

# National Judicial Academy

**P-1165: Workshop for Additional District Judges**

06<sup>th</sup> – 08<sup>th</sup> September, 2019

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya, Research Fellow

**No. of Participants** : 42

**No. of forms received** : 41

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>92.68</b>	<b>7.32</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good. 34. Good. 35. Very good.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>90.24</b>	<b>9.76</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good. 34. Good. 35. Very useful.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>97.56</b>	<b>2.44</b>	-	1. Immensely. 2. Good. 4. I got benefitted a lot. 30. Good. 34. Good. 35. Learnt many niceties of criminal law.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	2. Good. 30. Good. 34. Good. 35. Helpful.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>95.12</b>	<b>4.88</b>	-	2. Good. 9. Some other topics could be included with extended found. 30. Good. 34. Good. 35. Wonderful experience.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>89.47</b>	<b>10.53</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good. 35. Very good.

b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>89.74</b>	<b>10.26</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good. 35. Very good.
c. Up to date	<b>82.50</b>	<b>17.50</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good. 35. Very good.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>71.79</b>	<b>23.08</b>	<b>5.13</b>	2. Good. 30. Good. 35. Very good.
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>47.37</b>	<b>36.84</b>	<b>15.79</b>	2. Good. 9. 1. Electronically providing material making. 30. Good.
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>85.37</b>	<b>14.63</b>	-	2. Good.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>92.68</b>	<b>7.32</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good.
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>97.56</b>	<b>2.44</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good.
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>75.68</b>	<b>21.62</b>	<b>2.70</b>	2. Good. 4. Please supply PPTs & other materials. 30. Not shown. 39. No such session was there.
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>96.30</b>	<b>3.70</b>
2	<b>97.44</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
3	<b>94.87</b>	<b>5.13</b>	<b>96.30</b>	<b>3.70</b>

4	<b>95.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>96.43</b>	<b>3.57</b>
5	<b>92.68</b>	<b>7.32</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
6	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>	<b>92.86</b>	<b>7.14</b>
7	<b>97.56</b>	<b>2.44</b>	<b>96.55</b>	<b>3.45</b>
8	<b>97.44</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-

#### V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>87.80</b>	<b>12.20</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>82.93</b>	<b>17.07</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>90.24</b>	<b>9.76</b>	-	2. Good. 30. Good.

#### VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>2. 1. Information and communication technology in legal education touches multidimensional streams; 2. How to collect e media electronic evidence and appreciation the same; 3. Appellate jurisdiction in civil &amp; criminal cases.</li> <li>3. Fair Trial; Sentencing; Criminal Justice System.</li> <li>4. Fair Trial; Revision; Appeal.</li> <li>5. New ideas from experience of speakers and other participants &amp; many doubts are cleared.</li> <li>6. I got updated knowledge about relevant topics; Got the fair knowledge directly from Hon'ble Judges; Got to know many things particularly about cyber-crime.</li> <li>7. Laws about electronic evidence; How to hear on appeal; Court management.</li> <li>8. 1. <i>Session 3: Fair Sessions Trials: Best Practices</i>; 2. Comprehensive; 3. Useful.</li> <li>9. 1. Ignited desire for improvement; 2. Filled gaps in conceptual understanding; 3. Filled gaps on knowledge.</li> <li>10. Appeal; Revision; I.T. Act.</li> <li>11. Being a new judicial officer the programme has enlighten me about first hand know how with insights into management in courts and implementing the procedure.</li> </ol>
---	--

