

National Judicial Academy

P-1007: Annual National Seminar on Working of the CBI Courts and Prevention of Corruption
Courts, 14th – 15th January, 2017

Programme Coordinator: Mr. Rajesh Suman, Assistant Professor

No. of Participants : 35

No. of forms received : 34

| I. OVERALL | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| a. The objective of the Program was clear to me | 82.86 | 17.14 | - | - |
| b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work | 82.35 | 17.65 | - | - |
| c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program | 76.47 | 20.59 | 2.94 | - |
| d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work | 80 | 20 | | - |
| e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences | 67.65 | 29.41 | 2.94 | - |
| II. KNOWLEDGE | | | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is: | | | | |
| a. Useful to my work | 82.35 | 17.65 | - | - |
| b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists) | 77.14 | 20 | 2.86 | - |
| c. Up to date | 79.41 | 20.59 | - | - |
| d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice | 60.61 | 36.36 | 3.03 | - |
| e. Related to International Legal Norms | 54.55 | 45.45 | - | - |

| III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| PROPOSITIONS | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Remarks |
| a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical | 73.53 | 23.53 | 2.49 | 11. Require more time. |
| b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz. | 86.36 | 9.09 | 4.55 | - |
| i. Case studies were relevant | 78.79 | 18.18 | 3.03 | |
| ii. Interactive sessions were fruitful | 67.65 | 29.41 | 2.94 | - |
| iii. Audio Visual Aids were beneficial | 45.45 | 51.52 | 3.03 | 3. No such presentation except one. |
| IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS | | | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized | 61.76 | 38.24 | - | - |
| b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons | 76.47 | 23.53 | - | - |
| V. PROGRAM MATERIALS | | | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| a. The Program material is useful and relevant | 81.82 | 18.18 | - | - |
| b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area | 78.79 | 21.21 | - | - |
| c. The content was organized and easy to follow | 84.85 | 15.15 | - | - |
| VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS | | | | |
| a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme | <p>1. I have got a good idea about the role of Special Court during investigation in corruption offences; 2. Could know the matter of Cyber Crimes; 3. Session 6: Extradition of Fugitives.</p> <p>2. 1. Importance of preserving Electronic Evidence; 2. Knowledge about Extradition; 3. Knowledge about Cyber Crimes.</p> | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>3. Informative.</p> <p>4. Could learn practices in vogue in other States; 2. Could sharpen our skills and knowledge on the special topic; 3. Great opportunity to interact with eminent Judges who chaired the sessions and to know their views.</p> <p>5. Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> <p>6. Interaction with practical topic; 2. Getting full suggestion from the speakers; 3. Group discussion and change of views.</p> <p>7. 1. The resource persons had shared the latest information on the subject; 2. Interaction by the Hon’ble Judges were very instructive.</p> <p>8. 1. Interaction of the participants; 2. Matter on electronic aspect; 3. Matter on Extradition.</p> <p>9. 1. Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence</i>; 2. Presentation was very good in regard to the provisions of PMLA and ACP Act; 3. Session 6: <i>Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court</i>.</p> <p>10. Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> <p>11. I got the opportunity to learn more about PMLA 2002 and Extradition Laws.</p> <p>12. All were good.</p> <p>13. All are well.</p> <p>14. 1. Useful and relevant to my work; 2. New learning; 3. Many tips on issues facing in real time.</p> <p>15. Got useful updates on the subject relevant to our courts. Interactions with resource persons & colleagues across the country is a rare opportunity for us.</p> <p>16. 1. Up to date legal position for trial of public servants in corruption offences; 2. Types and instances or current cybercrimes; 3. Procedure for Appreciation of Electronic Evidence with special reference to cybercrimes.</p> <p>17. 1. Very good experience of the other judges of Special Courts in India; 2. Reading material was good; 3. Very good interaction.</p> <p>18. Patient hearing in courts; effective control on court proceedings, go through recent judgment of Hon’ble courts.</p> <p>19. Judicial Officers have to be more efficient in technology. Regular visiting of sites of High Courts and Supreme Court relating to these type of cases.</p> <p>20. Session 1: <i>Role of special court in corruption offences</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of public servant in corruption offences</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>21. Session 1: <i>Role of special court in corruption offences</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of public servant in corruption offences</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> <p>22. Session 1: <i>Role of special court in corruption offences</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of public servant in corruption offences</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> <p>23. Material provided is very useful.</p> <p>24. Updating of Knowledge; Reading material is exhaustive & meticulously prepared.</p> <p>25. 1. Sharing of experience; 2. Explanation given by the Speaker and the Chairperson is very helpful; 3. Learning and useful for my judicial work.</p> <p>26. Electronic evidence the only learning achievement.</p> <p>27. Some unexplored topics touched.</p> <p>28. Met officers of other States; share experience with them.</p> <p>29. Aspects about Cyber Law; Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence & Session 6:</i> <i>Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court</i>.</p> <p>30. Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>; Session 6: <i>Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court</i>.</p> <p>31. Session 1: <i>Role of special court in corruption offences</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of public servant in corruption offences</i>.</p> <p>32. Session 1: <i>Role of special court in corruption offences</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of public servant in corruption offences</i>.</p> <p>33. Session 1: <i>Role of special court in corruption offences</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of public servant in corruption offences</i>.</p> <p>34. All are good. No further suggestions.</p> |
| <p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p> | <p>1 Cyber Crimes, because number of trials relating to such crimes is going to increase day by day.</p> <p>2. Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> <p>3. Session 1: <i>Arrest & Investigation in Corruption Offences: Role of Special Court</i>; Session 2: <i>Trial of Public Servants in Corruption Offences</i>; Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence</i>; Session 4: <i>Cyber Crimes</i>.</p> <p>4. Session 1: <i>Arrest & Investigation in Corruption Offences: Role of Special Court</i>, handled by Hon'ble Mr. P.V.K. Ramana Prasad (Day 1); Reason: his skills to speak in a most convincing manner and his in depth and up to date knowledge on the subject.</p> <p>5. Session 3: <i>Appreciation of Electronic Evidence</i>: the session could have been elaborated by demonstrations.</p> |

