

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Session 1: Intellectual Property Rights: Genesis, Benefits & Importance</i>	
1.	Intellectual Property Rights Regime In India- An Overview: Cell for IPR Promotion and Management (CIPAM), Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, Ministry of Commerce and Industry.; 24th January, 2018
2.	Justice S. Ravindra Bhat; Innovation and Intellectual Property Rights law—An Overview of the Indian law; Special Issue On Innovation, Intellectual Property And Competition In India; IIMB Management Review (2018) 30, 51–61
3.	Dr. Peter Drahos, <i>The Universality of Intellectual Property Rights: Origins and Development</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Definitional Observations</i> • <i>The History of Intellectual Property</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>The Territorial Period</i> ➤ <i>The International Period</i> ➤ <i>The Global Period</i> ➤ <i>Post-TRIPS</i> • <i>Human Rights, the Right of Property and Intellectual Property</i> • <i>Intellectual Property Rights: Universally Recognized or Universal Rights?</i> • <i>Intellectual Property and Human Rights: An Instrumental View</i>
4.	Pervin Rusi Taleyarkhan, <i>Intellectual Property: Protecting the Intellect or the Property</i> , 6 NUJS L. Rev. 321, 334 (2013) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>Intellectual property's common roots: all IP starts at the same place</i> • <i>Legal recognition of the varied application of brain power behind IP: patents, copyrights, trademarks, & trade secrets</i> • <i>Conclusion: "process is what you did, value is what you protect"</i>
5.	Shahid Ali Khan, <i>Socio-economic benefits of Intellectual Property Protection in Developing Countries, World Intellectual Property Organization, 2000.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intellectual Property System-A Catalyst of Development</i> • <i>Intellectual Property- Its main branches, Role and Importance in Socio Economic Development</i> • <i>Economic Value of effective protection of Intellectual Property</i>
<i>Session 2: Intellectual Property Rights Regime in India: Government Policies</i>	
1.	<i>Intellectual Property Rights Regime In India- Initiatives By The Government:</i> Cell for IPR Promotion and Management (CIPAM); Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion; Ministry of Commerce and Industry: 24th January, 2018 INTRODUCTION Recent Initiatives By The Government: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>National IPR Policy</i> • <i>Strengthening of Institutional Mechanism</i> • <i>Clearing Backlog/ Reducing Pendency</i> • <i>Increase in Filings</i>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Business Process Re-engineering</i> • <i>Augmentation of Human Resources</i> • <i>Creating IPR Awareness</i> • <i>IPRs in School Syllabus</i> • <i>IPR Enforcement Toolkit for Police</i> • <i>Police Training Programs</i> • <i>Sensitization Programs for Judiciary</i> • <i>Combating Online Piracy</i> • <i>Technology and Innovation Support Centres (TISCs)</i> • <i>State Engagements</i> • <i>Global Innovation Index (GII)</i> • <i>Promotion of Geographical Indications</i> • <i>Social Media Campaign</i> • <i>IPRs for Start-Ups and MSMEs</i> • <i>Dynamic Utility Facilities available on Website of O/o CGPDTM</i> • <i>IPR Trends</i> • <i>International Search Authority & International Preliminary Examining Authority</i> • <i>Madrid Protocol</i> 	
2.	<p>National IPR Policy 2016: Government of India; Ministry of Commerce and Industry; Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Executive Summary</i> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>Overview</i> • <i>Vision statement</i> • <i>Mission statement</i> • <i>Objectives</i> • <i>Objective 1: IPR Awareness: Outreach and Promotion</i> • <i>Objective 2: Generation of IPRs</i> • <i>Objective 3: Legal and Legislative Framework</i> • <i>Objective 4: Administration and Management</i> • <i>Objective 5: Commercialization of IPR</i> • <i>Objective 6: Enforcement and Adjudication</i> • <i>Objective 7: Human Capital Development</i> • <i>Implementation</i> 	
<p><i>Session 3: Emerging Issues on IP Regime: India and Global Perspective</i></p>		
1.	<p>Amit Kapoor and Sankalp Sharma, <i>Intellectual Property Rights in India: Innovation and Competitiveness in the Indian Context</i>, India's Innovation and IP Policies Working Paper; The National Bureau of Asian Research</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Main arguments</i> • <i>IPR system in India</i> • <i>Trends in IP activity</i> • <i>Case Study: Fostering Innovation in India's</i> • <i>Pharmaceutical Sector</i> • <i>Impediments to Future Growth of the IPR Regime and</i> • <i>Future Steps</i> • <i>Conclusions</i> • <i>Appendix 1: Additional Recent Developments in IP Issues in India</i> 	