	<p>12. Revision; Criminal Appeal; Civil appeal.</p> <p>13. 1. Relevant &amp; our much; 2. Exposure; 3. Sharing of best practices.</p> <p>14. Law relating to cyber law and electronic evidence; Free interaction; Sufficient time for discussion all participants are allowed to express their views.</p> <p>15. 1. I could effecting reform any legal knowledge; 2. The same a useful.</p> <p>16. 1. Enhancement of legal knowledge; 2. Way of thinking was changed a little bit; 3. Interaction with eminent patrons was useful.</p> <p>17. 1. Useful &amp; relevant; 2. Improved new learning skills; 3. Updated with current laws are decided cases on the topic.</p> <p>18. 1. The training programme is useful for us; 2. Got up to date knowledge on law; 3. Some law were discussed so as to enable us to adopt uniformity throughout country.</p> <p>19. 1. I got updated knowledge on law; 2. Good rapport with selective district judges of India; 3. Broadening of my outlook.</p> <p>20. 1. Challenges in implementing ADR system; 2. Court and case Management; 3. Laws relating to cyber-crime.</p> <p>21. 1. Challenges in implementing ADR system; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. Criminal justice admn.</p> <p>22. Court &amp; case management; Cyber law; Criminal revisions.</p> <p>23. 1. Cyber law; 2. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>24. 1. I Learned lecturers to effective disposal of appeal and revision; 2nd. Learned achieve for court management; 3. ADR systems.</p> <p>25. Cyber law, Revision &amp; appeal were very useful topics discussed.</p> <p>26. Cyber law and criminal revision and civil appeal module.</p> <p>27. How to manage court &amp; case management effecting. How to implement effectively ADR system.</p> <p>28. Justice Roshan Dalvi. Court and case management.</p> <p>29. 1. Learning regarding cyber laws; 2. Learning regarding electronic evidence; 3. Learning about writing of criminal appeal.</p> <p>30. 1. The resource persons made the participants to open up; 2. Had are opportunity to know about the practical aspects followed in courts in other states.</p> <p>31. Same mistakes community are enlightened; Our mode of ruling Is improved interpretation of laws and when &amp; where to apply are tough.</p> <p>32. Regarding the criminal &amp; civil appeal of is very much helping in the practical aspect.</p> <p>33. Very good &amp; interactive.</p> <p>34. Court and case management; Revision and appeals Sentencing: issues and challenges.</p> <p>35. None.</p> <p>36. 1. Civil justice administration revision and appeal; 2. Civil revision &amp; appeal; 3. Law regards electronic evidence.</p> <p>37. Criminal appeal &amp; revision; Cyber-crime; Electronic evidence.</p>
--	---

	<p>38. How relating to cybercrime, criminal justice administration; Civil justice administration appellate &amp; revision jurisdiction.</p> <p>39. Civil appeals; Criminal revisions.</p> <p>40. Cyber law and criminal and civil appeal and revision.</p> <p>41. More knowledge applying of citation</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>2. Cybercrimes – because knowledge to carrel technology has been solved.</li> <li>3. The interaction made by Hon’ble Justice Indira Banerjee and Hon’ble Justice S. Talapatra.</li> <li>4. Deliberation &amp; interaction; Justice Indira Banerjee; Justice Talapatra; Justice R. Dalvi.</li> <li>5. All parts were useful as there was a lot new to learn.</li> <li>6. Relating to cyber-crime- being new on many aspects.</li> <li>7. Electronic evidence. Appellate and divisional jurisdiction (It helped me a lot for enhancing legal knowledge).</li> <li>8. <i>Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 8: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i></li> <li>9. 1. Cyber-crime; 2. Appeals from (Civil &amp; Criminal); 3. Sentencing.</li> <li>10. Appeal; Revision; I.T. Act.</li> <li>11. Analytical and discussion based programme was knowledgeable.</li> <li>12. The topics related to revision and appeals.</li> <li>13. Best the lectures and interactive sessions were found useful.</li> <li>14. <i>Session 3: Fair Sessions Trials: Best Practices; Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></li> <li>15. Cyber-crimes – proof of cyber-crimes.</li> <li>16. Electronic evidence, sentencing.</li> <li>17. All.</li> <li>18. Cyber law was most useful, as I was ignorant about it to a great extent.</li> <li>19. Topic on electronic evidence of handling of cyber-crimes.</li> <li>20. Criminal justice administration civil justice administration since they help are in discharge my duties as an appellate judge.</li> <li>21. ADR system – especially concept of mediation; It discussed filing and pendency of courts.</li> <li>22. Civil &amp; criminal justice administration because these problems always across additional district &amp; sessions courts.</li> <li>23. <i>Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District</i></li> </ol>

