

National Judicial Academy

P-1174: Workshop for Newly Elevated High Court Justices

12th – 13th October, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, Research Fellow

No. of Participants : 21

No. of forms received : 17

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	87.50	12.50	-	16. Very much clear to me. 17. It was very clear.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	56.25	43.75	-	12. Very much. 16. It will help me in discharging my work. 17. Few subject matter were very relevant.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	66.67	33.33	-	16. I got benefitted.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	75.00	25.00	-	16. Certainly I will explore the new ideas.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	93.75	6.25	-	17. Enough interaction was there.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	76.47	23.53	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	53.33	40.00	6.67	-

c. Up to date	81.25	18.75	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	81.25	18.75	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	26.67	73.33	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	82.35	17.65	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	75.00	25.00	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	100.00	-	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	93.75	6.25	-	
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	100.00	-	100.00	-
2	94.12	5.88	100.00	-
3	94.12	5.88	100.00	-
4	82.35	17.65	85.71	14.29
5	94.12	5.88	92.86	7.14
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	76.47	23.53	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	82.35	17.65	-	-

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	76.47	23.53	-	-
---	-------	-------	---	---

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. How to transition from a lawyer to a judge.</p> <p>3. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Court management; 3. Art of hearing.</p> <p>4. Applying constitutional values in/ to decide the case.</p> <p>5. 1. Constitutional of justice to be the prime consideration to be kept in mind in the promise of administration of justice; 2. Courts to be pro-active while remaining within the bounds of the constitution; 3. To display correct attitude while hearing the counsels in the court.</p> <p>6. 1. I have become more conversant on the aspect of constitutional vision of justice & Theories of judicial review; 2. I have also benefitted by the lecture on court management & communication technology in courts.</p> <p>7. 1. Over all upgradation; 2. Purpose of office; 3. Application of constitutional provision.</p> <p>8. 1. Knowledge in hearing; 2. To know art of hearing; 3. Clarity & How to manage the court in court room.</p> <p>9. 1. Knowledge enhancing; 2. Our tends to achieve perfection; 3. Clarity on subjects of judicial review & separation of powers.</p> <p>10. 1. Interaction skills; 2. Patient hearing; 3. Approach while discharging divine function.</p> <p>11. 1. The mind is like a parachute It works org. when it is open; 2. Theories of judicial review; 3. Art of hearing includes listening.</p> <p>12. Justice Madan B. Lokur address on separation of power; Dr. Justice Muralidhar on constitutional vision.</p> <p>13. 1. Understanding constitutional vision as the perspective of adjudication; 2. Exposure to effective course management; 3. A new perspective in art of hearing.</p> <p>14. Rich experience and meaningful; Discussion would help in dealing with court matter.</p> <p>15. Art of hearing.</p> <p>16. 1. Independence; 2. Impartiality; 3. Integrity.</p> <p>17. Impartiality; Equality; Due diligence- The above three things is develop every day.</p>
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review and Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture- quite informative.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture- as got new vision to implement the constitutional provision.</p>

	<p>4. Session 1: <i>Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2:</i> <i>Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3:</i> <i>Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours.</i></p> <p>5. All programme.</p> <p>6. Session 5: <i>The Art of Hearing.</i></p> <p>7. All.</p> <p>8. Session 4: <i>Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5:</i> <i>The Art of Hearing-</i> Clarity the constitutional vision; Clarity the court management & information; I also clarity to, How the manage the art of hearing.</p> <p>9. Session 1: <i>Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2:</i> <i>Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3:</i> <i>Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours</i> – all sessions because being judge of constitutional court this programme enlightened and enhanced my understanding on constitution.</p> <p>10. Session 1: <i>Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2:</i> <i>Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3:</i> <i>Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours</i> – Deliberation of constitution assembly members, clipping, dividing in to groups, permitting group discussion including reforming various provision of constitution and judgements. Innovative in its approach. Seeking opinion of participants on expectation from workshop by Justice Madan B. Lokur is so great.</p> <p>11. All too same extent these are useful and relevant to my work.</p> <p>12. Session 1: <i>Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2:</i> <i>Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3:</i> <i>Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours</i> – was the best.</p> <p>13. Art of hearing – being for the trust time learning the subject.</p> <p>14. Session 1: <i>Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2:</i> <i>Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3:</i> <i>Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours</i> – very much relatable and helpful to the new elevated judges.</p> <p>15. Art of hearing.</p> <p>16. All parts.</p> <p>17. All parts.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Session 4: <i>Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts-</i> as a new judge I am/we are yet to show or put through the system at our court.</p> <p>5. None.</p>

	<p>6. All were useful.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. NA.</p> <p>9. NA.</p> <p>10. According to me no part of the programme which find learnt useful to me but the way in was presented etc. and speakers approach towards the topic.</p> <p>11. None.</p> <p>12. Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. NA.</p> <p>17. NA.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Session on the subject of court management need some vehicle section.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Nil.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. Keep up holding these kinds of programme & workshop periodically.</p> <p>9. May keep on holding such kind of programme periodically.</p> <p>10. It should be more interactive; 2. Approach of resource person towards the topic and prevention to the participant by keeping in mind their participants and the judges; 3. Time management.</p> <p>11. Separates programme may be conducted for theories of constitutional interpretation.</p> <p>12. Overall it was a wonderful experience. However NJA can have more frequent programmes for high court judges.</p> <p>13. One more sessions can be added in the tow day schedule.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. I have miles to go before I deep.</p> <p>17. No specific suggestion. During interaction not have learnt at all And discussed several issues.</p>