

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Developments in the Area of Constitutional Law</i>	
1.	<p>Christopher Forsyth and Nitish Upadhyaya: <i>The Development of the Prerogative Remedies in England and India: The Student becomes the Master?</i> 23 Nat'l L. Sch. India Rev.77, 86 (2011)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>How do the prerogative remedies come to find themselves in the Indian Constitution?</i> • <i>The Prerogative Remedies in England at about the time of Indian independence</i> • <i>The Re-Birth of the Prerogative Remedies in England</i> • <i>The Development of the Remedies in India</i> • <i>The Importance of their Constitutional Status</i> • <i>The Flexibility of the Writs</i>
2.	<p>Chintan Chandrachud: <i>Measuring Constitutional Case Salience In The Indian Supreme Court</i> 6 J. Indian L. & Soc'y 42, 73 (2014)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Defining Salience in the Indian Constitutional Context</i> • <i>Developing a Measure of Political Case Salience: The Times of India Measure</i> • <i>Applying the Measure: Salient Constitutional Cases From 2010-2013</i>
3.	<p>Manoj Mate: <i>Globalization, Rights, and Judicial Review in the Supreme Court of India</i> 25 Pac. Rim L. & Pol'y J. 643, 672 (2016)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Globalization and the Terrain of Fundamental Rights in India</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Birth of Fundamental Rights in the Post-Emergency Era</i> ➤ <i>Fundamental Rights and Judicial Review: The Post-Liberalization Era and Beyond</i> • <i>Fundamental Rights and Judicial Review: Liberalization and Privatization</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Rights as Structuring Principles: Deferential and Limited Review</i> ➤ <i>Globalization and Rights Privileging: Mapping the Asymmetrical Rights Terrain of Liberalization and Privatization</i> ➤ <i>The Court's Role in Shaping and Monitoring the Regulatory Landscape</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Catalyzing Creation of Independent and Autonomous Regulatory Structures and Monitoring and Oversight of Tribunals</i> ○ <i>Expanding Anti-Corruption and Accountability Oversight in Privatization</i> • <i>Globalization and Development Policy: The Court's Role in Reshaping rights, development structures, and narratives</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Redefining Rights and the Scope of Judicial Review in Development</i> ➤ <i>Contesting Development Rights and Narratives at the Frontier</i> ➤ <i>Development Governance Structures and Governance Narratives: The Forest Case, Development and Fundamental Rights</i>
4.	<p>Manoj Mate: <i>Elite Institutionalism and Judicial Assertiveness in the Supreme Court of India</i> 28 Temp.Int'l & Comp. L.J. 361, 430 (2014)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Activism and Assertiveness In Fundamental Rights</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Fundamental Rights Activism in the Post-Emergency Era: 1977-1989</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Expanding Fundamental Rights: Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India</i> ○ <i>The Basic Structure Doctrine: Minerva Mills v. Union of India</i> ➤ <i>An Overview of Patterns and Trends in Judicial Assertiveness: 1977-2007</i> ➤ <i>Judicial Assertiveness in the 1977-1989 Era</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Emergency Cases (1977-1989)</i> ○ <i>Basic Structure Doctrine Decisions (1977-1989)</i> ○ <i>Economic Policy Decisions (1977-1989)</i> ○ <i>National Security Decisions (1977-1989)</i> ➤ <i>Selective Assertiveness: The Post-1990 Era</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Economic Policy Decisions</i> ○ <i>National Security and Immigration Decisions</i> ○ <i>Basic Structure Decisions</i>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Freedom of Expression Decisions</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>Freedom of Speech and Press Decisions</i> ❖ <i>Right to Information Decisions</i> ● <i>Explaining The Shift Toward Selective Activism and Assertiveness: Existing Public Law Theories and The Indian Case</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Regime Politics</i> ➤ <i>The Institutional Model</i> ➤ <i>The Strategic Model</i> ● <i>Elite Institutionalism: An Explanatory Account</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Elite Institutionalism and Judicial Motives</i> ➤ <i>Elite Meta-Regimes: From Liberal Restoration to Reform</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Meta-Regime of Liberal Restoration: 1977-1989</i> ○ <i>The Meta-Regime of Reform: 1991-2007</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>Support for Economic Reform Policies</i> ❖ <i>The Rule of Law and State Security</i> 	
5.	<p>Justice Ruma Pal: <i>Judicial Oversight or Overreach: The Role of The Judiciary in Contemporary India</i>, (2008) 7 SCC J-9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>The Background</i> ● <i>Parliamentary Supremacy</i> ● <i>Separation Of Powers</i> ● <i>Overreach Through Interpretation</i> ● <i>Overreach In Matters Of Policy</i> ● <i>Impracticality</i> ● <i>Democracy and Judicial Accountability</i> 	
