

**NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY**  
**P-1057: Workshop for Additional District Judges**  
10<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2017

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Prasadh Raj Singh, Law Associate, NJA Bhopal.  
**No. of Participants** : 29  
**No. of forms received** : 28

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>92.86</b>	<b>7.14</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>89.29</b>	<b>10.71</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>89.29</b>	<b>10.71</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>81.48</b>	<b>18.52</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>55.56</b>	<b>44.44</b>	-	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>32.00</b>	<b>64.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>82.14</b>	<b>17.86</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>60.71</b>	<b>39.29</b>	-	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	<b>82.61</b>	<b>17.39</b>
2	<b>88.89</b>	<b>11.11</b>	<b>86.36</b>	<b>13.64</b>
3	<b>85.19</b>	<b>14.81</b>	<b>72.73</b>	<b>27.27</b>
4	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
5	<b>84.62</b>	<b>15.38</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
6	<b>68.00</b>	<b>32.00</b>	<b>59.09</b>	<b>40.91</b>
7	<b>100.00</b>	-	<b>100.00</b>	-
8	<b>100.00</b>	-	<b>100.00</b>	-
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-

policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interaction with Resource Persons; Interaction with participants; Conduct of a judicial officer.</li> <li>2. Court Management and disposal of cases; Cybercrimes; Revision and Appellate jurisdiction.</li> <li>3. Interaction with Resource Persons; Interaction with appellate judges; Conduct of a judicial officer.</li> <li>4. Technical aspects of Cyber Crime; Revision and Appellate jurisdiction.</li> <li>5. Court Management, Revision and Appellate jurisdiction.</li> <li>6. We have learnt how to approach the cases and techniques to achieve the expectation and to render speedy justice.</li> <li>7. Appreciation and collection of electronic-evidence, sentencing of convict. Exercise of revision and appellate jurisdiction.</li> <li>8. The workshop builds confidence in me to work as a District Judge. 2. I gained up-to-date knowledge on various aspect. 3. I have also gained knowledge about schemes of fair trial.</li> <li>9. I got updated on Cyber Crimes laws. 2. Role of judges in effective court management system. 3. Role of ADR's vis-a-vis judges.</li> <li>10. By this pogramme, I got knowledge of present position of laws relating to Cyber Crime. 2. This programme helped me to manage my court.3. This programme help me how to appreciate electronic evidence.</li> <li>11. Civil and criminal appeal. <i>Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i> Was explained by the Resource person very effectively. Collection of evidence.</li> <li>12. Laws relating to Cyber Crime: Advances and Bottlenecks. 2. Electronic evidence, Collection, Preservation and Appreciation. 3. Court and case management: Role of Judges.</li> <li>13. I got an opportunity to interact with fellow officers of other states and different resource persons. 2. To enhance my knowledge. 3. To enhance knowledge on tools and techniques of court and case management.</li> </ol>

	<p>14. Cyber Crimes and electronic evidence, appellate as revisional jurisdiction in civil and criminal side.</p> <p>15. About Cyber Crime. 2. Collection, Preservation and Appreciation of Electronic Evidence. Court and case management.</p> <p>16. Electronic Evidence. Sentencing. Cyber Crime.</p> <p>17. How to manage court and case; about Cyber Crime. Criminal and Civil justice administration.</p> <p>18. Law relating to Cyber Crimes. By Dr. Harold and Ms. N.S. Nappinai. 2. Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation. 3 Appellate and Revisional jurisdiction.</p> <p>19. Innovative ideas. Humanism in courts.</p> <p>20. Knowledge about Cyber Crime.</p> <p>21. Knowledge about the working of the judicial officers of different parts of country. 2. Knowledge about the workings of the highest courts. 3. Exchange of legal and technical view.</p> <p>22. Got valuable information. 2. Upgradation of knowledge. 3. Upgrades confidence.</p> <p>23. Clarity on appeals. 2. Clarity on Cyber Crimes.</p> <p>24. Laws relating to Cyber Crime. 2. Criminal justice in Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction. 3. Criminal justice in Appellate and Revision in civil law.</p> <p>25. Refreshing, innovative and fruitful, will enhance my work capabilities.</p> <p>26. Learned a lot through interaction and sharing of issues with co participants.</p> <p>27. It will affect our working in administration justice.</p> <p>28. Criminal and civil revision and appeal matters by Hon'ble Justice Deepak Gupta and Hon'ble Anjana Prakash. And electrical evidence by Dr. Hon'ble Dr. Harold D' Costa.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why?</p>	<p>1. Case Management system; Criminal Justice Administration; Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction.</p> <p>2. Cybercrimes; Revision and Appellate jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. Court Case Management.</p> <p>4. Digital Evidence.</p> <p>5. Court Management.</p> <p>6. Laws relating to Cyber Crime and the collection, Preservation and Appreciation of evidence are new subjects and we do require more and more information about it.</p>