2.	<p>B K Bhuyan, V K Unni, R Saha, Anurag Agarwal, V Premnath, S Sivaram, and Anil K Gupta, <i>Emerging IPR Consciousness in India: Strengthening IP and Open Source Systems of Technological Innovations</i> VIKALPA; VOLUME 33, NO 2, APRIL – JUNE 2008</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Strengthening IP and Open Source Systems of Technological Innovations: The Tata Experience</i> • <i>Harnessing IP Awareness for Global Business Dominance: A Tale of Two Indian Companies</i> • <i>Challenges faced by the Indian IPR System</i> • <i>Growth of IPRs in India: Slow but Steady</i> • <i>Intellectual Property Systems in India: Progressing towards Greater Maturity and Diversity</i> • <i>Emerging Issues in Managing IPRs and Open Source Technologies</i> 	
3.	<p>Minxin Pei, <i>Intellectual Property Rights: A Survey of the Major Issues, A Report for the Asia Business Council, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, September 2005.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Background</i> • <i>IPR and Patent Reform in Developed Countries</i> • <i>IPR and the North-South Divide</i> • <i>IPR and Public Health</i> • <i>Agriculture</i> • <i>Education & Research</i> • <i>Patenting Life-forms and Traditional Knowledge</i> • <i>Institutional Capacity in Poor Countries</i> • <i>Regional and Bilateral Trade Agreements</i> • <i>How Should Business Respond</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
4.	<p>Krishna Dhar, Souvik Bhattacharjya and Nitya Nanda, <i>Protection of Patents in India: Issues and Challenges, 2013.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>Trends in International Patent Situation</i> • <i>Trends in Indian Patent Scenario</i> • <i>Sector specific situation</i> • <i>Pharmaceuticals</i> • <i>Agri-Biotech companies</i> • <i>Solar based technologies/components manufacturers</i> • <i>Wind Power technologies/components manufacturers</i> • <i>Key Issues and Challenges</i> • <i>Compulsory Licence</i> • <i>Issues in Patent Administration</i> • <i>Patentability Criteria</i> • <i>Patent validity</i> • <i>Petty Patents or Utility Model of Patents</i> • <i>Awareness and capacity of stakeholders</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
5.	<p>Anil B. Suraj: <i>Transfer of Technology in India: Interface of IPRS and Competition Policy;</i> 8 IJLT (2012) 25</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Multilateral Framework</i> • <i>Specific Forms of Transfer of Technology in the Indian Context</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Pointers on Select Issues of IPRs and Competition</i> 	
6.	<p>Fareed Ahmad Rafiqi1 & Iftikhar Hussian Bhat <i>Copyright Protection in Digital Environment: Emerging Issues</i>; International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention; Volume 2 Issue 4 April. 2013 PP.06-15</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Right of Reproduction</i> • <i>The Right of Communication to the Public</i> • <i>Legal Protection of Technological Measures</i> • <i>Legal Protection of Rights Management Information</i> • <i>Limitations and Exceptions</i> • <i>Copyright Enforcement in Digital Environment</i> • <i>Copyright In Digital Media---Position Under Indian Law</i> • <i>Copyright protection: an emerging trend</i> • <i>Need for international harmonization</i> • <i>Conclusion and suggestions</i> 	
7.	<p>J. Sai Deepak; <i>Novartis Decision of the Indian Supreme Court: A Pill By Any Other Name would Treat as Neat</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Facts relating to the Supreme Court Decision</i> • <i>Interpretation of Inventive Step</i> • <i>Relationship between section 2(1) (j) and section 3: Intention and Patentability</i> • <i>Standard of Disclosure, Enablement and Anticipation</i> • <i>What is Enhanced Efficacy?</i> • <i>Position of Incremental Innovation and working of Patented Invention</i> • <i>Is section 3(d) TRIPS- complaint?</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
<p><i>Session 4: India's IP-related Treaty Obligations</i></p>		