6.	<p>Venkatesh Nayak: <i>The Basic Structure of the Indian Constitution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>The pre-Kesavanada position</i> ● <i>The Golaknath verdict</i> ● <i>Nationalisation of Banks and Abolition of Privy Purses</i> ● <i>Emergence of the Basic Structure Concept- the Kesavanada milestone</i> ● <i>Basic Features of the Constitution according to the Kesavanada verdict</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The minority view</i> ● <i>Basic Structure concept reaffirmed- the Indira Gandhi Election case</i> ● <i>Basic Features of the Constitution according to the Election case verdict</i> ● <i>The Kesavananda Review Bench</i> ● <i>Sardar Swaran Singh Committee and the Forty-second amendment</i> ● <i>Basic structure doctrine reaffirmed- the Minerva Mills and Woman Rao cases</i> 	
7.	<p>Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer: <i>A Democracy of Judicial Remedies – A Rejoinder to Hidayatullah</i>, (1984) 4 SCC J-43</p>	
8.	<p>Fali S. Nariman: <i>The Silences in our Constitution</i>, (2006) 2 SCC J-15</p>	
9.	<p>Arvind P. Datar : <i>Our Constitution and Its Self-Inflicted Wounds</i></p>	
10.	<p><i>Justice K S Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors.</i>, 2017(10) SCALE1</p>	
11.	<p><i>Shayara Bano Vs. Union of India & others</i>, 2017(9) SCALE178</p>	
12.	<p><i>Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India</i>, 2015 AIR SCW 5457</p>	
<i>Evolution of Economic Crimes: Money Laundering, Bribery and Corruption</i>		
1.	<p>Mahendra Kumawat: <i>Dimensions of Economic Crime in India</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Magnitude of the problem</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Primary Market Funds</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Secondary Markets ➤ Bank Frauds ➤ Import Export Frauds ➤ Insurance Frauds ➤ Intellectual Property Crime/ Theft ➤ Non-Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs) Frauds ➤ Fake Currency ➤ Fake Stamp Scam • Measures to be taken <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Revamping of Laws ➤ Deprive Economic offenders of the proceeds of crime ➤ Tightening of Bail Application ➤ Creation of Exclusive Courts ➤ Need to simplify Court Procedures ➤ Notify Economic Offenders on Internet ➤ Make Diversion of Funds 	
2.	<p>Deepa Mehta: Economic Crime in a Globalizing Society: Its Impact on the Sound Development of the State - An Indian Perspective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • India: The Land and the people • Characteristics of Economic Crime • State of Economic Crime In India <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Group - I <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Corruption ○ Smuggling ○ Invoice Manipulation ○ Bogus Imports ➤ Group – II <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cyber Crime <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Technical challenges ❖ Legal challenges ❖ Operational challenges ○ Counterfeiting ○ Credit Card Frauds ➤ Group – III <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Transnational Organized Gangs ○ Drug Trafficking ○ Smuggling of Migrants ○ Trafficking in Persons ○ Terrorism ○ Trafficking in Arms ○ Money Laundering ○ Hawala • Indian Legislation to deal with Money Launderers • The Central Economic Intelligence Bureau • The Directorate of Revenue Intelligence • Enforcement Directorate • The Directorate General of Anti-Evasion (Central Excise) • The Directorate General(s) of Income Tax (Investigation) • The Narcotics Control Bureau • Special Investigative Tools • Controlled Delivery • Electronic Surveillance • Survey on Economic Crime in India 	
3.	<p>Jupi Gogoi: Socio-Economic Offences</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Essential commodities Act and prevention of black Marketing and maintenance of supplies of essential Commodities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Public distribution scheme <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ PUCL (PDS Matters) v. Union of India (2013) 2 SCC 663 ○ Ranjit Kr v. State of Bihar AIR 2014 Pat 14 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Cognisance of matter under section 11 EC Act, 1955 only on report written by public servant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Abdul Rashid v. State of Haryana 2014 Cri LJ 1588. • Food Safety And Standards Act, 2006 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Adulteration in milk and soft-drinks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Swami Achyutanand Tirth v. Union of India 2013(5) SCALE 23. ○ Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India AIR 2014 SC 49 ○ Mahesh Chand v. State of UP 2013 (10) ADJ 222 • Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Approval from Central Government is not necessary to investigate senior government officers/public servants when enquiry/investigation is monitored by constitutional