

Table of Contents

Volume I		
<u>SESSION 1</u> Constitutional Vision of Justice		
1.	<i>Dr. Ambedkar's Last Speech in The Constituent Assembly on adoption of the Constitution</i> , Constituent Assembly Debates, November 25, 1949	1
2.	M. P. Singh, <i>Mapping the Constitutional Vision of Justice and its Realization</i> , 3 J. Nat'l L. U. Delhi 1, 16 (2015-2016)	17
3.	Justice M N Venkatachaliah, <i>Constitutional Ideals & Justice in Plural Societies</i> , NIAS Foundation Day Lecture, June 2016, published by National Institute of Advanced Studies.	29
4.	<p><u>Case Law:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Another v. Union of India & ors.</i>, 2017 SCC OnLine SC 96 ✓ <i>Shayara Bano v. Union of India & ors.</i>, 2017 SCC OnLine SC 963 ✓ <i>Subramanian Swamy vs. Union of India (UOI), Ministry of Law and Ors.</i>, (2016) 7 SCC 221 ✓ <i>Nabam Rebia and Ors. v. Deputy Speaker and Ors.</i>, (2016) 8 SCC 1 ✓ <i>Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association v. Union of India</i>, 2015 AIR SCW 5457 	<p>49</p> <p>59</p> <p>63</p> <p>84</p> <p>91</p>
<u>SESSION 2</u> Role of Courts in a Constitutional Democracy and Adherence to Core Judicial Values		
1.	Justice A.K. Sikri, <i>Role of the Judge in a Democracy</i> , The Constitution at 67, 15-31 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017)	101
2.	Aharon Barak, <i>The Role of the Judge: Theory, Practice, and the Future</i> Chapter XVII from book 'The Judge in a Democracy' by Aharon Barak, p. 306 – 315.	119
3.	Sviatoslav Tkachuk, <i>The Judge's Role in a Democracy</i> 35, Revista Institutului National Justitiei 39 (2015)	131
4.	Justice G. S. Singhvi, <i>Judicial Ethics</i> , 7(2) Journal of Delhi Judicial Academy, 93, 106 (2011)	137

<u>SESSION 3</u> Discovering Current Judicial Methods		
1.	Prof. V.K. Dixit, <i>Role of Non-Legal facts in Judicial Process</i> , 60 JILI (2018) 32	151
2.	Susan Kiefel AC, <i>Judicial Methods in the 21st Century</i> , 44(6) Brief 28-31 (July 2017).	173
3.	Kathy Mack and Sharyn Roach Anleu, Opportunities for New Approaches To Judging in a Conventional Context: Attitudes, Skills And Practices. <i>Monash University Law Review</i> (Vol 37, No 1) 201. Electronic copy available at: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2623369	185
4.	Murray Gleeson, <i>The Judicial Method: Essentials and Inessentials</i> , The District And County Court Judges’ Conference, Sydney, 25 June 2009	215
<u>SESSION 4</u> Courtroom Technology: Use of ICT in Courts		
1.	Dr. Justice G.C. Bharuka, <i>Technology and Timely Justice: Intelligent Use of ICT can revamp the Indian Justice Delivery System</i> , Common Cause (2016) Vol. XXXV No. 1 January –March, 2016.	233
2.	Atul Kaushik, <i>Bringing the ‘E’ to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in India</i> , [excerpts] State of the Indian Judiciary: A report by DAKSH, (2016)	241
3.	<i>Evaluation Study of eCourts Integrated Mission Mode Project</i> , National Council of Applied Economic Research, 2015. Project Commissioned by Department of Justice Ministry of Law and Justice Govt. of India Chapter 2: Methodology and Chapter 7: Overall findings and policy suggestions	259
4.	<u>Case Law:</u> ✓ <i>Swapnil Tripathi and Others v. Supreme Court of India and Another</i> (2018) 10 SCC 639	279
<u>SESSION 5</u> Managing the Docket: Court and Case Management		
1.	Justice Roshan Dalvi, <i>The Business of Court Management</i> , 16(3) Nyay Deep 13, 35 (Jul 2015)	293
2.	Good Practice Area: Case Management Chapter 2 from book ‘Good Practices for Courts’ published by The World Bank in 2016.	317

