

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SESSION - 1 | | |
|---|--|------------|
| CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ADR SYSTEM IN SUBORDINATE COURTS | | |
| 1. | Justice S. B. Sinha, “ADR and Access to Justice: Issues and Perspectives” <i>Retrieved from: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/article/ADR-%20SBSinha.pdf.</i> | 1 |
| 2. | Michael Alberstein, “Judicial Conflict Resolution (JCR): A New Jurisprudence for an Emerging Judicial Practice” <i>Cardozo Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 16, (2015), 879-907.</i> | 21 |
| 3. | Bibek Debroy and Suparna Jain, “Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India - Resolve in India” <i>Retrieved from: http://niti.gov.in/content/strengthening-arbitration-and-its-enforcement-india-%E2%80%93-resolve-india#.</i> | 50 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Salem Advocate Bar Association, Tamil Nadu v. Union of India <i>2005 (6) SCC 344</i> | 67 |
| 2. | Afcon Infrastructure Ltd. And Anr. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd. <i>2010 (8) SCC 24</i> | 81 |
| 3. | Vikram Bakshi and others v. Sonia Khosla (Dead) By LRs. <i>(2014) 6 SCALE 514</i> | 92 |
| 4. | K. Srinivas Rao v. D.A. Deepa <i>(2013) 5 SCC 226</i> | 98 |
| 5. | Suresh Narayan Kadam and others v. Central Bank of India and others <i>AIR 2016 SC 714</i> | 107 |
| SESSION - 2 | | |
| COURT AND CASE MANAGEMENT: ROLE OF JUDGES | | |
| 1. | Justice M. B. Lokur “Case Management Through Court Annexed Mediation and Other Developments” <i>(2013) Retrieved from: www.lawasia.asn.au/Judicial%20-%20LOKUR.pdf.</i> | 113 |
| 2. | Justice P. Sathasivam, “Effective District Administration and Court Management” <i>Lecture delivered at Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy on 15th June 2013; Retrieved from: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in.</i> | 132 |
| 3. | Justice Roshan Dalvi, “The Business of Court Management” | 145 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| | <i>Nyay Deep, Vol. XVI (III), (July 2015), 13-35.</i> | |
| 4. | Bert Mann, “Past & Future for Management of Courts” <i>International Journal for Court Administration, (2010), 1 – 8.</i> | 169 |
| 5. | Best Practices Institute: Successful Caseflow Management Techniques <i>Retrieved from: http://napco4courtleaders.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Caseflow-Management-Techniques-Best-Practices-Institute.</i> | 177 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Rameshwari Devi and Ors. v. Nirmala Devi and Ors. <i>(2011) 8 SCC 249</i> | 181 |
| 2. | Surjit Singh v. Gurwant Kaur <i>(2015) 1 SCC 665</i> | 193 |
| 3. | Hussain and another v. Union of India and another <i>(2017) 5 SCC 702</i> | 200 |
| 4. | All India Judges Association v. Union of India <i>2018 SCC OnLine SC 971</i> | 217 |
| SESSION - 3 | | |
| CIVIL JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION: APPELLATE AND REVISION JURISDICTION OF DISTRICT JUDGES | | |
| 1. | Krishna Agrawal & Neha Dixit, “Civil Justice In India,” <i>Brics Law Journal, Vol. 3(4), (2016)</i> | 233 |
| 2. | Justice S.U. Khan, “Appellate Jurisdiction” <i>Lecture delivered at JTRI, Lucknow, UP; Retrieved from: http://ijtr.nic.in.</i> | 257 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Union of India v. K. V. Lakshman <i>AIR 2016 SC 3139</i> | 285 |
| 2. | Shasidhar v. Ashwini Uma Mathad <i>AIR 2015 SC 1139</i> | 288 |
| 3. | Vinod Kumar v. Gangadhar <i>(2015) 1 SCC 391</i> | 290 |
| 4. | Kuldeep Kumar Dubey and others v. Ramesh Chandra Goyal (Dead) Through Lrs. <i>(2015) 3 SCC 525</i> | 292 |
