	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
	I	
	Separation of Powers & Contours of Judicial Review	
1.	Justice Asok Kumar Ganguly, "Separation of Powers & Judicial Activism" (2013) 9 SCC	
	J-38	1
2.	Justice Devi Prasad Singh, "Sovereignty, Judicial Review and Separation of Power"	
	(2012) 7 SCC J-1	7
	II	
	Social Context Adjudication	
3.	Mark Tushnet, "Reflections on Judicial Enforcement of Social and Economic Rights in	
	the Twenty-First Century" (2011) 4 NUJS L Rev 177	21
4.	Case Law	
	❖ Independent Thought v. Union of India, (2017)10SCC800	31
	* Arjun Gopal v. Union of India, 2017(12)SCALE348	44
	* Environment and Consumer Protection Foundation v. Union of India,	
	2017(8)SCALE679	49
	S. Rajaseekaran v. Union of India, 2017(13)SCALE729	55
	Re: Exploitation of Children in Orphanages in the State of Tamil Nadu v. Union	
	of India, (2017)7SCC578	75
	III	
	Fair Trial Rights: Role of the Judge	
5.	Justice T.S. Sivagnanam, "Principles of Natural Justice" accessed on January 5, 2018 at	
	$\underline{http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/article/Pri\%20of\%20Natural\%20Jus\%20by\%20TSSJ.pdf}$	79
6.	Case Law	
	❖ Hussain v. Union of India, (2017)5SCC702	91
	IV	
	The Indian Constitution : An Overview	
7.	Tripathi & Tripathi, "The Doctrine of the Invisible Constitution: A Relook at the Basic	
	Structure Doctrine in the Context of Unenumerated Fundamental Rights" in 'The	
	Constitution at 67' 125-138 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017)	101
8.	Dieter Grimm, "Constitutional Adjudication and Constitutional Interpretation: Between	

Law and Politics" (2011) NUJS L Rev 15....

115

9.	J-25	127
10.	Domenico Amirante, "Post Modern Constitutionalism in Asia: Perspectives from the	
	Indian Experience" (2013) 6 NUJS L Rev 213	137
11.	Fali S. Nariman, "The Myth and Reality of Article 14 in the light of Growing Inequalities"	
	in 'The Constitution at 67' 49-60 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017)	153
12.	Mohan Parasaran, "Judicial Perspective of Harmony between Fundamental Rights and	
	Directive Principles of State Policies in India for Protecting Democratic Norms" " in	
	'The Constitution at 67' 147-156 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017)	165
13.	Case Law	
	Justice K.S. Puttaswamy and Ors. v. Union of India and Ors., 2017(10)SCALE1	175
	❖ Binoy Viswam v. Union of India, (2017)7SCC59	184
	❖ Asha Ranjan v. State of Bihar, 2017(2)SCALE709	195
	* Ram Jethmalani and Ors. v. Union of India, (2011)8SCC1	201
	❖ Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India, (2016)7SCC221	209
	V	
	The Indian Constitution : An Overview	
14.	Jaideep Reddy, "Duty of the Union under Articles 355 of the Constitution" (2011) 4	
	NUJS L Rev 371	225
15.	Case Law	
	Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association v. Union of India, (2016)5SCC1	243
	❖ Justice (Retd.) Markandey Katju v. The Lok Sabha and Ors., (2017)2SCC384	244
	* Manoj Narula v. Union of India, (2014)9SCC1	257
	❖ Nabam Rebia v. Deputy Speaker, (2016)8SCC1	261
	VI	
	The Indian Constitution : An Overview	
16.	Guha & Tundawala, "Constitution: Amended it Stands" (2008) 1 NUJS L Rev 533	269
17.	Prof. Mahendra P. Singh, "Interpreting and Shaping the Transformative Constitution of	
	India" in 'The Constitution at 67' 73-108 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017)	283
18.	Laurence H. Tribe, "Soundings and Silences", 115 Mich. L. Rev.Online 26 (2016)	319
19.	Redish & Arnould, "Judicial Review, Constitutional Interpretation, and the Democratic	
	Dilemma: Proposing a "Controlled Activism" Alternative", 64 Fla. L. Rev. 1485(2012)	361

	${f v}$			
	Article 141 as a Necessary Element of Judicial Stability			
20.	Randy J. Kozel, "Stare Decisis in the Second-Best World", 103 Cal. L. Rev. 1139 (2015)	415		
21.	Randy J. Kozel, "Settled Versus Right: Constitutional Method and the Path of Precedent"			
	(2013) Scholarly Works Paper 534 accessed on October 8, 2017 at			
	http://scholarship.law.nd.edu/law_faculty_scholarship/534	471		
22.	Justice R.V. Raveendran, "Precedents-Boon or Bane" (2015) 8 SCC J-1	525		
	VI			
	Art of Hearing			
23.	Jonathan R. Cohen, "Open-Minded Listening", 5 Charlotte L.Rev.139 (2014)	553		
24.	Tom Jenkins, "The Overlooked Communication Skill" 16 Law Prac. Mgmt. 34 (1990)	579		
NOTE: The Cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight some				
issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment provided in				
	the CD for conclusive aninion			