	<p><i>Judges and Session 8: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i></p> <p>24. <b>Session 1:</b> Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts; <b>Session 2:</b> Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges; <b>Session 3:</b> Fair Sessions Trials: Best Practices; <b>Session 5:</b> Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; <b>Session 7:</b> Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and <b>Session 8:</b> Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>25. Session with Hon’ble Justice Ved Prakash Sharma was most useful as being highly informative.</p> <p>26. Sessions addressable by Dr. Nayak, Justice Sharma and Justice Talapatra.</p> <p>27. Electronic evidence, collection, presentation and appreciation because it is a new amendment in the Act-69(B). Now it is very useful to us in electronic evidence come in the court.</p> <p>28. Justice Roshan Dalvi. Court and case management.</p> <p>29. Programme regarding cyber laws. It is a new subject for me and materials regarding cyber laws case not easily available.</p> <p>30. The session on criminal appeal was very useful to me as this area is new to me since recently promoted.</p> <p>31. All.</p> <p>32. None.</p> <p>33. <b>Session 1:</b> Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts; <b>Session 2:</b> Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges; <b>Session 3:</b> Fair Sessions Trials: Best Practices.</p> <p>34. All sessions.</p> <p>35. Almost all the programmes many niceties of law have been discussed. Our queries were answered. We are overwhelmed to our satisfaction with the knowledge.</p> <p>36. Every part of the programme is useful.</p> <p>37. Criminal &amp; civil appeal and revision after used in practice.</p> <p>38. Cyber-crime &amp; Appellate &amp; revision jurisdiction.</p> <p>39. Discussions of cyber laws/ Electronic evidence.</p> <p>40. Sec. 65 (B) of evidence Act.</p> <p>41. Fair trial due to it was day to day problems shares.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Cyber law. It needs some practical interaction.</p> <p>4. None</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. All were useful.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p>

8. ADR.
9. **Session 1: Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts.**
10. None.
11. Not any such part.
12. None.
13. Participant did not comment.
14. All are usefully.
15. Participant did not comment.
16. Each & every session was useful.
17. Nil.
18. Does not arise.
19. Does not arise.
20. Participant did not comment.
21. Participant did not comment.
22. As one.
23. **Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.**
24. **Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges and Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.**
25. ADR session because it was not focused on the practical problems caused by lawyers in implementing ADR.
26. ADR- because the basic problems faced by the judges in sensing cases for ADR were not touched in details.
27. Cyber-crime “It is very useful” now this type of crime is increase and only one click any person being victim.
28. Participant did not comment.
29. None
30. Though the resource person dealt with about cyber laws it would have been more useful if he had dealt with more of appreciation of evidence.
31. None.
32. **Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation and Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.**
33. **Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation and Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.**
34. None.
35. NA
36. Participant did not comment.

	<p>37. Fair session trial.</p> <p>38. None.</p> <p>39. Nothing in particular.</p> <p>40. Challenges in the implementation of ADRs.</p> <p>41. Nothing.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Frequent such programmes would help to impart legal knowledge.</p> <p>3. I have been highly satisfied accordingly not inclined to make my suggestion.</p> <p>4. I am highly benefitted and inclined to come again.</p> <p>5. More programme of the same trial are to be held on regular basis.</p> <p>6. If possible call more and more Hon'ble judges and advocates in enriched us all various relevant topics.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. None.</p> <p>9. None.</p> <p>10. At least one week duration programme; POCSO Act and Human Rights Act must be included in such programme.</p> <p>11. More case law oriented programme has to be desired</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. No suggestion. Already making process more effectively.</p> <p>15. If possible workshop material can be mailed so that we can make such study.</p> <p>16. Query on part of the participant should be allowed only after delivering of lecture by that resource person. Expect session on appreciation of evidence on next time.</p> <p>17. Request to send the study materials on all subjects in future also to all participants to their email.</p> <p>18. 1. To provide more days for training programme; 2. To allow the trainees to stay at Academy as per their conveniences at least for 2 days, when anyone is in trouble not obtaining return ticket in due time.</p> <p>19. Judicial officers attending NJA from distant states are facing problems to pay the fees of Rs. 3000/- approximate on each day though they arrived on at night hours and leaving early morning but they are charged of the fees of entire day please consider this matter sympathetic any.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. The programme may be intimated to the officer well in advance, at least before fortnight including programme schedule.</p> <p>22. Please make arrangement of local visit after study sessions; Please allow senior officers to bring spouse.</p>



	<p>23. Nil, Excellent arrangements.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Hospitable facilities are to be improved up to standards of NJA.</p> <p>26. Although the unique is elaborate but the quality and taste needs to improve.</p> <p>27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. More lecture in respect of IPA, Trademark should be included.</p> <p>29. In my opinion, more time should be devoted to the programmes relating to cyber laws and electronic evidence.</p> <p>30. It would be more useful if the study materials are send to the participants in advance.</p> <p>31. To take suggestions of the officers in which areas the training &amp; guidance is needed.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. None.</p> <p>34. Satisfied with the programme.</p> <p>35. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>36. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>37. Stress should be a new emerging laws.</p> <p>38. It should be far at least 7 days. NJA should start its magazine.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>40. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>41. It was too short so it must be at least 5 days.</p>
--	--