courts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Manohar Lal Sharma v. Principal Secy 2013 (15) SCALE 305 ➤ Conditions on grant of bail in economic offences <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Y.S. Jagan Mohan Reddy v. CBI (2013) 7 SCC 439 ○ Nimmagadda Prasad v. CBI (2013) 7 SCC 466 ➤ Restriction on broadcasting of corruption cases <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Vidya Dhar v. Multi-Screen Media (P) Ltd (2013) 10 SCC 145 ➤ Quashing of case on account of delay not allowed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Niranjana Hemchandra Sashittal v. State of Maharashtra (2013) 4 SCC 642 ➤ Corruption in banking sector <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CBI v. Jagjit Singh (2013) 10 SCC 686 ➤ Corruption in education sector <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ State of Kerala v. President, Parent Teacher Association SNVUP AIR 2013 SC 1254. ➤ Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (NDPS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ashok Kumar Sharma v. State of Rajasthan (2013) 2 SCC 67 ○ State of Rajasthan v. Bheru Lal (2013) 11 SCC 730 ○ Navdeep Singh v. State of Haryana (2013)2 SCC 584 ➤ Physical disability does not preclude accused from the purview of the Act <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Abbas Ali v. State of Punjab (2013) 2 SCC 195 ➤ No remissions in offences under the Act <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Budh Singh v. State of Haryana (2013) 3SCC 742 ➤ Under trials <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Thana Singh v. Central Bureau of Narcotics (2013) 2 SCC 603 ➤ Reliability of police witnesses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Kashmiri Lal v. State of Haryana (2013) 6 SCC 595 ○ Ram Swaroop v. State (Govt. Of NCT, Delhi) (2013) 14 SCC 235 ○ Gian Chand v. State of Haryana (2013) 14 SCC 420 • Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA) and foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Telstar Travels (P) Ltd. v. Enforcement Directorate (2013) 9 SCC 549. ➤ Venkat N.R Akkineni v. Appellate Tribunal for Foreign Exchange 2013(4) ALD 529 ➤ Basant Kumar Sharma v. Government of India [2013] 120 SCL 122 (Del). ➤ Rajesh Shantilal Adani v. Special Director, Enforcement Directorate, Mumbai (2014) 1 GLR 819 • Income Tax Act, 1961 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Income tax evasion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Mak Data (P) Ltd. v. CIT (2014) 1 SCC 674 • Conservation of foreign exchange and prevention of Smuggling activities act, 1974 (COFEPOSA) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Subhash Popatlal Dave v. Union of India (2014) 1 SCC 280 ➤ Narayanan K. v. State of Kerala ILR (2014) 1 Ker 654 • Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Kulwant Singh v. State of Punjab (2013) 4 SCC 177 ➤ Tummala Venkateswar Rao v. State of Andhra Pradesh (2014) 2 SCC 240 ➤ Ajnappa v. State of Karnataka (2014) 2 SCC 776 • Immoral Traffic Prevention Act, 1956 (PITA) 	
--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>CBI v. Birendra Kumar Singh @ Virendra Kumar Singh @ Pandit</i> 207(2014) DLT 680 ➤ <i>Renuka Kala Kendra v. State of Maharashtra</i> 2013 ALL MR (Cri) 2165. ➤ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Indian Hotel and Restaurants Assn.</i> 2013(9) SCALE 47. • <i>Drugs And Cosmetics Act, 1940</i> ➤ <i>Madan Lal Agarwal v. State through Drug Inspector</i> 2012 Cri L.J. 2584 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>License to be granted only when the medical store is in charge of a competent person</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>Namdev Genba Parthe through M/s/ Kulswami Medical and General Stores v. State of Maharashtra.</i> 2014 (1) MhLj 266 	
4.	<p>Justice K. N. Basha, <i>Effective and Speedy Disposal of Economic Offences</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Rang Bahadur Singh Vs. State of U.P.</i> AIR 2000 SC 1209 • <i>Hussainara Khatoon (I) Vs. Home Secretary, State of Bihar (1980)</i> 1 SCC 81 • <i>A.R.Antulay Vs. R.S.Nayak (1992)</i> 1 SCC 225 • <i>Insurance Claim Fraud Cases</i> • <i>Landmark Decisions In Respect Of Speedy Trial And The Guidelines For Speedy Disposal of Cases</i> 	
5.	<p>Second Administrative Reforms Commission: Ethics In Governance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Chapter 3: Legal Framework for Fighting Corruption</i> • <i>Evolution of the Anti-Corruption Laws in India</i> • <i>The Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988</i> • <i>Corruption Involving the Private Sector</i> • <i>Confiscation of Properties Illegally Acquired by Corrupt Means</i> • <i>Prohibition of 'Benami' Transactions</i> • <i>Protection to Whistleblowers</i> • <i>Serious Economic Offences</i> • <i>Prior Concurrence for Registration of Case: Section 6A of 86</i> • <i>the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946</i> • <i>Immunity Enjoyed by Legislators</i> • <i>Constitutional Protection to Civil Servants - Article 311 89</i> • <i>Disciplinary Proceedings</i> • <i>Statutory Reporting Obligations</i> 	
