

National Judicial Academy

P-1146: East Zone-I: Regional Conference on Enhancing Excellence of the Judicial

Institutions: Challenges & Opportunities

22nd – 23rd December, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman and Mr. Rahul Ishwar Sonawane, Faculty

No. of Participants : 151

No. of forms received : 112

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	92.79	7.21	-	10. Most required and relevant. 40. Good.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	81.25	18.75	-	10. Relevant and mildly covered. 40. Satisfactory.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	94.64	5.36	-	10. Experience is mostly good. 40. Good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	85.71	14.29	-	10. Opens some new ways to reach the goal. 40. Satisfactory.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	92.66	7.34	-	10. Yes enough. Extremely good. 40. Good.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	77.36	22.64	-	10. Certainly 23. Different aspects of constitution and its relevance. 40. Satisfactory.

b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	63.64	35.35	1.01	10. Not necessarily use the same, it is important to enhance our thought process. 23. Lord Denning "No Judge is yet born who has not made mistakes." 40. Good.
c. Up to date	77.78	22.22	-	10. Yes
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	89.09	10.91	-	10. Nicely elaborated by the Hon'ble Speakers. 20. Citizens of India would be given their rights. 23. Preamble and its basic principles. 40. Good.
e. Related to international legal norms	52.08	41.67	6.25	10. Not necessarily but important to understand. 40. Satisfactory.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	89.09	10.91	-	10. To correlate the objects of programme in step by step manner. 23. The practical aspect of constitution was discussed.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	84.21	15.79	-	10. It is very useful. 23. Healthy debates help to ensure new tools.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	77.91	19.77	2.33	10. To increase jurisprudence.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	95.28	4.72	-	10. Fear & hesitation go away to some extent. 23. It helps to know the mindset of other intellectuals.
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	77.92	20.78	1.30	10. Quite impressive.

				27. No visual presentation was given. 71. Does not arise as no AV method used. 94. Not available.
--	--	--	--	---

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

Parameters

Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	95.24	4.76	96.30	3.70
2	94.12	5.88	96.05	3.95
3	94.12	5.88	94.81	5.19
4	94.79	5.21	93.24	6.76
5	97.78	2.22	95.59	4.41

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	91.67	8.33	-	10. Prepared in a logical way. 23. It will help in our day to day working. 40. Good. 90. NA For me.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	87.74	12.26	-	10. Yes 40. Good.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	87.85	12.15	-	10. Yes 23. The contents are explained in lucid manner. 27. It was so lengthy that I cannot go

				through it in this much time. 40. Good. 90. NA for me.
--	--	--	--	--

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Scope/Norms for appellate jurisdiction; How to work & deal with cases; I.T. Methods.</p> <p>2. 1. To deal with cases keeping in view the constitutional vision for dispensation of justice; 2. To be precise and reasoned in order and judgement should be supported by judicial precedent; 3. To pass orders boldly.</p> <p>3. To take help of constitutional provisions while delivering justice to litigants; The provision of S. 9 of CPC to be effective and derived from constitutional provisions. Reasoned and precise judgments.</p> <p>4. 1. Arrangement; 2. Service at the court reception; 3. The programme material is useful.</p> <p>5. 1. We have opened our eyes towards constitution. 2. By attending this programme, the participants have been benefited to bridge the gap between the Hon'ble court and subordinate judiciary; 3. We removed our hesitation.</p> <p>6. Sensitization towards human values.</p> <p>7. 1. Opportunity to interact with Hon'ble Judges; 2. Exchange of views.</p> <p>8. 1. Court management; 2. Case management; 3. Constitutional vision of justice.</p> <p>9. 1. Court management; 2. Constitutional vision of justice; 3. Case management.</p> <p>10. 1. Relevance of constitutional concept of justice in day-to-day practice. 2. Bridging of gap between subordinate and higher judiciary. 3. Experiences of Hon'ble justices on use of technology in justice delivery system, very useful.</p> <p>11. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Access to justice; 3. Access to justice: Court and case management.</p> <p>12. 1. <i>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice</i>; 2. <i>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies</i>; 3. <i>Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management</i>.</p> <p>13. The most important learning achievement of this programme is that I was inspired to keep in mind the constitution while dispensing justice.</p> <p>14. 1. Effective in promoting confidence and faith in judicial duty; 2. Helpful in managing the court and office work; 3. To face effectively to the challenges in court & office.</p> <p>15. The most important learning achievement of this programme is we can implement them in our day-to-day work, another is the technology that is provided in the courts, if implemented properly can be very much helpful.</p> <p>16. 1. Constitution vis a vis lower judiciary; 2. Role of judicial academy to become a bridge between High court and the subordinate judges; 3. Use of IT for paperless court.</p>