1.	<p>Mahima Puri & Anjali Varma, <i>Intellectual property conventions and Indian law, JULY 2005; Working Paper No. 166, Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>National Treatment And Most Favoured Nation</i> • <i>Treatment</i> • <i>Geographical Indications</i> • <i>Copyrights</i> • <i>Trademarks</i> • <i>Industrial Designs</i> • <i>Patents</i> • <i>Semiconductor Integrated Circuits and Layout</i> • <i>Designs</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
2.	<p>Juhi Saraswat and Rekha Chaturvedi <i>Copyright Protection in the Digital Environment: Indian Perspective and International Obligations</i>; Journal of Intellectual Property Rights Vol. 22, November 2017 P 303- 310</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Piracy in the Digital Domain in India</i> • <i>Indian Copyright Law and International Scenario in Digital Domain</i> • <i>Internet Treaties and Agreements</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Circumventions against Misuse of Copyright Digital Material</i> 	
3.	<p>Cabinet approves accession to WIPO Copyright Treaty, 1996 and WIPO Performance and Phonograms Treaty, 1996. Press Information Bureau; Government of India dated 04-July-2018</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Benefits</i> • <i>Background:</i> • <i>Copyright Act, 1957</i> • <i>WIPO Copyright Treaty</i> • <i>WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty</i> 	
4.	<p>Summary of the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) (1996) Source: https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/wct/summary_wct.html</p>	
5.	<p>WPPT Notification No. 92; WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty; Accession by the Republic of India Source: https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/notifications/wppt/treaty_wppt_92.html</p>	
6.	<p>India Accedes to the Paris Convention and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT); Geneva, September 8, 1998; Press Updates UPD/1998/32 Source: https://www.wipo.int/pressroom/en/prdocs/1998/wipo_upd_1998_32.html</p>	
7.	<p>PCT Notification No. 129; Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT); Accession by the Republic of India Source: https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/notifications/pct/treaty_pct_129.html</p>	
<p>Session 5: Challenges of Intellectual Property Rights in Digital Age</p>		
1.	<p>David Bollier, <i>Intellectual Property in the Digital Age</i>; From Key Issues in the Arts and Entertainment Industry, edited by Ben Walmsley (Oxford, England: Good fellows Publishers Ltd., 2011)</p>	
2.	<p>Jane Muir; <i>5 Challenges Inherent With Digital Intellectual Property</i> Source: https://jmuirandassociates.com/5-challenges-inherent-digital-intellectual-property/</p>	
3.	<p>Srividhya Ragavan, <i>India's Attempt to Reconcile Diversity and Intellectual Property Issues</i>; 5 IJIPL (2012) 1</p>	
4.	<p>Sheetal Chopra; <i>Inadequate Protection Against Piracy: Copyright Amendments Inadequate</i> 5IJIPL (2012) 20</p>	
5.	<p>Regional Phase II - Facing the Challenges / Summaries of National Challenges in the Field of Patents; International Scientific Conference on Regional and EU Intellectual Properties Challenges</p>	
6.	<p>Dr. Neda Zdraveva; Phase III and IV - Dealing with the Challenges and Eliminating the Challenges / Summary of Solutions for Challenges in the Field of Trademarks; International Scientific Conference on Regional And EU Intellectual Properties Challenges</p>	
7.	<p>The Digital Dilemma: Intellectual Property in the Information Age, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 951, 972 (2001)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The origins of the digital dilemma</i> • <i>Why is there a problem?</i> • <i>Why the issues are difficult</i> • <i>Issues in access to information</i> • <i>Licensing and technical protection services</i> • <i>Archiving and preservation</i> • <i>Individual access and use</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Mechanisms for protecting intellectual property</i> • <i>Business models</i> • <i>The possibility of an alternative foundation for</i> • <i>Copyright</i> • <i>Guidelines for use in formulating law and public policy</i> 	
Session 6: Jurisdictional Issues in Trademark, Copyright & Patent Disputes		
1.	<p>Justice S. Muralidhar: <i>Jurisdictional Issues in Cyberspace</i>, The Indian Journal of Law and Technology, volume 6, 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>The USA: Minimum Contacts Test</i> • <i>Purposeful Availment Test</i> • <i>The Zippo ‘sliding scale’ test</i> • <i>The Effects Test and ‘Intentional targeting’</i> • <i>Yahoo! Case</i> • <i>Difficulties in the application of the three tests</i> • <i>Other Common Law Jurisdictions: Canada, United Kingdom, Australia, India</i> • <i>Other Types Of Cases: Internet Jurisdiction in Copyright Cases</i> • <i>Enforcement</i> • <i>The Import of Jurisdictional Issues Concerning The Internet</i> 	