6.	<p><i>Emery S. Kobar: The Role of Anti-Money Laundering Law in Mobile Money systems in Developing Countries</i>, Washington Journal of Law, Technology & Arts, Volume 8, Issue 3 Mobile Money Symposium 2013</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Payment Systems Innovation</i> • <i>Financial Inclusion</i> • <i>Economic Development</i> • <i>Regulation and Supervision</i> 	
7.	<p>Shri L.C. Amarnathan: <i>Economic Crime In India</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Globalization of Crime</i> • <i>Crime Prevention</i> • <i>Economic Crime</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Crime Classification</i> ➤ <i>Impact on National Economy</i> • <i>Indian Scenario: Law Enforcement Agencies</i> • <i>The Countermeasures</i> • <i>Recommendations</i> 	
<i>Supervisory Power of High Courts over Subordinate Courts: Monitor and Mentor</i>		
1.	<i>Judgments: The Role of High Courts over Subordinate Courts</i>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Chandra Singh vs. State of Rajasthan (2003)6SCC545</i> • <i>Dhyan Investments and Trading Co. Ltd. vs. Central Bureau of Investigation (2001)6SCC607</i> • <i>Baradakanta Mishra vs. High Court of Orissa (1976)3SCC327</i> • <i>Gauhati High Court vs. Kuladharphukan (2002)4SCC524</i> • <i>Chief Justice of Andhra vs. L.V.A. Dixitulu (1979)2SCC34</i> • <i>The State of West Bengal vs. Nripendra Nath Bagchi AIR1966SC447</i> • <i>R.M. Gurjar vs. High Court of Gujarat (1992)4SCC10</i> • <i>High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan vs. Ramesh Chand Paliwal (1998)3SCC72</i> • <i>Ishwar Chand Jain vs. High Court of Punjab and Haryana (1988)3SCC370</i> • <i>Samsher Singh vs. State of Punjab(1974)2SCC831</i> • <i>Tej Pal Singh vs. State of U.P. (1986)3SCC604</i> • <i>B S Yadav vs. State of Haryana AIR1981SC561</i> • <i>High Court of Judicature at Allahabad through Registrar vs. Sarnam Singh and anr (2000) 2 SCC 339</i> • <i>Bishwanath Prasad Singh vs. State of Bihar (2001)2SCC305</i> • <i>In The Matter of: K, A Judicial Officer (2001)3SCC54</i> • <i>Awani Kumar Upadhyay vs. the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and ors. (2013) 12 SCC 392</i> • <i>Amar Pal Singh vs. State of U. P. (2012) 6 SCC 491</i> • <i>Registrar General, Patna High Court vs. Pandey Gajendra Prasad & ors. (2012) 6 SCC 357</i> • <i>Khazia Mohammed Muzammil vs. the State of Karnataka & anr. (2010) 8 SCC 155</i> • <i>Syed T.A. Naqshbandi vs. State of Jammu & Kashmir (2003) 9 SCC 592</i> • <i>High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan vs. P.P. Singh (2003) 4 SCC 239</i> 	
2.	L. Douglas Harris, “Supervisory Power in the United States Courts of Appeals,” <i>Cornell Law review</i> , Vol. 63:642 Issue 4 April 1978	
3.	Justice U.L. Bhatt: Judiciary- A Near Feudal Set Up and Superintendence	
IPR in India: Emerging Trends		
1.	National IPR Policy 2016: Government of India; Ministry of Commerce and Industry; Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Executive Summary</i> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>Overview</i> • <i>Vision statement</i> • <i>Mission statement</i> • <i>Objectives</i> • <i>Objective 1: IPR Awareness: Outreach and Promotion</i> • <i>Objective 2: Generation of IPRs</i> • <i>Objective 3: Legal and Legislative Framework</i> • <i>Objective 4: Administration and Management</i> • <i>Objective 5: Commercialization of IPR</i> • <i>Objective 6: Enforcement and Adjudication</i> • <i>Objective 7: Human Capital Development</i> • <i>Implementation</i> 	
2.	Intellectual Property Rights Regime in India: Government Policies and Practices: The Cell for IPR Promotion and Management (CIPAM), Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion; Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India Updated till August 2017 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Recent initiatives taken by the Government to strengthen the IPR regime in India</i> • <i>Dynamic Utility Facilities available on Website of O/o CGPDTM</i> • <i>Brief background on international Intellectual Property Law</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)</i> ➤ <i>Doha Declaration</i> • <i>India and Multilateral Treaties</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Madrid Protocol</i> ➤ <i>Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)</i> ➤ <i>International Search of PCT Applications</i> • <i>Patents</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Patentability Criteria</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Similar Provisions in Other Countries</i> ➤ <i>Data Exclusivity</i> ➤ <i>Patent Linkage</i> ➤ <i>Compulsory License</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Compulsory Licenses issued in other Jurisdictions</i> ➤ <i>Guidelines on Computer Related Inventions</i> ➤ <i>Standard Essential Patents</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Standard Essential Patents and Fair, Reasonable and Non-Discriminatory (FRAND) terms</i> ○ <i>Discussion Paper on Standard Essential Patents</i> ○ <i>Points for consideration - Remedies for infringement of SEPs under FRAND