	<p>17. 1. This programme is helpful and achieved to bridge gap between lower judiciary and high court.</p> <p>18. To have faith in the judicial system and to be positive towards the authority. To use more & more IT methods in dispensation of justice.</p> <p>19. 1. Having conducive approach while dealing with our colleagues; 2. Synergerical relations between judicial officers; 3. Feeling of human values within the jurisdiction.</p> <p>20. 1. Application of information technology in courts; 2. To impart justice in any situation.</p> <p>21. Constitutional provisions shall be kept in mind while hearing of cases.</p> <p>22. Use of preamble; use of information technology; Use of information technology in access of justice.</p> <p>23. Role of constitution during trial; Information & communication technology “People less court”; Court & case management.</p> <p>24. Constitutional vision how relevant to lower judiciary.</p> <p>25. 1. Importance of constitution in trial courts; 2. Importance of information technology in trial courts; 3. Court and case management.</p> <p>26. Got information on how our court work is related with the constitutional vision of justice; 2. How a system can be made to build synergies between the Hon’ble High Court and District Judiciary; 3. How to use technology as a tool effectively in court work.</p> <p>27. Interaction with officers from different states. Gained knowledge; Got acquainted with new methodology in court work relating to application of information technology.</p> <p>28. 1. Interaction with Hon’ble judges of Supreme Court; 2. Sharing experiences with them; 3. Suggestions by them.</p> <p>29. 1. Interaction with the Hon’ble judges of Hon’ble Apex and High courts; 2. Sharing experiences with them; 3. Suggestions by them.</p> <p>30. 1. Implementation of constitutional provisions in delivery of justice; 2. Effective compliance of provisions of CPC; 3. Reasoned and precise judgements and order writings.</p> <p>31. Use of preamble, social order, securing justice.</p> <p>32. How to work.</p> <p>33. 1. Good discussion on vision of constitution; 2. Social justice discourse; 3. ICT.</p> <p>34. 1. Got broader outlook; 2. More innovation of thought persons; 3. More application of provisions of law.</p> <p>35. Art 21, 39A, 22 of Constitution of India, Sec. 300 CrPC.</p> <p>36. 1. Got insights into the constitutional vision of justice; 2. Synergies between high court and district judiciary; 3. Insights into norms of appellate jurisdiction.</p> <p>37. Strengthened my knowledge to think about new ideas useful for my work.</p> <p>38. Consideration of constitutional rights and preamble while holding the court and passing orders to protect constitutional rights of individuals.</p>
--	---

