

National Judicial Academy

P-1186: Workshop for High Court Justices on Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs)

02nd & 03rd November, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rahul I. Sonawane, Research Fellow

No. of Participants : 24

No. of forms received : 17

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	80.00	20.00	-	10. Yes. 14. Very clear.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	46.67	53.33	-	10. Very relevant and useful. 14. Very useful.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	86.67	13.33	-	10. Yes. 14. Yes.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	60.00	40.00	-	14. Yes.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	87.50	12.50	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	87.50	12.50	-	-
c. Up to date	78.57	21.43	-	14. Up to date.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	50.00	50.00	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	56.25	43.75	-	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	80.00	20.00	-	14. Excellent.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				-
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	57.14	42.86	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	78.57	21.43	-	14. Yes.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	80.00	20.00	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	71.43	28..57	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	78.57	21.43	83.33	16.67
2	71.43	28.57	81.82	18.18
3	71.43	28.57	81.82	18.18
4	78.57	21.43	72.73	27.27
5	85.71	14.29	81.82	18.18
6	91.67	8.33	66.67	33.33
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	75.00	25.00	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	87.50	12.50	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	86.67	13.33	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

<p>a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Scope of knowledge is widened. 2. 1. I have got a fair idea about the intellectual property right; 2. I have received the relevant study materials of the subject; 3. The workshop would help me in future. 3. IPR regime in India; Role of judiciary in effective enforcement of IPR; IPR in digital age. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. Got to know about IPR. 6. 1. Broadens vision of special laws; 2. It think differently which dealing with special laws; 3. Exposure to new jurisprudence. 7. Mostly new subject so far our state. We really get such disputes; Will certainly help me in discharge of such responsibility. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Each session was very informative and meaningful. 11. Learnt subjects less in use. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. 1. Genesis, importance of IPR; 2. Jurisdictional issues; 3. Role of judiciary in patent disputes. 14. Subject was entirely new- I found the sessions stimulating. 15. Re-Trademark act; Patent act; Design act. 16. Design act; Inter relation of acts. 17. 1. Material regarding the staff of the law on various aspects of intellectual property was provided; 2. Key comparative aspects discussed; 3. Challenges of the law in this field in the context of the internet explored.
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Challenges of IPR in digital age- because it will be required to be dealt with in IT area. 2. Intellectual property rights regime in India. 3. All the programme were well designed and were very useful. 4. Session 3: Jurisdictional Issues in Trademark, Copyright & Patent Disputes. 5. Mock trial cases. 6. Presentations very informative. 7. All programme of day one. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. All. 11. Entire subject matter.

	<p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Session 3: Jurisdictional Issues in Trademark, Copyright & Patent Disputes and Session 5: Role of the Judiciary in effective Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights.</p> <p>14. Case law discussions.</p> <p>15. Session 4: Emerging Trends in IP regime: Indian and Global Perspective and Session 5: Role of the Judiciary in effective Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights- being based on important judgements.</p> <p>16. Jurisdiction discussion.</p> <p>17. 1. Material regarding the staff of the law on various aspects of intellectual property was provided; 2. Key comparative aspects discussed; 3. Challenges of the law in this field in the context of the internet explored.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. None.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Session 3: Jurisdictional Issues in Trademark, Copyright & Patent Disputes; Session 4: Emerging Trends in IP regime: Indian and Global Perspective and Session 5: Role of the Judiciary in effective Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights.</p> <p>10. All.</p> <p>11. Nothing.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. NA.</p> <p>16. With regard to figures.</p> <p>17. The programme was generally useful, especially the practical application discussed.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Good, expansion of different programme.</p> <p>2. By conducting were such workshops.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Instead of books CD's or Pen drive should be sent to the participants at least 15 days prior to the date of commencement of the programme.</p> <p>6. 1. Well hosting of lectures and reading material; 2. Better chairs in conference room.</p>

	<p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Discussion of design act in necessary.</p> <p>10. Each session should be subject specific instead of covering multiple topics.</p> <p>11. All well.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Circulation of the lecture text or all the speakers.</p> <p>15. Arrange programmes on criminal law.</p> <p>16. More programme on GST as there are various important issues.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--