3.	Templates on Effective Caseflow Management , Summit organized by National Judicial College (NJC) supported by a Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant, January 2008.	353
4.	Sanjay Rambhau Salkute, The Role of Judicial Officer in the Court Management & E-Court Maintenance (suggested method in district court) , Vol. 3 No. 4 April (2014) , IJARMSS	383
5.	<u>Case Law:</u> ✓ <i>Imtiyaz Ahmed vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & ors (2012) 2 SCC 688</i> ✓ <i>All India Judges Association & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors. Interlocutory Application No. 279 of 2010 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1022 of 1989 Order Dated: 2nd August 2018</i> ✓ <i>Rameshwari Devi and Ors. Vs. Nirmala Devi and Ors. (2011) 8 SCC 249</i> ✓ <i>Surjit Singh vs. Gurwant Kaur (2015) 1 SCC 665</i>	401 425 435 446
<u>SESSION 6</u> ADR and Plea Bargaining		
1.	Michal Alberstein, Judicial Conflict Resolution (JCR): A New Jurisprudence for an Emerging Judicial Practice , Cardozo J. of Conflict Resolution [vol. 16:879] 2015	453
2.	Sriram Panchu, Recasting S-89: Afcons Infrastructure Limited v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd. , (2011) 4 SCC J 16	483
3.	Ila Kapoor & Ananya Aggarwal, Gateway to Arbitration the Role of Courts in India , (2017) 8 SCC J 5	495
4.	Bibek Debroy and Suparna Jain, Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India – Resolve in India https://www.niti.gov.in/writereaddata/files/document_publication/Arbitration.pdf	507
5.	Saista Amin, Plea Bargaining – An Indian Approach , (2014) GJLDP (April) 67	525
6.	<u>Case Law:</u> ✓ <i>M/S Icomm Tele v Punjab State Water Supply & Sewerage Board, [Civil Appeal No 2713 of 2019 (arising out of SLP (Civil) No 3307 of 2018)] Indian Supreme Court Strikes Down Pre-Deposit Requirement in Arbitration Agreement, Lexis PSL Arbitration (2019)</i>	543

	✓ <i>K. Srinivas Rao v D.A. Deepa (2013) 5 SCC 226</i>	557
	✓ <i>Sanjeev Kumar Jain v Raghbir Saran Charitable Trust & Ors (2012) 1 SCC 455</i>	565
	✓ <i>Afcon Infrastructure Ltd. And Anr. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd 2010 (8) SCC 24</i>	577
	✓ <i>Salem Advocate Bar Association, Tamil Nadu v. Union of India 2005 (6) SCC 344</i>	589
<u>SESSION 7</u> Law relating to Cyber Crimes: Advances and Bottlenecks		
1.	Dhiraj R. Duraiswami, <i>Privacy and Data Protection in India</i> , 6 J.L. & Cyber Warfare 166, 187 (2017)	603
2.	Maneela, <i>Cyber Crimes: The Indian Legal Scenario</i> , 11, <i>US-China L. Rev.</i> 570 (2014) p. 570-586.	627
3.	Rajlakshmi Wagh, <i>Comparative Analysis of Trends of Cyber Crime Laws in USA and India</i> , 2(1) <i>International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Information Technology</i> 42, 50(2013)	645
4.	<u>Case Law:</u>	
	✓ <i>Videos of Sexual Violence and Recommendations, In Re, (2018) 15 SCC 551</i>	655
	✓ <i>Sharat Babu Digumarti v. State Govt. of (NCT of Delhi), 2016 Indlaw SC 892</i>	689
	✓ <i>Shreya Singhal v. Union of India, 2015 Indlaw SC 211</i>	693
	✓ <i>Sanjay Kumar Kedia v. Narcotics Control Bureau, 2007(13) SCALE 631</i>	700
	✓ <i>Dr. Rini Johar v. State of Madhya Pradesh, AIR 2016 SC 2679</i>	703
	✓ <i>Rajesh S/o Bhaskaran v. State of Kerala, 2013 Indlaw KER 1097, 2014 CRLJ 204</i>	708
	✓ <i>Rishi Narula v. State (NCT of Delhi) and others, 2016 Indlaw DEL 234</i>	713

SESSION 8 Electronic Evidence : Collection, Preservation and Appreciation		
1.	Ranbir Singh, <i>Digital Evidence: Broad Principles</i> An MHRD, Govt. of India, paper on ICT, module – Digital Evidence: Broad Principle	715
2.	N.S. Nappinai, <i>Electronic Evidence- The Great Indian Quagmire, (2019) 3 SCC (J)</i>	731
3.	Vivek Sood, <i>Leading Electronic Evidence in Court: Critical Analysis and the Stepwise Process</i> , Chapter 3 from Book Cyber Crimes, Electronic Evidence and Investigation, p. 177 - 202.	745
4.	Tejas Karia, Akhil Anand and Bahaar Dhawan, <i>The Supreme Court of India re-defines admissibility of electronic evidence in India</i> , 12 Digital Evidence and Electronic Signature L R 33, 37 (2015)	771
5.	<p><u>Case Law:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and Others MANU/SCOR/21793/2019</i> ✓ <i>P. Gopalkrishnan vs. State of Kerala and Ors.2019(16)SCALE752</i> ✓ <i>State vs. M.R. Hiremath, MANU /SC /0807 /2019</i> ✓ <i>Shafhi Mohammad vs. The State of Himachal Pradesh, (2018)2SCC 801</i> ✓ <i>Sherin V. John vs. State of Kerala, 2018 (3) KHC 725</i> ✓ <i>Jisal Rasak vs.The State of Kerala, 2019 (4) KHC 928</i> ✓ <i>Sonu vs. State of Haryana, AIR 2017 SC 3441</i> ✓ <i>Tarun Tyagi vs.Central Bureau of Investigation, (2017)4SCC 490</i> 	<p>777</p> <p>779</p> <p>803</p> <p>812</p> <p>816</p> <p>825</p> <p>834</p> <p>846</p>

NOTE: The Cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight some issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment for conclusive opinion.