	<p>39. So useful for daily judicial work.</p> <p>40. 1. We have built synergy and a bridge between different states; 2. We have learnt vision of justice and we have to read the preamble for access to justice.</p> <p>41. Time management; Discipline; Debates.</p> <p>42. 1. Court management; 2. Information and communication technology in court.</p> <p>43. 1. To deal with the cases keeping in view the constitutional vision for dispensation of justice; 2. To be precise in order and judgement and should be reasoned supported by judicial precedents; 3. To pass order boldly but not to take risks in passing orders.</p> <p>44. 1. Cases should be dealt with on appreciation of constitutional vision for dispensation of justice; 2. To be bold in passing order & judgement with constitutional vision; 3. To pass reasoned orders supported by precedents.</p> <p>45. Useful at judicial work, helpful to improve work.</p> <p>46. Exercising constitutional views in orders.</p> <p>47. 1. Fearless atmosphere in judgeship; 2. Honesty in court; 3. Delivering justice in the ambit of the constitution while following constitutional mandates.</p> <p>48. 1. Synchronizing constitutional vision during trial; 2. Appellate court restrictions and giving reasoning first and then support it with judicial precedents, not merely follow them; 3. Maximum use of IT.</p> <p>49. 1. Role of trial judges in maintaining and preserving constitutional values; 2. Use of information and communication technology in courts; 3. Court and case management in speedy disposal of cases.</p> <p>50. Developing knowledge of law; information and communication technology.</p> <p>51. 1. Detailed analysis of article 21 and day to day work in magistrate court; 2. Good knowledge of electronic communication system for court enhancement; 3. Use of information technology by presentation.</p> <p>52. How to access justice.</p> <p>53. 1. Learnt constitutional vision of justice; 2. Case management & court management; 3. Use of technology in court and case management.</p> <p>54. Access to justice: case and court management.</p> <p>55. 1. High court and district judiciary building synergies; 2. Constitutional vision of justice; 3. Revisiting appellate review.</p> <p>56. Latest use of technology in dispensing justice; Problems of judicial officers in day to day official work; Motivation in disposal of cases quickly.</p> <p>57. The workshop will certainly help us excel in our judicial work.</p> <p>58. Building a connection between all of judiciary for effective enhancement of judicial skills and institutions.</p> <p>59. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>60. All the sessions of the first day programme was wonderful.</p> <p>61. 1. Taking assistance of state academy in our problems; 2. Writing a more reasoned judgment; 3. To consider provisions of constitution in appropriate cases; 4. Case- guidance through video conference.</p>
--	--

	<p>62. 1. Useful for effective dispensation of justice; 2. Useful for optimum disposal of years old cases; 3. Provided comprehensive management tool for judicial administration.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. Suggestion to approach Director of Judicial Academy for getting rid of problems in order to find out the way. Existence of mutual cooperation between the higher judiciary & subordinate judiciary. Practical approach to be adopted for speedy justice.</p> <p>65. 1. This training programme is very useful while adjudicating cases; 2. This training programme will enable me while delivering judgment/order; 3. This training programme also helps a lot to dispose of year old cases without delay etc.</p> <p>66. 1. Quality enhancement in judgement writing; 2. Judicial manner & ethics; 3. Court management & case flow management in judicial proceeding.</p> <p>67. 1. Application of constitutional provisions by subordinate courts; 2. Expanded vision; 3. Topics of court management & use of IT enhanced knowledge in these fields.</p> <p>68. 1. How to impart justice keeping in mind the constitution. 2. The essentialities of writing a good judgement; 3. Optimum use of ICT in dispensation of justice.</p> <p>69. To work harder, with up to date knowledge and court management.</p> <p>70. Learnt about practical and theoretical aspects while delivering judgements.</p> <p>71. Interaction with Hon'ble Supreme Court judges, gathering in-hand experience of the subjects under deliberation.</p> <p>72. 1. Use of constitutional vision in discharge of our duty as judicial officer; 2. Unnecessary interference in work by appellate courts. 3. Use of information technology.</p> <p>73. 1. This programme aims to address new challenges being faced by officers; 2. Learnt how to work effectively; 3. Learnt management skills.</p> <p>74. Discussion on latest case laws is very much useful. Discussion on theoretical aspect and practical aspect of law made by resource persons is also helpful.</p> <p>75. Expansion of thinking.</p> <p>76. Guidance for writing orders and judgement; Bridging the gap between district courts and inspecting judge; use of technology to expedite trials.</p> <p>77.1. Court and case management for speedy disposal of cases. 2. Role of trial judges in maintaining and preserving constitutional values; 3. Use of information and communication technology in court.</p> <p>78. 1. Sensitization towards human values; 2. Extending synergies. 3. Having conducive approach while dealing with our colleagues.</p> <p>79. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>80. Lower court working issues, Constitutional vision, IT technology, Court management.</p> <p>81. How to write reasoned order using our views and laws.</p> <p>82. 1. Building synergies; 2. Access to Justice; 3. Court and case management.</p> <p>83. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--

