC &amp; PNDDT ACT</u></b>  <b><u>&amp;</u></b>  <b><u>CULTURAL, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS THAT PROMOTE GENDER BIAS:</u></b>  <b><u>CONTEXT OF THE PC &amp; PNDDT ACT IN INDIA</u></b></p>		
		Pg. No.
1.	Voluntary Health Association of Punjab Vs. Union of India, 2016 SCC Online SC 1244.	703
2.	"Eradication of Female Foeticide", by Justice Y. K. Sabharwal, Former C.J.I.	719
3.	<i>Kristi Lemoine and John Tanagho</i> , Gender discrimination fuels sex selective abortion: the impact of the Indian Supreme Court on the Implementation and Enforcement of the PNDDT Act', <i>University of Miami International and comparative Law Review</i> , Vol 15.2, 2007.	727
4.	V Subramanian and S Selvaraj,"Social analysis of sex imbalance in India: before and after the implementation of the Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PNDDT) Act", <i>Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health</i> (1979), Vol. 63, No. 3 (March 2009), pp. 245-252.	779