terms and basis for royalty rates</i> ➤ <i>Foreign Filing Permission</i> • <i>Copyrights</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Copyright Board</i> ➤ <i>Civil and Criminal Enforcement under the Copyright Act, 1957</i> ➤ <i>John Doe Orders against Infringing Websites</i> ➤ <i>Anton Piller orders</i> • <i>Trademarks</i> • <i>Designs</i> • <i>Semiconductor Integrated Circuits Layout Design</i> • <i>Geographical Indications</i> • <i>Plant Varieties (Ministry of Agriculture)</i> • <i>Genetic Resources (Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change)</i> • <i>Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Knowledge Digital Libraries</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Traditional Knowledge (TK)</i> ➤ <i>Traditional Knowledge Digital Library</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Traditional Knowledge Resource Classification</i> • <i>Statements/ Comments/ Views favoring India's stance on access to Health Care</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The United Nations Secretary-General's High-Level Panel on Access to Medicines Report</i> ➤ <i>Joseph Stiglitz, Nobel Prize for Economics (2001)</i> ➤ <i>Bernie Sanders, Senior U.S. Senator</i> ➤ <i>World Health Organization</i> ➤ <i>Parliamentary Debate (Relevant Comments)</i> • <i>Statements/ Comments/ Views favoring India's IPR Policy</i> • <i>Annexures</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Annexure A</i> 	
3.	<p>Lalit Jajpura, Bhupinder Singh and Rajkishore Nayak: <i>An Introduction to Intellectual Property Rights and their Importance in Indian Context</i> Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol 22, January 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intellectual Property Rights and their Classification</i> • <i>IPR Status of India</i> 	
4.	<p>Dr. Peter Drahos: <i>The Universality of Intellectual Property Rights: Origins and Development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Definitional Observations</i> • <i>The History of Intellectual Property</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Territorial Period</i> ➤ <i>The International Period</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Global Period</i> ➤ <i>Post-TRIPS</i> • <i>Human Rights, the Right of Property and Intellectual Property</i> • <i>Intellectual Property Rights: Universally Recognized or Universal Rights?</i> • <i>Intellectual Property and Human Rights: An Instrumental View</i> 	
5.	<p>Sudhir Krishnaswamy: <i>Intellectual Property and India's Development Policy</i>; 1 IJLT (2005) 169</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Framing a Post- Independence Intellectual Policy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Patent Law</i> ➤ <i>Copyright law</i> ➤ <i>Traditional Knowledge</i> 	
6.	<p>M D Nair: <i>TRIPS, WTO and IPR: Prevailing Issues and Emerging Trends</i>; Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol 15, May 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Global Economic Scenario; Global Trade and IPR</i> • <i>Issues Facing the WTO; Global Patent Scenario</i> • <i>Dispute Settlement; The Indian Scene</i> 	
7.	<p>Anil B. Suraj: <i>Transfer of Technology in India: Interface of IPRS and Competition Policy</i>; 8 IJLT (2012) 25</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Multilateral Framework</i> • <i>Specific Forms of Transfer of Technology in the Indian Context</i> • <i>Pointers on Select Issues of IPRs and Competition</i> 	
8.	<p>Shamnad Basheer & Prashant Reddy: <i>Ducking" trips in India: a saga involving Novartis and the legality of section 3(d)</i>; 20 Nat'l L. Sch. India Rev</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Glivec Patent Saga</i> • <i>section 3(D): The Structure and Context</i> • <i>Section 3(D) and TRIPS Compatibility</i> • <i>Section 3(D): Constitutionality Analysis</i> 	
9.	<p>Dennis S. Karjala; <i>Sustainability and Intellectual Property Rights in Traditional Knowledge</i>; Jurimetrics, Vol. 53, No. 1 (FALL 2012)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Sustainability and traditional knowledge</i> • <i>Why do we recognize intellectual property</i> • <i>IPRS and TK</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Disclosure as a Goal of the IPR System</i> ➤ <i>The Stewardship Model</i> 	
10.	<p>Barton Beebe: <i>Intellectual Property Law And The Sumptuary Code</i>, The Harvard Law Review Volume 123, Number 4 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Sumptuary Code And The Problem Of Copying Technology</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Sumptuary Code and the Fashion Process</i> ➤ <i>The Limits of Competitive Consumption</i> ➤ <i>The Social Problem of Copying Technology</i> • <i>Intellectual Property Law As Sumptuary Law</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Ideology of the Copy</i> ➤ <i>Intellectual Property Law as Antidilution Law</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Legal and Cultural Concept of Dilution</i> ○ <i>Trademark Law as Antidilution Law</i> ○ <i>Copyright Law as Antidilution Law</i> ○ <i>Design Protection Law as Antidilution Law</i> ➤ <i>Intellectual Property Law as Authenticity Law</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Geographical Indications Protection</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>(a) TRIPS Article 23</i> ❖ <i>(b) The U.S.-E.C. Wine Agreement of 2006</i> ➤ <i>Traditional Cultural Expressions Protection</i> • <i>The Failure Of Intellectual Property Law As Sumptuary Law</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Futility of Sumptuary Intellectual Property Law</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>From Sumptuary to Philanthropic Intellectual Property Law</i> • <i>Conclusion: Fiat Property</i> 	