	<p>84. 1. Faith should be maintained between high court and lower judiciary; 2. Judicial academy may be the bridge between high court and lower judiciary; NJA should organize programme at high court level yearly.</p> <p>85. 1. Justice with constitutional law; 2. Exposure of law; 3. How to think to do justice.</p> <p>86. 1. Use more and more IT in daily judicial works; 2. To keep in mind constitutional vision in passing order; 3. Give an alternate way through judicial academy for building relationships, faith and confidence.</p> <p>87. 1. Role of trial judges in maintaining and preserving constitutional values; 2. Use of information and communication technology in courts; 3. Court and case management for speedy disposal of cases.</p> <p>88. 1. Case management and disposal; 2. Information technology in courts; 3. Trial court and constitutional values.</p> <p>89. 1. Role of trial judge in application of constitutional law; 2. Role of IT in courts; 3. Role of judges in criminal & civil trial for access to justice.</p> <p>90. 1. How one can use constitutional ethics in the justice delivery system; 2. How can we deliver speedy judgments to the needy people; 3. How can we achieve our target.</p> <p>91. Constitutional vision in justice delivery system.</p> <p>92. 1. Building confidence of the litigants in justice delivery system; 2. Introduction of information technology in our day to day judicial work; 3. Access of justice to all.</p> <p>93. 1. Case management and court management; 2. Information technologies.</p> <p>94. 1. Speaking orders. 2. Interactions with Hon'ble Judges; 3. It increased the thinking process.</p> <p>95. 1. Exchange of views; 2. Interaction with other judicial officers; 3. Came to know ideas from the Hon'ble judges.</p> <p>96. 1. Constitutional vision of justice while discharging duties; 2. How to bridge the communication gap; 3. How to write judgements.</p> <p>97. 1. Origin of provision of CrPC, CPC and other acts from the constitution; 2. Interactive sessions were most beneficial; 3. Concern by Hon'ble Justices shown regarding appellate/ revisional hierarchy.</p> <p>98. 1. Use of constitution in trial courts; 2. Imparting justice keeping in view the constitutional vision of justice; 3. Use of information technology.</p> <p>99. Constitutional vision of framers of law has to be kept in mind while dealing with legal provision be it in criminal laws, civil laws or in any other special laws which are specially designed to protect the interest of the society at large.</p> <p>100. 1. Use of computer & IT in better functioning of courts, Speedy disposal; 2. How to effectively manage pending cases & court work; 3. Interaction with Hon'ble judges.</p> <p>101. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>102.1. Due to this programme we came across the knowledge & working style of participants of different states. 2. Constitution is law of land & how it is useful while dealing with cases in trial court; 3. Case management & importance of IT in trial court.</p>
--	---

	<p>103. 1. Knowledge about constitutional ethics & its implementation; 2. Relationship with judicial officers & communication to Administrative judges; 3. Case management.</p> <p>104. 1. Respect and use of constitution; 2. Judgment should be delivered keeping in view the preamble of the constitution; 3. Social responsibility of a judge.</p> <p>105. To enshrine constitutional mandates in day to day court working in passing the judicial order.</p> <p>106. 1. Benefits of writing concise & precise judgements/order; 2. Importance of constitutional vision in our daily judicial life & work; 3. Use of ICT in daily work.</p> <p>107. Use of constitutional provisions in judicial work.</p> <p>108. Relevance of constitutional provisions in judicial work.</p> <p>109. The constitutional vision and its application by lower court judges and attention drawn to provisions of law related to the same.</p> <p>110. It broadened my knowledge; It brought clarity in vision; 3. It will improve performance.</p> <p>111. 1. Implementation of constitutional principles in trial court proceedings; 2. Understanding the role of IT; 3. Interactive sessions solved queries.</p> <p>112. Session 5: Access to justice: Court and Case Management.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference.</p> <p>2. All the parts of the programme were equally useful and relevant.</p> <p>3. Interaction- Access to justice by using communication/information technologies.</p> <p>4. All programmes are most useful.</p> <p>5. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies- benefited me a lot in achieving the goal.</p> <p>6. Problems related to trial court judges.</p> <p>7. Speech of Justice Sinha.</p> <p>8. Court management.</p> <p>9. Court management- because I am a new civil judge it is very necessary.</p> <p>10. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice- for involvement & relevance of constitution to apply in delivering justice & also sharing of experiences with the judicial officers of other states, enhances a good experience.</p> <p>11. Constitutional vision of justice is most useful as it applies to every court.</p> <p>12. “Access to justice by implementation of information and communication technology in court programme is most useful because it will help to create efficiency of the judge by more disposal of cases by which people have access to justice in real sense.</p> <p>13. I found use of information and communication technology most useful for me for speedy disposal of case.</p> <p>14. Especially the 1st session by Hon’ble Justice Navin Sinha’s address on constitutional vision of justice. Session by Hon’ble A.K. Ganguly and Hon’ble Justice Adarsh Kumar Goel.</p>