| 5. | State Bank of India v. Emmsons International Limited <i>(2011) 12 SCC 174</i> | 294 |

| | | |
|--|--|------------|
| 6. | Banarsi & Ors. v. Ram Phal <i>(2003) 9 SCC 606</i> | 296 |
| 7. | P. Kiran Kumar v. A.S. Khadar and others <i>(2002) 5 SCC 161</i> | 303 |
| 8. | Madhukar v. Sangram <i>(2001) 4 SCC 756</i> | 306 |
| SESSION - 4 | | |
| CRIMINAL JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION: APPELLATE AND REVISION | | |
| JURISDICTION OF DISTRICT JUDGES | | |
| 1. | Justice Bhanwar Singh, “Criminal Appeals” <i>Retrieved from: http://ijtr.nic.in/articles/art11.pdf.</i> | 309 |
| 2. | Peter D. Marshall, “A Comparative Analysis of the Right to Appeal,” <i>Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law, Vol. 22(1), (2011).</i> | 315 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Ghurey Lal v. State of Uttar Pradesh <i>(2008) 10 SCC 450</i> | 361 |
| 2. | State of H.P. v. Mahinder Singh <i>2017 Indlaw HP 183</i> | 373 |
| 3. | B.M. Mathegowda S/o B.R. Mullegowda and others v. State of Karnataka by Aldur Police Station, Chikmanglur <i>2017 Indlaw KAR 3080</i> | 376 |
| 4. | Ramesh Chand v. State of Himachal Pradesh <i>2017 Indlaw HP 381</i> | 381 |
| SESSION - 5 | | |
| SENTENCING: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES | | |
| 1. | Julian V. Roberts, Umar Azmeh and Kartikeya Tripathi, “Structured Sentencing in England and Wales: Recent Developments and Lessons for India” <i>National Law School of India Review, Vol. 23(1), (2011), 27-46.</i> | 393 |
| 2. | Richard E. Redding, “Evidence based Sentencing: The Science of Sentencing Policy and Practice” <i>Chapman Journal of Criminal Justice, Vol. 1:1, (2009), 1-19.</i> | 412 |
| 3. | Prof. (Dr.) Mukund Sarda, “Courts and Sentencing Principles: A Study” <i>Criminal law Journal, Vol. 121, (2015), 33-37.</i> | 431 |

| | | |
|--|--|------------|
| 4. | <i>Justice J.S. Verma, Justice Leila Seth, Gopal Subramaniam, “Chapter Nine: Sentencing and Punishment”</i> <i>Report Of The Committee On Amendments To Criminal Law, (2013), 234-259.</i> | 443 |
| 5. | <i>Latest view of sentencing policy with reference to the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court and High Court</i> <i>Retrieved from: http://mja.gov.in</i> | 469 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Gopal Singh v. State of Uttarakhand <i>(2013) 7 SCC 545</i> | 497 |
| 2. | (1) Mukesh and another; (2) Vinay Sharma and another v. State for (NCT of Delhi) <i>2017(5) SCALE 506</i> | 502 |
| 3. | State of Himachal Pradesh v. Nirmala Devi <i>2017 Indlaw SC 473</i> | 515 |
| 4. | Ravada Sasikala v. State of Andhra Pradesh and another <i>AIR 2017 SC 1166</i> | 519 |
| 5. | Vasanta Sampat Dupare v. State of Maharashtra <i>2017(5) SCALE 724</i> | 524 |
| 6. | Vikas Yadav v. State of U. P. and others <i>AIR 2016 SC 4614</i> | 535 |
| SESSION - 6 | | |
| FAIR SESSIONS TRIAL: BEST PRACTICES | | |
| 1. | <i>Neeraj Tiwari, “Fair trial vis-à-vis criminal justice administration: A critical study of Indian criminal Justice System”</i> <i>Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution, Vol. 2(4), (2010), 66-73.</i> | 555 |
| 2. | “General Principles of a Fair Trial Applicable in all Stages” (Ch. 1 Excerpt), Fair Trial Manual A Handbook For Judges and Magistrates Prepared by The Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative and The International Human Rights Clinic, Cornell Law School. | 563 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Asha Ranjan and another v. State of Bihar and others <i>AIR 2017 SC 1079</i> | 586 |
