

National Judicial Academy

P-1155: Workshop for Additional District Judges

8th – 10th March, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Amit Mehrotra, Assistant Professor

No. of Participants : 34

No. of forms received : 34

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	88.24	11.76	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	84.85	15.15	-	32. Very useful for AD/DJ.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	87.88	12.12	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	78.79	21.21	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	90.53	9.38	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	87.88	12.12	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	87.88	12.12	-	32. Nicely conducted.
c. Up to date	93.75	6.25	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	64.52	25.81	9.68	-
e. Related to international legal norms	40.00	40.00	20.00	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	82.35	17.65	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	84.85	15.15	-	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	57.58	42.42	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	90.91	9.09	92.00	8.00
2	84.38	15.63	88.00	12.00
3	93.94	6.06	91.67	8.33
4	94.12	5.88	91.67	8.33
5	90.91	9.09	92.00	8.00
6	94.12	5.88	92.31	7.69
7	88.24	11.76	84.00	16.00
8	87.88	12.12	83.33	16.67
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	81.82	18.18	-	-
b. The content was updated. It	90.63	9.38	-	-

reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	90.63	9.38	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. I achieved all the goals of total programme.</p> <p>2. New dimensions have been introduced.</p> <p>3. Updated legal knowledge; Given new way to look into.</p> <p>4. Learned, application to meet the ends by way of judgement.</p> <p>5. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>6. Cyber laws, implementation of ADR & case management.</p> <p>7. The session relating to electronic evidence was useful and practical; The sessions relating to cybercrime was eye opener and helpful.</p> <p>8. Insights into the sentencing policy; Electronic evidence and appeal.</p> <p>9. 1. Enhancement of knowledge; 2. Interaction with known legal persons.</p> <p>10. 1. Criminal justice administration; 2. Appreciation of evidence; 3. Enlightened in all judicial aspects.</p> <p>11. 1. Interaction with ADJ's of other states to share experiences. 2. Updated with relevant case laws; 3. To resolve doubts.</p> <p>12. I got overall benefited particularly on sentencing policy, criminal admiration of justice.</p> <p>13. On criminal appeals and revision and civil appeals.</p> <p>14. Criminal appeals & revision; Civil appeals.</p> <p>15. 1. There is a lot to learn; 2. Looking towards the subject from different angles; 3. To be more compassionate.</p> <p>16. 1. Useful for learning skills; 2. Augmentation of knowledge; useful and relevant for need of the hour.</p> <p>17. I was benefited overall and learnt effective court management and application of latest laws as well as the mechanism of fair trial.</p> <p>18. 1. About electronic evidence, appellate and revision jurisdiction in criminal and civil matters. 2. Perspective in deciding sessions case; 3. Points to be kept in mind while sentencing.</p> <p>19. 1. Practically applicable; 2. Relevant topics; 3. Beneficial as learnt in the initial period of service in the Higher Judicial Service.</p> <p>20. It will be useful in our future work and got opportunity to interact on the topics where I had confusion.</p> <p>21. Case management; Cybercrime; Electronic evidence.</p>

	<p>22. 1. Knowledge on how to apply law; objective of legal provisions; 3. Refreshing the legal knowledge.</p> <p>23. Sharing of ideas & knowledge; 2. Cleared all of my doubts.</p> <p>24. 1. Gained knowledge to a great extent; 2. Sharing of knowledge with learned participants. 3. Clearing of several doubts.</p> <p>25. Very Good.</p> <p>26. Enhanced my knowledge and updated on latest judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court & High Courts.</p> <p>27. The programme has enhanced my knowledge and updated me.</p> <p>28. Implementation of ADR; Appellate jurisdiction, Sentencing.</p> <p>29. Implementation of ADR; Appellate and revision jurisdiction of DJ; Sentencing.</p> <p>30. Updated with civil revision and appeal and criminal revision and appeal. Admissibility of electronic evidence.</p> <p>31. Updated with civil revision and civil appeal; Updated with criminal revision & criminal appeal; Updation on cyber laws and I.T. Act.</p> <p>32. 1. Experience; 2. Knowledge; 3. Skills to dispose of cases.</p> <p>33. 1. Enhancement of skills; 2. Updated knowledge of law.</p> <p>34. 1. Enhancement of my skills; 2. Good experience.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. The total programme was useful.</p> <p>2. Cyber law.</p> <p>3. Cyber law and electronic documents.</p> <p>4. Fair trial & sentencing policy.</p> <p>5. Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks.</p> <p>6. Cyber-crimes & bottlenecks.</p> <p>7. Sessions relating to cybercrimes & electronic evidence were useful.</p> <p>8. Sentencing policy.</p> <p>9. Lecture of Justice Joymalaya Bagchi.</p> <p>10. Laws relating to cybercrimes; Knowing all aspects and how to use.</p> <p>11. Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 5: Fair Sessions Trial and Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>12. I found court management topic most useful, because resource person was excellent.</p> <p>13. Subject on appeals.</p> <p>14. Criminal appeal – many questions raised & answered.</p> <p>15. The entire programme.</p> <p>16. All the topics were useful for day to day use.</p> <p>17. I found the first and second part of the programme most useful because it provided me practical experience of resource persons which will help me in daily working of court.</p>