	<p>15. The practical problems that are faced by lower judiciary and their solutions.</p> <p>16. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice- because the functions of the lower judiciary are based upon the provisions of our constitution. The constitution guides us to deal with the matters in both civil and criminal courts.</p> <p>17. I found all the parts of this programme mostly useful. Why? because these enable me while disposing off cases.</p> <p>18. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; 2. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies- were most useful as through interactions we learnt about the applicability of provisions of constitution while dealing with cases at subordinate level.</p> <p>19. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.</p> <p>20. Involvement of constitution in imparting justice in courts.</p> <p>21. Information and communication technology in courts by video conferencing.</p> <p>22. Use of information technology in access to justice; Use of information technology will help in hearing of cases and court proceedings will be more effective; helps in case management.</p> <p>23. Access to justice- Information & communication technology in court; Access to justice & access to court.</p> <p>24. High court & district judiciary building synergies- It will strengthen the morale of judicial officers.</p> <p>25. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.</p> <p>26. All the sessions were very informative and useful and coming from such resource person was a treat; First day sessions were interactive so I got a picture of what is going on in other states judiciary, last session was also very examining.</p> <p>27. All session of day one. I found very useful because they were highly interactive in nature. It put the officer of lower judiciary like me at ease for asking/ submitting before the Hon'ble judges.</p> <p>28. How to write orders and judgements.</p> <p>29. Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management – because it was useful for court work.</p> <p>30. Interactions.</p> <p>31. Information and communication technology in courts. Use of video conferencing.</p> <p>32. All parts.</p> <p>33. Information communication system in court procedure.</p> <p>34. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; 2. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies- discussion on constitution for lower judiciary.</p> <p>35. Court management, case management were very useful.</p> <p>36. Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference.</p> <p>37. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---

	<p>38. All the sessions, particularly first day sessions addressed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Naveen Sinha.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>40. Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; Court & case management.</p> <p>41. 1. Lecture; 2. Question Answers; 3. Debates</p> <p>42. Court and case management.</p> <p>43. All the sessions were equally useful and relevant.</p> <p>44. In my view each and every part of the programme was equally appreciated and useful equally too.</p> <p>45. Views presented by resource person.</p> <p>46. Views presented by the speaker; Question and answer session which gave us the solution for the problems we face in daily work.</p> <p>47. Constitutional vision.</p> <p>48. Access to justice and information and communication technology; Need of hour. All misdeeds and crime take shape through technology. To overcome this judicial officers to be more IT friendly.</p> <p>49. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management.</p> <p>50. Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts- because it is very useful to us and our court work & litigation.</p> <p>51. Presentations by electronic mode and CIS details regarding disposal of cases.</p> <p>52. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.</p> <p>53. Constitutional vision of justice.</p> <p>54. Constitutional vision of justice, access to justice.</p> <p>55. Revisiting norms for appellate review.</p> <p>56. Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies; Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management.</p> <p>57. Good interactive sessions throughout the conference.</p> <p>58. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.</p> <p>59. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>60. Making the judicial officers put their problems before the Hon'ble judges was very useful.</p> <p>61. All the 3 above. The above 3's usage would make a judicial officer more rounded.</p> <p>62. Constitutional vision of justice is useful and relevant to my daily court work.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. All sessions of 1st day were very useful. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies;</p>
--	--

Session 3: Revisiting Norms for Appellate Review: Consequence of Frequent and Excessive Appellate Interference- of 1st day under the guidance of Hon'ble Justice Naveen Sinha were most useful particularly interactive sessions.