| 2. | Balakram v. State of Uttarakhand and others <i>2017(5) SCALE 220</i> | 595 |
| 3. | Naresh Kumar alias Nitu v. State of Himachal Pradesh <i>2017 Indlaw SC 508</i> | 598 |

| | | |
|--|---|------------|
| 4. | Amrutbhai Shambhubhai Patel v. Sumanbhai Kantibhai Patel and others <i>AIR 2017 SC 774</i> | 599 |
| 5. | Ajay Singh v. State of Chhattisgarh <i>(2017) 3 SCC 330</i> | 611 |
| 6. | State of Bihar v. Rajballav Prasad @ Rajballav Pd. Yadav @ Rajballabh Yadav <i>(2017) 2 SCC 178</i> | 614 |
| 7. | State of Haryana v. Ram Mehar and others <i>(2016) 8 SCC 762</i> | 617 |
| 8. | AG v. Shiv Kumar Yadav and another <i>(2016) 2 SCC 402</i> | 621 |
| 9. | Bablu Kumar and others v. State of Bihar and another <i>(2015) 8 SCC 787</i> | 627 |
| 10. | Dr. Vinod Bhandari v. State of Madhya Pradesh <i>2015(2) SCALE 195</i> | 629 |
| 11. | Mohammed Ajmal Amir Kasab @ Abu Mujahid v. State of Maharashtra <i>MANU/SC/0681/2012</i> | 633 |
| 12. | Adambhai Sulemanbhai Ajmeri and others v. State of Gujarat <i>2014 (7) SCALE 100</i> | 647 |
| 13. | Jumni and Others v. State of Haryana <i>2014(3) SCALE 588</i> | 659 |
| 14. | Mangat Ram v. State of Haryana <i>(2014) 12 SCC 595</i> | 662 |
| 15. | Prakash v. State of Karnataka <i>(2014) 12 SCC 133</i> | 666 |
| 16. | Selvaraj @ Chinnapaiyan v. State of Tamil Nadu Represented by Inspector of Police <i>2014(13) SCALE 655</i> | 674 |
| 17. | State of Himachal Pradesh v. Raj Kumar <i>(2014) 14 SCC 39</i> | 676 |
| 18. | State of Gujarat v. Kishanbhai etc. <i>(2014) 5 SCC 108</i> | 678 |
| SESSION - 7 | | |
| LAWS RELATING TO CYBER CRIMES: ADVANCES AND BOTTLENECKS | | |
| 1. | Prashant Iyengar, “IP Addresses and Expeditious Disclosure of Identity in India” <i>Indian Journal of Law and Technology, Vol. 9, (2013), 1-28.</i> | 681 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 2. | <i>Dhiraj R. Duraiswami, “Privacy and Data Protection in India,”</i> <i>J.L. & Cyber Warfare, Vol. 6, (2017), 166-187.</i> | 709 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Sharat Babu Digumarti v. State Govt. of (NCT of Delhi) <i>2016 Indlaw SC 892</i> | 731 |
| 2. | Shreya Singhal v. Union of India <i>2015 Indlaw SC 211</i> | 734 |
| 3. | Sanjay Kumar Kedia v. Narcotics Control Bureau <i>2007(13) SCALE 631</i> | 741 |
| 4. | Dr. Rini Johar v. State of Madhya Pradesh <i>AIR 2016 SC 2679</i> | 743 |
| 5. | Rajesh S/o Bhaskaran v. State of Kerala <i>2013 Indlaw KER 1097</i> | 747 |
| 6. | Rishi Narula v. State (NCT of Delhi) and others <i>2016 Indlaw DEL 234</i> | 752 |
| SESSION - 8 | | |
| ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE: COLLECTION, PRESERVATION AND APPRECIATION | | |
| 1. | <i>Justice Kurian Joseph, “Admissibility of Electronic Evidence”</i> <i>(2016) 5 SCC J-1.</i> | 755 |
| 2. | <i>Tejas Karia, Akhil Anand and Bahaar Dhawan, “The Supreme Court of India re-defines admissibility of electronic evidence in india”</i> <i>Digital Evidence and Electronic Signature Law Review, Vol. 12, (2015), 33-37.</i> | 762 |
| 3. | <i>Vivek Dubey, “Admissibility of Electronic Evidence: An Indian Perspective”</i> <i>Forensic Research & Criminology International Journal, Vol. 4(2), (2017), 1-7.</i> | 767 |
| CASE LAWS | | |
| 1. | Tomaso Bruno and another v. State of Uttar Pradesh <i>2015(1) SCALE 498</i> | 774 |
| 2. | Anvar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer <i>(2014) 10 SCC 473</i> | 780 |
| 3. | Gajraj v. State (NCT) of Delhi <i>2011(10) SCALE 695</i> | 789 |
| 4. | Dharambir v. Central Bureau of Investigation <i>148 (2008) DLT 289</i> | 793 |