<i>Role of the Judiciary in IPR Evolution and Adjudication</i>		
1.	<p>Justice R.K. Abichandani: <i>Role of Judiciary in the Effective Protection of Intellectual Property Right</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Equality – A Constitutional Promise</i> • <i>Constitutional directive to respect Treaty obligations</i> • <i>Developed Judicial System</i> • <i>Courts' Powers under the present Intellectual Property Laws</i> • <i>Statutory Interpretation and Treaties</i> • <i>Courts' Discretionary Powers and Treaties</i> • <i>Judicial review of Administrative and Quasi-Judicial orders</i> • <i>Injunctions and Damages</i> 	
2.	<p>Justice R.C. Lahoti: <i>Role of Judiciary in IPR Development and Adjudication</i>, (2004) 8 SCC (J) 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Economic importance of IPR</i> • <i>Duty of the courts: Due regard to International Law and Need for a Balanced Approach</i> 	
3.	<p>Wilburn L. Chesser & Steven A. Cohen: <i>Outsourcing in India: Practical to Intellectual Property Issues from the Indian Company Perspective</i>, 2 IJLT (2006) 65</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Type of Intellectual Property Typically at Issue and Other Considerations</i> • <i>The Basics of IP Enforcement: The US Model</i> • <i>The Basics of IP ownership and the Importance of the Contract Terms</i> • <i>Evaluating the IP Protection of the Customer</i> • <i>Practical Approaches to Maximizing an Outsourced Company Market</i> 	
4.	<p>Justice Rajesh Tandon: <i>Role of Judiciary in IPR Development and Adjudication</i>, (2004) 8 SCC (J) 9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights</i> 	
5.	<p>Prof. (Dr.) Ghayur Alam: <i>Copyright Wrong: Copy or not to Copy</i>; NLIU Journal Of Intellectual Property Law, volume 3, 2015</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Substantial Similarity: Matter Of Irresistible Impression</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Idea And Expression</i> ➤ <i>Interpreted - Constructed Meaning</i> • <i>Copyright Wrongs of Civil Nature</i> 	
6.	<p>Cedric Manara: <i>A Foreign Outlook on Satyam infoway Ltd. V. Siffynet Solutions Pvt. Ltd.</i>; 1 IJLT (2005) 158</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Irrelevance of the technical Nature of a Domain Name in a Dispute between Business Users</i> • <i>A Domain Name as a Distinctive Sign</i> • <i>Domain Names are Distinctive Signs, But the can be deemed Trade – Marks?</i> • <i>Domain names and Confusions: A web of Uncertainty</i> • <i>Elevating the UDRP: Re- Mixing Laws</i> 	
7.	<p>Peter Brown & Richard Raysman: <i>Property Rights in Cyberspace Games and Other Novel Legal Issues in Virtual Property</i>, 2 IJLT (2006) 87</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Online video games</i> • <i>What is virtual Property</i> • <i>The market for virtual goods</i> • <i>Regulating the Intangible and some Intellectual Property Issues</i> • <i>Legal Developments involving Virtual Theft</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Some Potential Jurisdictional and Choice of Law Concerns</i> • <i>Can Virtual property be brought within the Current Indian Legal Regime?</i> • <i>Alternative/ Additional Regulations</i> • <i>An open Source Alternative?</i> 	
<i>Jurisdictional Issues in Trademark, Copyright & Patent Disputes: Law and Practice</i>		
1.	<p>Justice S. Muralidhar: <i>Jurisdictional Issues in Cyberspace</i>, The Indian Journal of Law and Technology, volume 6, 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>The USA: Minimum Contacts Test</i> • <i>Purposeful Availment Test</i> • <i>The Zippo ‘sliding scale’ test</i> • <i>The Effects Test and ‘Intentional targeting’</i> • <i>Yahoo! Case</i> • <i>Difficulties in the application of the three tests</i> • <i>Other Common Law Jurisdictions: Canada, United Kingdom, Australia, India</i> • <i>Other Types of Cases: Internet Jurisdiction in Copyright Cases</i> • <i>Enforcement</i> • <i>The Import of Jurisdictional Issues Concerning The Internet</i> 	
2.	<p>Tushar Kanti Saha: <i>Cyberspace- Conflicting Jurisdictional Spheres of Litigating IPR Claims</i>, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol. 15, September 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Jurisdiction in International Law</i> • <i>Personal Jurisdiction</i> • <i>Global Personal Jurisdiction</i> • <i>Jurisdiction in Copyright Infringement on the Internet</i> • <i>Graphics in Electronic Media</i> • <i>Infringement of Trademark and domain Names on Internet</i> • <i>Exemplary cases in Different Jurisdiction: cases in US and UK</i> • <i>Recent cases on Internet jurisdiction: Towards a Solution</i> 	