65. The last **Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management-** i.e. IT in courts most useful for smooth judicial work.

66. As indicated in point no. 1 in the above column a.

67. Interactive session as it gave an opportunity to express views and solutions of problems suggested by Hon'ble speakers.

68. Topics in all the sessions were useful and very vital for us.

69. Interactive sessions, which clear doubts and boost our energy to work harder to achieve goals of effective and timely justice.

70. Every part of the programme.

71. The subject of constitutional vision of justice and ICT in court work.

72. All sessions were useful.

73. All the classes taken by Justice Navin Sinha and Justice Raghuram were outstanding; Both tried to place themselves as junior officers and advanced the difficulties and ways to dispense justice.

74. The useful opportunity given to the participants to share problems and their experience.

75. Constitutional vision of justice is a useful programme because this gives us opportunity to discharge duty as per the constitution.

76. Deliberations on bridging the gap between the inspecting judge and district courts, to get expert guidance to clear the doubts.

77. 1. **Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice**; 2. **Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts** and **Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management**.

78. **Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies**; -was most useful as it focused on much discussed issue of dealing with problems as trial court judges.

79. Participant did not comment.

80. Building synergies.

81. Participant did not comment.

82. Access to Justice.

83. Participant did not comment.

84. Interaction with honorable justices.

85. **Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice**.

86. **Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies**.

87. 1. **Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice**; 2. **Session 4: Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts** and **Session 5: Access to Justice: Court and Case Management**.

88. 1. Constitutional vision and trial courts; 2. Access to justice.

89. 1. Access to justice; 2. Use of technology in trial courts.

	<p>90. Most of the programme was useful.</p> <p>91. Sessions on building synergies was most useful because this session had more interactions.</p> <p>92. Access to justice to all because even poor is able to avail justice.</p> <p>93. Case management & court management.</p> <p>94. Access to justice, Communication and IT with court and its management.</p> <p>95. Role of constitution in justice delivery system.</p> <p>96. Building synergies.</p> <p>97. 1. Role of constitution for trial court judges; 2. Synergy between higher judiciary & district judiciary.</p> <p>98. Building synergies (High Court and District Judiciary); As it gave an opportunity to have interaction in very healthy and warm atmosphere.</p> <p>99. The programme materials supplied because it has been conceptualized as per the requirement of judicial officers in dealing with daily court proceedings.</p> <p>100. All the sessions were very useful.</p> <p>101. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>102. First part was most useful with respect to constitutional vision while trying cases in trial court.</p> <p>103. High court & District court judiciary- Building Synergies.</p> <p>104. All Sessions.</p> <p>105. Constitutional vision of justice.</p> <p>106. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice- was most useful. This was because we came to know the constitutional vision.</p> <p>107. Yes, it was useful in all parts.</p> <p>108. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>109. All the sessions are equally important and useful. Personal experience shared by the resource persons were very motivating.</p> <p>110. I found each part useful.</p> <p>111. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice- was extremely useful as it enabled us to think in the light of constitution and its norms while deciding a case.</p> <p>112. Constitutional vision of justice.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. All the programme was useful, rather I can say same were equally useful.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. No such programme.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. No part.</p> <p>8. None.</p>

9. None.
10. NA.
11. No suggestions.
12. Participant did not comment.
13. No suggestion for this as all the session were highly useful.
14. No, part of the programme is least useful but all are useful.
15. The technology to be used in the courts are not possible to make them effective in far off places.
16. No.
17. I found no such parts.
18. Entire programme was useful.
19. Participant did not comment.
20. Participant did not comment.
21. Participant did not comment.
22. Participant did not comment.
23. Participant did not comment.
24. No one.
25. Every part of the programme was useful.
26. Participant did not comment.
27. Participant did not comment.
28. None.
29. None.
30. Participant did not comment.
31. Participant did not comment.
32. Participant did not comment.
33. All parts of the programme are useful.
34. All sessions were very useful.
35. No.
36. None.
37. Participant did not comment.
38. None.
39. Participant did not comment.
40. No part was least useful; All are fruitful.
41. Court & case management.
42. Court and case management.
43. All the programme are equally useful.
44. Each & every programme appears to be much useful.

