

National Judicial Academy

P-1159: Workshop for Additional District Judges

05th - 07th April, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Ankita Pandey, Law Associate

No. of Participants : 30

No. of forms received : 30

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	83.33	16.67	-	4. Good. 5. Good. 30. Very good.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	93.33	6.67	-	4. Good. 5. Good. 30. Very good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	93.10	6.90	-	4. Good. 5. Good. 30. Very good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	90.00	10.00	-	4. Good. 5. Good. 30. Very good.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	80.00	16.67	3.33	4. Good. 5. Good. 30. Very good.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	92.31	7.69	-	4. Very good. 5. Very good.

b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	89.66	10.34	-	4. Very good. 5. Very good.
c. Up to date	82.76	17.24	-	4. Very good. 5. Very good.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	73.33	26.67	-	4. Very good. 5. Very good.
e. Related to international legal norms	51.85	37.04	11.11	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	76.67	23.33	-	4. Ok. 5. Good.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	58.33	41.67	-	4. All is ok. 5. Good.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	75.00	25.00	-	4. All is ok. 5. Good.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	71.43	28.57	-	4. All is ok. 5. Session fruitful.
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	71.43	28.57	-	4. All is ok. 5. It is beneficial.

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	74.07	25.93	75.00	25.00
2	81.48	18.52	75.00	25.00
3	85.19	14.81	70.00	30.00

4	82.14	17.86	73.68	26.32
5	77.78	22.22	73.68	26.32
6	81.48	18.52	73.68	26.32
7	80.77	19.23	73.68	26.32
8	80.00	20.00	64.71	35.29
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	96.67	3.33	-	4. Useful. 5. Useful. 13. May be compiled in 2 folds.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	96.67	3.33	-	4. Useful. 5. Useful & relevant.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	96.43	3.57	-	4. Useful. 5. Useful.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Resource persons shared their experiences in handling cases. It is very useful to me to implement in future in my day to day judicial functioning.</p> <p>2. 1. Management of cases and man power; 2. Reduction of pendency. 3. Application of case laws.</p> <p>3. We are updated; Have communications at national level; We refreshed and learnt appropriate processes.</p> <p>4. We have learnt to a great extent; We are refreshed and learnt many things; Very good proforma to learn new things.</p> <p>5. We were refreshed and learnt new things; We learnt a lot of legal provisions; Have communications at national level.</p> <p>6. 1. Electronic evidence; 2. Court & case management; 3. Fair sessions trial.</p> <p>7. 1. Programme is very clear to understand; 2. Very relevant to my work.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. 1. Received new and important ideas from esteemed resource persons regarding court and case management; 2. Found the experience sharing by the resource persons as well as participants extremely useful; 3. Found new friends.</p>

	<p>10. 1. Suitable to the new entrants in this field of work; 2. Opportunity to know the working in different courts of the country; 3. Effective communication skills development.</p> <p>11. Cyber laws knowledge; Engaging discussions; Active participation.</p> <p>12. Basics of electronic evidence.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. 1. Arrangements are good; 2. Gained knowledge; 3. Knowledge regarding I.T. Act., so useful.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Subject matter relating to ADR was well defined and bottlenecks cleared.</p> <p>17. Helped to conduct trial more effectively.</p> <p>18. 1. Helped to improve our skills; 2. Work culture; 3. Effective disposal.</p> <p>19. Thoughtful matter in court management. Implementation of ADRs.</p> <p>20. 1. Cybercrime; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. Court & case management.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Appreciation of evidence in civil or criminal jurisdiction at appellate stage. Analysis of electronic evidence.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. I learnt more on cyber laws and on appellate and revisional jurisdiction.</p> <p>26. Clarity, direction.</p> <p>27. 1. How to conduct fair sessions trial; 2. Time management; 3. What are the bottlenecks.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. <i>Session 2: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 6: Fair Sessions Trial; Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></p> <p>30. 1. Quick disposal of cases through ADR; 2. Admissibility of electronic evidence.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. <i>Session 5: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges-</i> Cybercrime; Electronic evidence.</p> <p>2. <i>Session 1: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 2: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i></p> <p>3. Case studies with practical example.</p> <p>4. Lectures with illustration.</p> <p>5. Case studies with practical examples.</p> <p>6. <i>Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></p> <p>7. Appellate and revisional jurisdiction of district judges.</p>

	<p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Session 1: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges – as well as session relating to appreciation of electronic evidence.</p> <p>10. Fair sessions trial. In my court room there are a number of cases u/s 302 IPC and I could learn a lot about the do's and don'ts.</p> <p>11. All teaching subjects.</p> <p>12. Electronic evidence. A new area to which I don't have sufficient exposure.</p> <p>13. Electronic evidence part. This is a new area where we know too little.</p> <p>14. All programmes are good.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Each and every one.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Court and case management.</p> <p>19. Law relating to cybercrime.</p> <p>20. All.</p> <p>21. Cybercrime; Electronic evidence: collection, preservation and appreciation.</p> <p>22. Civil & criminal appellate and revisional jurisdiction & electronic evidence.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Every class was very good.</p> <p>25. Appellate and revision jurisdiction of district judges as it cleared many confusions.</p> <p>26. All the lectures are very useful.</p> <p>27. 1. Law relating to cybercrimes; 2. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>28. Session 5: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>29. Session 2: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>30. Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. All programme are very good. We learnt more from NJA.</p> <p>2. Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks.</p> <p>3. NA.</p> <p>4. NA.</p> <p>5. NA.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. No comments.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Each and every part of the programme was useful.</p> <p>10. No session was least useful.</p> <p>11. None.</p>

	<p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Nil.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. None.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. No programme.</p> <p>19. Nil.</p> <p>20. Nil.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. None.</p> <p>25. All sessions were useful for dispensing justice to litigants.</p> <p>26. None.</p> <p>27. Each and every programme.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Challenges in implementation of ADR system.</p> <p>30. No part.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. These programmes are arranged effectively and very-very useful.</p> <p>2. No comments.</p> <p>3. Should be for longer duration and periodical in nature.</p> <p>4. Duration should be for 10 days and in detail.</p> <p>5. Workshop should be for longer duration and periodical in nature.</p> <p>6. No comments.</p> <p>7. No comments.</p> <p>8. No comments.</p> <p>9. 1. If possible, spouse may be permitted in future programme; 2. Reference material may also be given in soft copies.</p> <p>10. Not any.</p> <p>11. Making lift facilities for the guests.</p> <p>12. Possibly allow spouse of participants.</p> <p>13. Programme schedule should be conveyed to participants before their arrival though mail/otherwise so we can prepare and perform better.</p> <p>14. Good experience.</p> <p>15. Standards of learning must be raised. There must be huge distinction between state academy and NJA, otherwise there is no purpose in calling. Make video channel accessible to all to save resources and time. .</p>

	<p>16. 1. On hygiene account the towels provided need more attention as most of them provided had bad smell; 2. Tea kits may be provided in rooms.</p> <p>17. No suggestion.</p> <p>18. Obtain services of retired professors.</p> <p>19. Nil.</p> <p>20. Nil.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Great- will use in practical life and case work.</p> <p>25. The participants of each state may be increased so that more judicial officers could take benefit of NJA.</p> <p>26. None.</p> <p>27. Classes could be conducted on intellectual property.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Nil.</p> <p>30. Training time may be extended.</p>
--	--