3.	<p>Praveen Dalal: <i>The Long Arm Jurisdiction of Courts Regarding Copyright Law in India</i>, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol. 9, November 2004</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Jurisdictional Issues in India</i> • <i>Cyber space jurisdiction</i> • <i>Online Copyright Issues in India</i> 	
4.	<p>Sumedh Kumar Sethi: <i>Jurisdiction Issues Relating to Violation of IPR In Cyberspace</i>, Creative Connect International Publisher Group, Volume 3 – April, 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Approaches to Cyberspace Jurisdiction</i> • <i>Indian territorial jurisdiction over the Out – of – State Defendant</i> 	
5.	<p>Rahat Dhawan: <i>Jurisdictional overlaps/conflicts between Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and Competition Law</i>, International Journal of Law and Legal Jurisprudence Studies volume 3 Issue 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Interface between Intellectual property rights and Competition laws</i> • <i>Jurisprudence in respect to overlap of forum in US. Recent trends in US</i> • <i>Jurisprudence in EU</i> • <i>Comparative Study of the US, EU and India</i> 	
6.	<p><i>Judgments: Jurisdictional Issues</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Indian Performing Right vs. Sanjay Dalia and Anr. MIPR 2007 (3) 204</i> • <i>Expchar SA & Anr vs. Eupharma Laboratories Ltd. [(2004) 3 SCC 688]</i> • <i>Morgan Stanley Mutual Fund vs. Kartick Das 1994 SCC (4) 225</i> • <i>Caterpillar Inc. vs. Kailash Nichani And Ors 97 (2002) DLT 304</i> • <i>Smithkline Beechan Plg vs. Sunil Singhi 2001 PTC 321</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>S.S. Products of India vs. Star Plast 2001 (60) ECC 347</i> • <i>Brooke Bond India Limited vs. Balaji Tea (India) Pvt. Ltd. (1993) 2 MLJ 132</i> 	
<i>Tribunalization of Justice in India: Boon or Bane</i>		
1.	<p>Arvind P. Datar: <i>The Tribunalisation of Justice in India</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Justification for Tribunals</i> • <i>Constitutional Provisions</i> • <i>The Rapid Growth of Tribunals In India</i> • <i>Sphere of Operation of Tribunals</i> • <i>Control of Tribunals</i> • <i>The Composition of Tribunals</i> • <i>A Comparative Perspective: United States of America, Jamaica, Canada & Australia</i> 	
2.	Khagesh Gautam: <i>The Curious Case of Tribunalisation</i>	
3.	Arvind P. Datar: <i>Tribunals - A Tragic Obsession</i>	
4.	<p>Anusha Ramesh: <i>Tribunalisation of India's Competition Regime</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Constitutional Limits to the Growth of Tribunals in India</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Is a Tribunal a Court?</i> ➤ <i>Tribunalisation and The Forty-Second Amendment</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Safeguarding the Power of Judicial Review</i> ○ <i>Preserving Independence</i> • <i>Constitutionality of the Provisions Relating to the CCI and the COMPAT</i> 	
5.	<p>Arun Roy & Vishnu Jerome: <i>Administrative Tribunals in India a welcome departure from orthodoxy?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Evolution of Tribunals: France, India</i> • <i>Judicial Review; Judicial Interpretation from 1987-1996</i> 	
6.	Alok Prasanna Kumar and Faiza Rahman: <i>Halting Tribunalisation Impact of The Judgment of The Supreme Court of India in Madras Bar Association Vs. Union of India on Extant Tribunals</i>	
7.	Justice Rajesh Bindal: <i>Tribunalisation of Justice in India: Boon or Bane</i>	
<i>Effect of Tribunalization and Judicial Review Contours</i>		
1.	<p>Sarah Nason: <i>Regionalisation of the Administrative Court and the Tribunalisation of Judicial Review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Practicalities and judicial deployment</i> • <i>Problems of delay</i> • <i>Demand and access</i> • <i>Potential demand for judicial review in the regions</i> • <i>Legal aid</i> • <i>Potential subject-matter of regional challenges</i> • <i>Tribunals, asylum and immigration</i> • <i>Quality and consistency</i> • <i>The Practice Direction</i> • <i>Determining venue under the Practice Direction</i> • <i>The need for fully operational centers</i> 	
2.	Mark Elliot and Robert Thomas: <i>Tribunal Justice and Proportionate Dispute Resolution</i> ; 71 Cambridge L.J. (2012)	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The New Tribunals System</i> • <i>Ouster clauses, "Superior Courts of Record" and the Constitutional status of Judicial Review</i> • <i>Judicial Review and The Rule of Law</i> • <i>Jurisdiction, the second-tier appeal criteria and the Autonomy of the Tribunals System</i> • <i>Onward Challenges</i> • <i>Cart and Proportionate Dispute Resolution</i> • <i>Tribunal Practice</i> • <i>Managing Judicial Relationships</i> 	