45. Participant did not comment.
46. Participant did not comment.
47. Participant did not comment.
48. Building synergies. No straight forward formula can be derived to bridge the gap between judiciary at lower level and Hon'ble court.
49. No programme was least useful every programme was of equal importance.
50. Court & case management.
51. Nothing.
52. Participant did not comment.
53. Participant did not comment.
54. Participant did not comment.
55. Participant did not comment.
56. Participant did not comment.
57. None.
58. Participant did not comment.
59. Participant did not comment.
60. Participant did not comment.
61. Participant did not comment.
62. All the subject matters of the programme are useful and relevant to my daily court work.
63. Participant did not comment.
64. None. .
65. NA.
66. NA.
67. Participant did not comment.
68. NA.
69. Participant did not comment.
70. None.
71. All subjects were useful.
72. Participant did not comment.
73. No such occasion arose.
74. Nil
75. None.
76. Participant did not comment.
77. No programme was least useful. Every programme was of equal importance.
78. Participant did not comment.
79. Participant did not comment.

	<p>80. NA.</p> <p>81. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>82. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>83. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>84. Nothing.</p> <p>85. <i>Session 2: High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.</i></p> <p>86. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>87. No programme was least useful. Every programme was of equal importance.</p> <p>88. No programme was least useful.</p> <p>89. All the programmes were useful.</p> <p>90. No part of the programme was least useful.</p> <p>91. Every part was useful.</p> <p>92. NA.</p> <p>93. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>94. None.</p> <p>95. No any.</p> <p>96. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>97. None.</p> <p>98. No such.</p> <p>99. None, because all were relevant.</p> <p>100. None.</p> <p>101. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>102. Nothing.</p> <p>103. Revisiting norms for appellate review.</p> <p>104. None.</p> <p>105. Not any, as whole sessions were effective & useful.</p> <p>106. All parts of the programme was highly useful.</p> <p>107. All parts are useful.</p> <p>108. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>109. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>110. None.</p> <p>111. I could not find any sessions that was not useful.</p> <p>112. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Training programme and conferences should be held at regular intervals with greater focus on procedural and practical aspects of the justice delivery system for speedy and quality disposal.</p>

<p>make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. This type of conference should be organized on short interval so views can be exchanged. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. No. 8. Use some audio visual aids. 9. Use some audio visual aids. 10. Every officer must have to attend such programme in a scheduled space of time, meaning the opportunity of such good experience be floated to all the judicial officers of Jharkhand. 11. Training on new developments and IT is required. 12. By conducting more programmes like this frequently. 13. NJA may provide regularly all relevant materials to all state judicial academy to be provided to each judicial officer. 14. All the arrangements were very good. However, group discussions and address by participants may make the programme more effective on any topic. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. All the training sessions may be uploaded on Youtube, so that all the judicial officer may be benefited throughout India. 17. No suggestion. 18. To make programme more and more interactive. 19. Training for all judicial system. 20. These type of programmes should be organized twice in a year. 21. More regional conferences at Ranchi. 22. More programme may be organized. 23. Participant did not comment. 24. This type of programme must be organized from time to time. 25. Participant did not comment. 26. Since it was only my first opportunity to attend a conference organized by the NJA I found it very effective; Though it could have been better if the study materials were supplied beforehand. 27. In my humble opinion the study material provide by the NJA should have been supplied to the participant at least a week before the conference. 28. To organize this type of regional conference and make it available to all judicial officers on email whether participating or not. 29. Suggestion- Programmes may be made more interactive for the participants. 30. Such conferences should be organized after short intervals so that views could be exchanged.
---	---