2.	<p>Tushar Kanti Saha: <i>Cyberspace- Conflicting Jurisdictional Spheres of Litigating IPR Claims</i>, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol. 15, September 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Jurisdiction in International Law</i> • <i>Personal Jurisdiction</i> • <i>Global Personal Jurisdiction</i> • <i>Jurisdiction in Copyright Infringement on the Internet</i> • <i>Graphics in Electronic Media</i> • <i>Infringement of Trademark and domain Names on Internet</i> • <i>Exemplary cases in Different Jurisdiction: cases in US and UK</i> • <i>Recent cases on Internet jurisdiction: Towards a Solution</i> 	
3.	<p>Praveen Dalal: <i>The Long Arm Jurisdiction of Courts Regarding Copyright Law in India</i>, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, vol. 9, November 2004</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Jurisdictional Issues in India</i> • <i>Cyber space jurisdiction</i> • <i>Online Copyright Issues in India</i> 	
4.	<p>Sumedh Kumar Sethi: <i>Jurisdiction Issues Relating to Violation of IPR in Cyberspace</i>, Creative Connect International Publisher Group, volume 3 – April, 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Approaches to Cyberspace Jurisdiction</i> • <i>Indian territorial jurisdiction over the Out – of – State Defendant</i> 	
5.	<p>Rahat Dhawan: <i>Jurisdictional overlaps/conflicts between Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and Competition Law</i>, International Journal of Law and Legal Jurisprudence Studies volume 3 issue 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Interface between Intellectual property rights and Competition laws</i> • <i>Jurisprudence in respect to overlap of forum in US. Recent trends in US</i> • <i>Jurisprudence in EU</i> • <i>Comparative Study of the US, EU and India</i> 	

6.	Justice Surya Kant, <i>Cyber Space and Jurisdictional Concerns</i> Source: http://ujala.uk.gov.in/files/ch3.pdf	
7.	<p>Judgments: Jurisdictional Issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Indian Performing Right vs. Sanjay Dalia and Anr.</i>(2007)143 DLT 617 • <i>Indian Performing Rights Society Limited v Sanjay Dalia and another (2015) 10 SCC 161</i> • <i>Expfar SA & Anr vs. Eupharma Laboratories Ltd.</i> (2004) 3 SCC 688 • <i>Morgan Stanley Mutual Fund vs. Kartick Das</i> (1994) 4 SCC 225 • <i>Caterpillar Inc. vs. Kailash Nichani And Ors</i> (2002) 97 DLT 304 • <i>Smithkline Beechan Plg vs. Sunil Singhi</i> (2001)91 DLT 461 • <i>S.S. Products of India vs. Star Plast</i> (2001) 60 DRJ 347 • <i>Brooke Bond India Limited vs. Balaji Tea (India) Pvt. Ltd.</i> (1993) 2 MLJ 132 	
Session 7: Resolving Intellectual Property Disputes via. Commercial Courts and ADRs		
1.	Justice Louis Harms, <i>Resolving Intellectual Property Disputes via Commercial Courts and ADR, Nov. 2017</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>Different perspectives</i> • <i>South Africa as a Typical Example</i> • <i>Does IP Enforcement require a single dedicated</i> • <i>Court structure?</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
2.	Marc Jonas Block, <i>The benefits of Alternative Dispute Resolution for International Commercial and Intellectual Property Disputes, The Digital Journal of Rutgers School of Law, Vol. 44, 2016-17</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What is Alternative Dispute Resolution?</i> • <i>Alternative Dispute Resolution & International Commercial and Intellectual Property Disputes</i> • <i>Analysis of Benefits & Risks Favor Alternative</i> • <i>Dispute Resolution of International Matters</i> • <i>International Centre for Dispute Resolution of the</i> • <i>American Arbitration Association</i> • <i>JAMS International</i> • <i>Permanent Court of Arbitration</i> • <i>World Intellectual Property organization (WIPO)</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
3.	Bisman Kaur & Surendra Sharma, <i>What the new commercial Courts mean for IP owners, World Intellectual Property Report, Vol. 30, April 2016</i>	
4.	Jolly, A and Philpott, J, <i>Efficient alternative dispute resolution (ADR) for intellectual property disputes</i> , The Handbook of European Intellectual Property Management, 2nd ed, Kogan Page, London, (eds) (2009). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The challenges of enforcing IPRs</i> • <i>Why litigation may not always be the ideal means to solve an IP dispute</i> • <i>What is ADR?</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What are the benefits of ADR for solving IP disputes?</i> 	
