

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume II)

| SESSION 8 | | |
|--|--|--|
| Electronic Evidence: New Horizons | | |
| 1. | Justice Kurian Joseph, “Admissibility of Electronic Evidence” <i>(2009) 3 SCC J-32</i> | |
| 2. | Aradhya Sethia, “Rethinking Admissibility of Electronic Evidence” <i>24 International Journal of Law and Information Technology 229–250 (2016)</i> | |
| 3. | Anvar P.V v. P.K. Basheer <i>(2014) 10 SCC 473</i> | |
| 4. | Gajraj v. State (NCT) of Delhi <i>(2011) 10 SCC 675</i> | |
| SESSION 9 | | |
| Forensic Evidence | | |
| 1. | Subhash Chandra Singh, “DNA Profiling and the Forensic Use of DNA Evidence in Criminal Proceedings” <i>53 (2) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 195-226 (2011)</i> | |
| 2. | Trichi Saukshmya and Dr. Dipa Dube, “Genetics of Crime: A Fresh Look at evidence and Admssibility” <i>(2011) 6 SCC J-59</i> | |
| 3. | Andrew Ligertwood, “Can DNA Evidence Alone Convict?” <i>33 Sydney Law Review 487-514 (2011)</i> | |
| SESSION 10 | | |
| Human Rights | | |
| 1. | David Weissbrodt, “The Administration of Justice and Human Rights” <i>1 City University of Hong Kong Law Review 23-47 (2009)</i> | |
| 2. | Neeraj Tiwari, “Fair trial vis-à-vis Criminal Justice Administration: A Critical Study of Indian Criminal Justice System” <i>2 (4) Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution 66-73 (2010)</i> | |
| 3. | K. K. Venugopal “Poverty as a Challenge to Human Rights” <i>‘The Constitution at 67’ 39-47 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017)</i> | |
| 4. | Hussain and Another v. Union of India and Another <i>(2017) 5 SCC 702</i> | |

SESSION 11

ICT and E-Judiciary: Indian Perspective

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | Judith Gibson, “Social Media and the Electronic "New World" of Judges” <i>7 (2) International Journal for Court Administration 1-9 (2016)</i> | |
| 2. | Jane Donoghue, “The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology Public Participation and Access to Justice” <i>80 (6) Modern Law Review 995-1025 (2017)</i> | |
| 3. | Justice G. C. Bharuka, “Technology and Timely justice” <i>35 (1) Common Clause 5-12 (2016)</i> | |
| 4. | Jerry Goldman, “Courts and Information Technology: A Predictably Uneasy Relationship” <i>55 Loyola Law Review 235-248 (2009)</i> | |
| 5. | Swapnil Tripathi and Others v. Supreme Court of India and Another <i>(2018) 10 SCC 639</i> | |

SESSION 12

Identification of Ratio in a Precedent

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | S.P. Gupta & Rahul Agrawal, “Doctrine of Precedent and Stare Decisis in India” <i>(2009) 3 SCC J-32</i> | |
| 2. | Mohan Parasaran, “How to Comprehend Precedents” <i>(2016) 2 SCC J-28</i> | |
| 3. | Justice R. V. Raveendran, “Precedents-Boon or Bane?” <i>(2015) 8 SCC J-1</i> | |

SESSION 13

Landmark Judgments in India

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | B. Gandhi, “Landmark Decisions” <i>V.D Kulshreshtha Landmarks in Indian Legal and Constitutional History 494-523 Wadhwa & Company (2011)</i> | |
| 2. | M.P. Jain, “Amendability of the Indian Constitution” <i>Indian Constitutional Law 1620-1644 Wadhwa and Company (2005)</i> | |

SESSION 14

Landmark Judgments in India (Recent)

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Indian Young Lawyers Association & Ors. v The State of Kerala <i>Writ Petition (Civil) No. 373 of 2006</i> | |
|----|--|--|

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2. | <i>Joseph Shine v. Union of India</i> (2018) 2 SCC 189 | |
| 3. | <i>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Another v. Union of India & Ors</i> Writ Petition (Civil) No. 494 of 2012 | |
| 4. | <i>Navtej Singh Johar & Ors. v. Union of India</i> (2018) 10 SCC 1 | |
| 5. | <i>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Another v. Union of India & Ors</i> (2017) 10 SCC 1 | |
| 6. | <i>Shayara Bano v. Union of India & Ors</i> (2017) 9 SCC 1 | |
| 7. | <i>Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India (UOI), Ministry of Law and Ors</i> (2016) 7 SCC 221 | |
| 8. | <i>Nabam Rebia and Ors. v. Deputy Speaker and Ors</i> (2016) 8 SCC 1 | |
| 9. | <i>Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association v. Union of India</i> (2016) 5 SCC 1 | |

NOTE: The Cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight some issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment provided in the CD for conclusive opinion.