3.	<p>J. Adi Narayana and Neeraj Sastry: <i>Tribunalisation of Justice - Application of Droit Administratif in India</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>History of Droit Administratif</i> • <i>Position of Tribunals in India</i> 	
4.	<p>Michael Adler: <i>The Rise and Fall of Administrative Justice - A Cautionary Tale</i>, Socio-Legal Review, Vol. 8(2) 2012</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The UK Experience – Administrative Justice Emerges from the Shadows</i> • <i>Contrasting Approaches to Administrative Justice</i> • <i>The Swing of the Pendulum</i> • <i>Key Milestones along the Road</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Franks Report</i> ➤ <i>The Leggatt Report</i> ➤ <i>The 2004 White Paper and the 2007 Act</i> • <i>The Rise and Fall of the Tribunals Service</i> • <i>The Rise and Fall of the Administrative Justice and Tribunals Council</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The UK Position</i> ➤ <i>The Position in Scotland</i> • <i>The Implications of Developments in the UK for India</i> 	
5.	<p>Ruti Teitel and Robert Howse: <i>Cross-judging Tribunalisation in a Fragmented but Interconnected Global Order</i>, New York University School of Law</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Humanity Law Shift, International Criminal Justice and its Tribunalization</i> • <i>International Economic Law and Tribunalization</i> • <i>From Fragmentation to Interpretative Dialogue as a Conception of</i> • <i>Decentralized but "Coherent" International Legal Order</i> 	
<p><i>Judgments on Tribunalization</i> <i>(Provided in the CD)</i></p>		
1.	S. P. Sampath Kumar and Ors. Vs. Union of India , (1987) 4 SCC 458.	1-19
2.	L. Chandra Kumar Vs. Union of India , (1997) 3 SCC 261.	20- 70
3.	Union of India Vs. Delhi High Court Bar Association , (2002) 4 SCC 275.	71-93
4.	Namit Sharma Vs. Union of India , (2013) 1 SCC 745.	94-154
5.	Madras Bar Association Vs. Union of India , (2014) 10 SCC 1.	155-397
6.	Hinds Vs. Queen , (1976) 1 All E.R. 533.	398-430
7.	Northern Pipeline Construction Co. Vs. Marathon Pipeline Co. , 458 U.S. 50 1981.	431-482
8.	Residential Tenancies (1981) 123 DLR (3d) 554.	483-520
9.	Harry Brandy Vs. Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission , (1995) 183 CLR 245.	521-540

10.	Union of India Vs. R. Gandhi, President, Madras Bar Association, (2010) 11 SCC 1.	541-607
<i>Judgments on Developments in the Area of Constitutional Law (Provided in the CD)</i>		
1.	Justice K S Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors. 2017(10) SCALE1	1-253
2.	Shayara Bano Vs. Union of India & others 2017(9) SCALE178	254-503
3.	<i>Subramanian Swamy vs. Union of India;</i> (2016) 7 SCC 221	504-635
4.	<i>Anita Kushwaha vs. Pushpa Sudan;</i> (2016) 8SCC 509	636-661
5.	<i>Vijay Kumar Mishra and Anr Vs High court of Judicature at Patna To and Ors;</i> (2016) 9SCC 313	662-673
6.	<i>State Bank of India Vs. Santosh Gupta and Anr Etc.;</i> (2017) 2 SCC 538	674-720
7.	<i>Common Cause vs Union of India;</i> (2016) 15 SCC 269	721-722
8.	<i>Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India;</i> (2016) 5 SCC 1	723-1529
9.	<i>Vikram Singh vs. Union of India,</i> (2015) 9 SCC 502	1530-1567
10.	<i>Rajbala vs. State of Haryana;</i> (2016) 2 SCC 445	1568-1617
11.	<i>Dr. Janet Jeyapaul vs. SRM University;</i> (2015) 16 SCC 530	1618-1627
12.	<i>Ram Singh vs. Union of India,</i> (2015)4SC C 697	1628-1651
13.	<i>Riju Prasad Sarma etc. Vs. State of Assam;</i> (2015)9SC C 461	1652-1679
14.	<i>National Legal Services Authority Vs. Union of India (UOI) and Ors.</i> (2014)5SC C 438	1680-1725
15.	<i>People's Union for Civil Liberties Vs. State of Maharashtra,</i> (2014)10SC C 635	1726-1744
16.	<i>Mohd. Arif Vs. The Registrar, Supreme Court of India,</i> (2014)9SCC 737	1745-1768
17.	<i>Pramati Educational and Cultural Trust and Ors. Vs. Respondent: Union of India (UOI) and Ors.</i> (2014)8SC C 1	1769-1792
18.	<i>Charu Khurana Vs. Respondent: Union of India (UOI)</i> (2015)1SC C 192	1793-1812
19.	<i>Lily Thomas v. Union of India & Ors</i> (2013) 7 SCC 653	1813-1828
20.	<i>People's Union of Civil Liberties v. Union of India,</i> (2013) 10 SCC 1	1829-1847
21.	<i>Abhay Singh Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Ors.</i> (2013) 15 SCC 435	1848-1870
22.	<i>Christian Medical College, Vellore v. Union of India (NEET Judgment)</i> (2014)2SCC305	1871-1927
23.	<i>Suresh Kumar Koushal &Anr. v. Naz Foundation Ors.</i> (2014) 1 SCC 1	1928-1987
24.	<i>State of Punjab and others v Jagjit Singh and others</i> (2017)1SCC148	1988-2046
25.	<i>Nabam Rebia and Ors. Vs. Deputy Speaker and Ors.,</i> (2016)8SCC1	2047-2238
26.	<i>Krishna Kumar Singh and another v State of Bihar and others;</i> (2017) 3 SCC 1	2239-2312

27.	<i>Cricket Association of Bihar and another v Board of Control for Cricket in India and another</i> (2015) 3 SCC 251	2313-2365
28.	<i>Board of Control for Cricket Vs. Respondent: Cricket Association of Bihar and Ors</i> (2016)8SCC535	2366-2418
29.	<i>Bimolangshu Roy (Dead) Through LRs</i> , 2017(8)SCALE279	2419-2436

Note: The cases in the Reference Material is abridged and limited by editing in order to highlight some issues pertinent for discussion in the programme.