	<p>18. Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation- as newly promoted additional district & sessions judge.</p> <p>19. Each and every lesson was useful as very relevant topics by eminent resource persons were taken up.</p> <p>20. Not particularly one topic. Each session was useful as major topics like revision & appeal were discussed with citations.</p> <p>21. Law relating to cybercrimes.</p> <p>22. Cyber laws.</p> <p>23. All parts are important for me.</p> <p>24. Fair trial, electronics evidence and all other parts including appellate & revision jurisdiction of additional district judges.</p> <p>25. Appeal & revision.</p> <p>26. Appeal & criminal revision and civil appeals.</p> <p>27. Appeal (civil & criminal) revision, fair sessions trial.</p> <p>28. Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>29. Session 1: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 2: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 5: Fair Sessions Trial and Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>30. The discussion on civil and criminal appeals and revisions was most useful as it helps in day-to-day work.</p> <p>31. The discussion on civil revision and appeal and criminal revision and appeal as it is much useful in day-to-day work and electronic record.</p> <p>32. Appeal & Revision; Criminal Justice Administration; Cybercrimes.</p> <p>33. Fair sessions trial because of its importance in criminal justice delivery system.</p> <p>34. 1. Challenges in implementation of ADR; 2. Fair sessions trial; 3. Cybercrime.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Not at all.</p> <p>2. None, civil appeal and all other topics were very much useful.</p> <p>3. All are very useful.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. None.</p> <p>10. Sentencing issues and challenges in day-to-day affairs.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>12. I think all the sessions were most useful for me.</p> <p>13. ADR. It should have been more comprehensive.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Nil.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. All programmes were useful.</p> <p>18. None.</p> <p>19. All parts of the programme were useful.</p> <p>20. All the sessions were useful for us as I have newly joined as Additional District and Sessions Judge.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Court management and role of ADR methods. Some new ideas were given.</p> <p>23. Not applicable.</p> <p>24. Not applicable.</p> <p>25. <i>Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks.</i></p> <p>26. None.</p> <p>27. None.</p> <p>28. <i>Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation-</i> as it was not touching the practical problems being faced by us.</p> <p>29. <i>Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></p> <p>30. ADR and Cyber law.</p> <p>31. ADR and Cyber law.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. NA.</p> <p>34. NA.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. No.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Cyber forensic part should be given whole day.</p> <p>4. Group discussion with practical problems can be tried.</p> <p>5. Improvement is required in hospitality facilities.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Hospitality and food quality needs to be taken care of.</p> <p>8. More programme on IT Act.</p> <p>9. By arranging similar workshops on regular basis.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>12. Endeavor should be made to hold such programmes more frequently so that more judicial officers may get sensitized.</p> <p>13. “Cyber forensics” may be included along with cyber laws and electronic records.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. This type of programme shall be conducted for each officer once in every three years.</p> <p>16. Endovour should be made for organizing more such programme.</p> <p>17. Such type of programmes should be organized frequently and opportunity should be given to learn latest laws.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Such training sessions be conducted more frequently as I had the opportunity to visit NJA after 9 years.</p> <p>20. All the sessions were very well covered by resource persons. The topic of electronic evidence should be given more time.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Please motivate participants to make maximum use of library facility during leisure hours.</p> <p>23. To provide good library facility.</p> <p>24. Training programme on regular intervals.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Session 1: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts In this session with retired Judge the Judge-in-charge of ADR should sit as resource person.</p> <p>27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. No.</p> <p>30. NJA is above the mark. Important material be supplied even to those who have not participated in the programme.</p> <p>31. Important material may be supplied to all those who have not participated in the NJA.</p> <p>32. National level programme nicely conducted.</p> <p>33. Very good experience it has been.</p> <p>34. Over all programme very effective.</p>
--	--