	<p>31. More regional conferences may be organized in different states, by this everyone gets new working ideas.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. Frequent organization of more NJA sponsored programmes for updating judicial officers.</p> <p>34. More of interactive sessions with all the participants.</p> <p>35. No.</p> <p>36. NJA already is doing a yeoman service and has contributed to a great extent in enhancing excellence of the judicial institutions and building synergies.</p> <p>37. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>38. Keep it up and more interaction regarding enhancing the infrastructure to meet out the use of I.T. in proper sense.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>40. Some more states should be in these conferences which provide different experiences.</p> <p>41. No. suggestion. It's fruitful.</p> <p>42. Nil.</p> <p>43. Training programme and conferences should be held regularly.</p> <p>44. It's a very good programme. NJA to continue it at regular interval of time.</p> <p>45. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>46. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>47. NJA may organize a programme for only PDJ and train them regarding entry in ACR of the subordinate judicial officers.</p> <p>48. In regional conferences include at least one session on recent laws /cyber laws.</p> <p>49. The programme is perfect. No suggestion required.</p> <p>50. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>51. Firstly organizing this type of regional conference after regular interval secondly; Topics related with cyber laws & negotiable instrument act may be added.</p> <p>52. If possible, arrange yoga, meditation stress management sessions for participants.</p> <p>53. Organizing more programme and training sessions on use of technology in court and case management.</p> <p>54. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>55. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>56. These types of conference shall be held within very short span of time so that judicial officers get benefitted.</p> <p>57. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>58. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>59. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--

	<p>60. It was my first participation. It must be organized every year.</p> <p>61. This programme was really helpful as it suggested some innovative thoughts. Participation in such programme will make judicial officers thoughts more enriched. I wish it all the success. Awaiting even more calls from NJA in such programme.</p> <p>62. There should be a session for group discussion amongst the participants.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. More vibrant interactions.</p> <p>65. NA.</p> <p>66. No.</p> <p>67. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>68. Training is a continuous process. So this type of training programme be organized at regular intervals and mostly practical topics be included in this programme.</p> <p>69. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>70. Such programme must be held at regular intervals.</p> <p>71. All arrangements were effectively made and executed.</p> <p>72. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>73. The fear psychosis is increasing in the minds of officers while dispensing justice. Each day they are dragged by the pressure of work, fear of senior officers, maintaining a good AR/CCR, managing advocates who are always threatening strikes etc. and the list goes on. In the present scenario how an officer can save his job and dispense justice. A training programme in this field should be arranged by NJA.</p> <p>74. There should be group discussion on each day and one from each group should be allowed for presentation.</p> <p>75. NJA may provide live material and programme on this subject.</p> <p>76. Conferences on case management.</p> <p>77. The programme is perfect. No suggestion required.</p> <p>78. A conference for all stakeholders including – Bench, Bar, Police, Doctors and SFSL/CFSL may be organized to deal with criminal matters.</p> <p>79. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>80. NA.</p> <p>81. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>82. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>83. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>84. Make programme yearly at each high court.</p> <p>85. Five days programme in each year.</p> <p>86. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>87. The programme is perfect. No suggestion required.</p>
--	---

	<p>88. Perfect program.</p> <p>89. Duration of course was very short. Request to make programme for a week.</p> <p>90. Make the judges free from fear psychosis.</p> <p>91. No suggestion in my view is required.</p> <p>92. No.</p> <p>93. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>94. More and more interaction programmes with judges of Hon'ble High Court in presence of Hon'ble Judges of the Supreme Court with a view to develop communication with subordinate judiciary.</p> <p>95. Not any.</p> <p>96. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>97. At least three days conference would be better for the purposes of incorporating wider range of subjects.</p> <p>98. We should be given an opportunity to visit and get exposure of National Judicial Academy for at least 7 days.</p> <p>99. The target groups must be picked up in regular by way of giving opportunities to all and thereafter rotation wise.</p> <p>100. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>101. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>102. Facilities are only up to the Academy level. This is high time to spread it up to district court level. Which would be beneficial in court work while imparting justice.</p> <p>103. All programmes are good, have no comment.</p> <p>104. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>105. Such programme may be repeated on yearly basis with large number of participants.</p> <p>106. Group discussions may be incorporated.</p> <p>107. NJA is our pride.</p> <p>108. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>109. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>110. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>111. Programme are very usefully designed and leave us enriched.</p> <p>112. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---