7. *Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.* I have been greatly benefitted from the lecture of Hon'ble Judges, Mr. Deepak Gupta.
8. Interactive sessions during programme.
9. Interactive sessions were the most fruitful and best.
10. *Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.* Was very useful in my day to day work in the court.
11. *Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.* Were explained easily by the Resource Persons.
12. Criminal Justice and Civil Justices Administration. *Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.* Was most useful for me. Hon'ble Justice Deepak Gupta has explained this issue in a very good manner.
13. Cyber Law.
14. The above mentioned topics.
15. Law relating to Cyber Crime, because it is high time judicial officers are aware of Cyber Crime as there will be many more cases in future.
16. Criminal Justice Administration.
17. All.
18. Jurisdiction and related procedure of appeal and revision. (interlocutory order and final order)
19. Cyber Crime. Electronic evidence. Appeal and revisions jurisdiction.
20. Criminal and civil justice administration appellate and revisions was useful for daily court works.
21. Appellate jurisdiction: civil and criminal law relating to Cyber Crimes.
22. All programmes were found to be most useful and informative as the same upgrades level of my knowledge.
23. Cyber Crime was unknown to me.
24. Participant did not comment.

	<p>25. <i>Session-1 Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts. Session-4 Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks. Session-5 Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation. Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges. Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i></p> <p><i>Session-2 Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges. Session-7 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges. Session-8 Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i></p> <p>26. Electronic evidence, civil justice administration and criminal justice administration.</p> <p>27. All session very useful on the respective subjects dealt with; Resource Persons had expertise on their subjects.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why?</p>	<p>1. Fair session trial best practices.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Fair session trial best practices.</p> <p>4. All sessions were important.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Nothing.</p> <p>7. Fairness in trial; we take utmost care for speedy trial of criminal case.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Each session was useful.</p> <p>13. None.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Fair session trial – not very elaborately discussed.</p> <p>16. Fair session trial.</p> <p>17. None.</p> <p>18. No.</p> <p>19. No.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Fair session trial: the basic problem and issues are appeared to be missing somewhere.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>23. <i>Session-6 Fair Sessions Trial: Best practices.</i> Unrelated and irrelevant discussions.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Nil</p> <p>28. None</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Program duration may be increased with inclusion of technical areas such as medical jurisprudence, ballistics etc.</li> <li>2. Programme length should be of 5 days.</li> <li>3. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>4. Program length should be one week.</li> <li>5. Course duration should be at least one week.</li> <li>6. Please included training schedules regularly mainly on new acts and subject like electronic evidence and if possible, please arrange for lecture from Hon'ble Justice of Supreme Court and Hon'ble High Court so we can know and understand laws properly.</li> <li>7. Interaction session may be more.</li> <li>8. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>9. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>10. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>11. Everything is good, session must be organized from 10am to 4pm.</li> <li>12. Everything was good.</li> <li>13. I find no room to make any suggestion.</li> <li>14. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>15. Kindly set up the memorabilia shop of National Judicial Academy so that we can take back some Mementos with us.</li> <li>16. Everyone should be provided an opportunity, some have visited many times.</li> <li>17. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>18. Resource Persons should be a member of judiciary or Prof. of law collages.</li> <li>19. 1. Workshop duration should be at least 7 days. For newly appointed ADJ. 2. More topics shall be included like, MACT, BAIL, LAA, NDPS. 3. Group discussion and presentation.</li> <li>20. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>21. Good interaction is very much important.</li> <li>22. By circulating study material continuously on emails.</li> <li>23. To be more practical oriented in selecting topics and Resource Persons.</li> </ol>

	<p>24. Everything is up to the mark.</p> <p>25. More session for <i>Session-4 Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks. Session-5 Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</i>. Was needed. Joint workshop with court staff and lawyer and investigating officers if arranged could be more fruitful.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. The programmes may be extended for a week for ADJs.</p>
--	--