5.	<p>Francis Gurry, <i>Alternate Dispute Resolution in Intellectual Property Disputes, 2 Int'l Intell. Prop.L. & Pol'y 21-1, 21-6 (1998)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>The available range of ADR procedures</i> 	
Session 8: Role of the Judiciary in effective Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights		
1.	<p>Justice Louis Harms, <i>Role of the judiciary in the enforcement of intellectual property rights, Nov. 2017</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Introduction</i> • <i>The role of Judiciary in the enforcement of IP Rights</i> • <i>Litigations Costs</i> • <i>Managing IP litigation</i> • <i>Legal remedies</i> • <i>Conclusion</i> 	
2.	<p>Justice R.K. Abichandani: <i>Role of Judiciary in the Effective Protection of Intellectual Property Right</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Equality – A Constitutional Promise</i> • <i>Constitutional directive to respect Treaty obligations</i> • <i>Developed Judicial System</i> • <i>Courts' Powers under the present Intellectual Property Laws</i> • <i>Statutory Interpretation and Treaties</i> • <i>Courts' Discretionary Powers and Treaties</i> • <i>Judicial review of Administrative and Quasi-Judicial orders</i> • <i>Injunctions and Damages</i> 	
3.	<p>Justice R.C. Lahoti: <i>Role of Judiciary in IPR Development and Adjudication</i>, (2004) 8 SCC (J) 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Changes in IPR Laws</i> • <i>Economic importance of IPR</i> • <i>Duty of the courts: Due regard to International Law</i> • <i>Need for a Balanced Approach</i> 	
4.	<p>Justice Rajesh Tandon: <i>Role of Judiciary in IPR Development and Adjudication</i>, (2004) 8 SCC (J) 9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights</i> 	
5.	<p>Shahid Ali Khan, <i>Socio-economic benefits of Intellectual Property Protection in Developing Countries, World Intellectual Property Organization, 2000.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Effective enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights</i> 	
	<p>Judgments on Intellectual Property Rights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Limited v. Manu Kosuri And Another</i>, 2001 (58) DRJ 241 • <i>Wipro Cyprus Private Limited v. Zeetel Electronics</i>, 2010 (44) PTC 307 (Mad) • <i>Amar Nath Sehgal v. Union of India</i>, 2005 (30) PTC 253 • <i>Satyam Infoway Ltd. v. Sifynet Solutions Pvt. Ltd.</i>, AIR 2004 SC 3540 • <i>Gramophone Co. Of India Ltd v. Mars Recording Pvt. Ltd. & Another</i> (2002) 2 SCC103 • <i>John Richard Brady & Others. v. Chemical Process Equipment Pvt. Ltd</i>, AIR 1987 Delhi 372 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Bayer Corporation v. Union of India</i>; 162(2009) DLT 371• <i>Merck Sharp & Dohme Corporation & Ors. v. Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Ltd.</i> (2015) 223 DLT 454• <i>Symed Labs Ltd. v. Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Ltd.</i>; 2015 SCC online Del 6745• <i>Sukesh Behl v. Koninklijke Phillips Electronics</i> 2016 (228) DLT 39• <i>Dr. Aloys Wobben and Anr. v. Yogesh Mehra and Ors.</i> 2014 (15) SCC 360• <i>Dr. Snehlata C Gupte v. Union Of India & Ors</i> 2010 SCC online Del 2374• <i>Chemtura Corporation v. Union Of India & Ors</i> 2009 SCC online Del 2634• <i>Bayer v. Union Of India</i>; AIR 2014 Bom 178• <i>The Chancellor, Masters & Scholars of University of Oxford & Ors v. Rameshwari Photocopy Services & Ors.</i> (2016) 253 DLT 409• <i>N.R. Dongre and Ors. v. Whirlpool Corpn. and Anr.</i> (1996) 5 SCC 714• <i>Time Incorporated v. Lokesh Srivastava and Anr.</i> (2005) 116 DLT 599• <i>Yahoo! Inc. v. Sanjay V. Shah and Ors.</i> (2006) 128 DLT 488• <i>Sholay Media and Entertainment Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. v. Parag Sanghavi and Ors;</i> (2015) 223 DLT 152• <i>American Express Bank Ltd. v Ms. PriyaPuri</i> (2006) 3 LLJ 540• <i>Eastern Book Company and Ors. v. D.B. Modak and Ors. and Mr. Navin J. Desai and Anr.</i> 101(2002) DLT 205• <i>Novartis v. Union of India & Others</i>, (2013) 6 SCC 1• <i>Horlicks Limited And Ors. vs Kartick Sadhukan, Delhi High Court</i> 2002 (25) PTC 126 Del	
---	--

Note: The cases in the Reference Material is abridged in order to highlight some issues pertinent for discussion in the programme. The full judgments are provided in the CD for conclusive opinion.