6. All the sessions appear to be very useful and helpful in conducting the trial case.
7. About **Session 4: Cyber Crimes**.
8. Electronic aspect because it has given us so many ideas which I did not have earlier.
9. **Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence**.
10. **Session 4: Cyber Crimes**.
11. All were useful.
12. All were good.
13. All are good.
14. 1. Electronic Records and Cyber laws; 2. Extradition laws.
15. Session regarding various cases of CBI matter, grey areas, sanctions, trials, arrest etc., because it is useful for day to day work.
16. Participant did not comment.
17. **Session 1: Arrest & Investigation in Corruption Offences: Role of Special Court; Session 2: Trial of Public Servants in Corruption Offences; Session 5: Trial of Economic Offences**.
18. **Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence**.
19. **Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence**.
20. **Session 4: Cyber Crimes**
21. **Session 4: Cyber Crimes**
22. **Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence**: because maximum cases with base on Electronic evidence.
23. Every part.
24. **Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence; Session 4: Cyber Crimes**.
25. All
26. Electronic evidence being very relevant and useful in appreciation of evidence.
27. Relating to - **Session 4: Cyber Crimes**.
28. All
29. Almost all sessions were illuminating.
30. None
31. Participant did not comment.
32. Participant did not comment.
33. Participant did not comment.
34. All are good. No further suggestions.

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p> | <p>1. Nothing. 2. Nil. 3. None.</p> <p>4. Session 1: Arrest & Investigation in Corruption Offences: Role of Special Court (Day 2) - Speaker had unwittingly digressed from the topic.</p> <p>5. Extradition. 6. No comment. 7. None. 8. No comment.</p> <p>9. All the subjects are useful and I do not find any subject least useful. 10. Session 6: Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court.</p> <p>11. Nil. 12. All were good. 13. All are good. 14. Trial of public servants in corruption offences.</p> <p>15. Session 6: Extradition of Fugitives: because we hardly get any chance of invoking that Act.</p> <p>16. No such programme. 17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Session 6: Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court. 19. Judicial Officers are not supposed to be technocrats as some sessions demanded.</p> <p>20. Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence. 21. Presentation in Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence.</p> <p>22. Session 6: Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court: because no case of such nature pending.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment. 24. Participant did not comment. 25. None.</p> <p>26. Session 6: Extradition of Fugitives: Role of Special Court: being not relevant for PC Act cases.</p> <p>27. None specifically. 28. No 29. None. 30. None 31. Participant did not comment.</p> |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. All are good, the further suggestions.</p> |
| <p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p> | <p>1. Study materials may kindly be sent by well in advance.</p> <p>2. More time may be given to interactions.</p> <p>3. Further elaborate topic on Money Laundering Act; Session 3: Appreciation of Electronic Evidence.</p> <p>4. To dedicate more time for interactive Session and to ensure that every participant speaks.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. I do suggest to conduct the programmes for more days as far as practicable.</p> <p>7. The programmes are the most effective in itself.</p> <p>8. No comment.</p> <p>9. NJA has given better service, therefore no suggestion is required.</p> <p>10. Programme is very good and appreciable.</p> <p>11. Require some more sessions with more time.</p> <p>12. Kindly arrange programme along with CBI head, Prosecuting Agency, Forensic people also.</p> <p>13. If this conference arranged along with Director of CBI, and Public Prosecutor and IO, would help more to dispose of CBI cases expeditiously.</p> <p>14. Group discussions among participants may be discussed instead of lectures.</p> <p>15. Very short programmes of one & half day. At least one week programme must be arranged.</p> <p>16. To send the study materials to the participants 10 to 15 days earlier.</p> <p>17. Programme be at least one week long. Two days is very short period.</p> <p>18. Reading materials may be made available to participants well in advance.</p> <p>19. Bring the service judicial officers who have/have been using /used technology/electronic evidence while dealing with cases, as resource person. Even junior officers may do this.</p> <p>20. No</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>23. Experimental lectures should be arranged; Veg and Non-veg food must be in totally separate places/ Kitchen; Time of lectures is not followed, it should be followed strictly.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Everything is great.</p> <p>26. The sessions should be more interactive and participants should be permitted to make their presentations on relevant topics.</p> <p>27. More interaction suggested between the Speaker and officers attending the session.</p> <p>28. Allow the spouse to come to NJA for the programme.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. All are good. No further suggestions.</p> |
|--|---|

D/C/PR January 2017