

**NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY**  
**P-1099: Workshop for Additional District Judges**  
4<sup>th</sup> – 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2018

**Programme Coordinator** : Ms. Nitika Jain, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal  
**No. of Participants** : 33  
**No. of forms received** : 33

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>93.94</b>	<b>6.06</b>	-	27. More programme should be given.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>90.91</b>	<b>9.09</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>90.91</b>	<b>9.09</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>87.88</b>	<b>9.09</b>	<b>3.03</b>	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>78.79</b>	<b>21.21</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>87.88</b>	<b>12.12</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-

c. Up to date	<b>90.32</b>	<b>9.68</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>74.19</b>	<b>25.81</b>	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>27.59</b>	<b>65.52</b>	<b>6.90</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>78.79</b>	<b>21.21</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	<b>56.25</b>	<b>40.63</b>	<b>3.13</b>	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>		-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>78.13</b>	<b>21.88</b>		-
(iv) Simulation Exercises Were valuable	<b>54.84</b>	<b>41.94</b>	<b>3.23</b>	-
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>56.25</b>	<b>40.63</b>	<b>3.13</b>	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>
2	<b>86.21</b>	<b>13.79</b>	<b>89.47</b>	<b>10.53</b>
3	<b>81.48</b>	<b>18.52</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>
4	<b>85.19</b>	<b>14.81</b>	<b>94.74</b>	<b>5.26</b>
5	<b>85.19</b>	<b>14.81</b>	<b>94.44</b>	<b>5.56</b>
6	<b>92.86</b>	<b>7.14</b>	<b>89.47</b>	<b>10.53</b>
7	<b>85.19</b>	<b>14.81</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>
8	<b>89.29</b>	<b>10.71</b>	<b>94.44</b>	<b>5.56</b>

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	90.91	9.09	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	87.50	12.50	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	93.75	6.25	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Brought clarity in many subjects; 2. Up to date knowledge received in many subject; 3. Interactions very helpful.</p> <p>2. All of the programme.</p> <p>3. 1. Various cyber-crimes which are being committed now a days; 2. How to take electronic evidence; 3. ADR programmes in other states.</p> <p>4. 1. Sentencing, issues; 2. Cyber-crime and; 3. Civil justice administration.</p> <p>5. Scope of ADR; Jurisdictional problems relating to Arbitration &amp; Conciliation Act; How relating to cyber-crime.</p> <p>6. Many legal matters &amp; present decisions were clarified.</p> <p>7. Understanding in appeal &amp; revision matter, cyber law understanding/threats and court management.</p> <p>8. This was useful and excellent and undersigned has gained effective knowledge for just disposal of cases.</p> <p>9. 1. Sentencing; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. Fair sessions trial.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Cyber-crime.</p> <p>12. Got good knowledge on sentencing, appeal and review; Fair sessions trial. There should be discussion of how to evaluate the electronic evidences, its drawbacks and the grounds the said evidence is not admissible.</p> <p>13. <b>Session 1:</b> Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts; <b>Session 2:</b> Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges; <b>Session 3:</b> Fair Sessions Trial: Best practices.</p>

	<p>14. 1. Electronic evidence; 2. Sentencing issues &amp; challenge; 3. Criminal justice administration.</p> <p>15. 1. About fair trial; 2. Cyber-crime law; 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>16. All subjects were useful for daily court management and working.</p> <p>17. About laws relating to cyber-crimes, electronic evidence appellate and revision jurisdiction civil and criminal.</p> <p>18. 1. Sentencing guidelines; 2. Cyber space issues- E-commerce; 3. Scope of ADR.</p> <p>19. I learnt a lot from this programme.</p> <p>20. Enlightened regarding grey legal area of our day to day working.</p> <p>21. About criminal trial.</p> <p>22. All sessions good and fruitful.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Recent rulings on various subject of day to day work were supplied; purposive interpretation of the statute and literal interpretation were explained.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. 1. Adequate chance of interaction with the faculty members; 2. To clear the doubts.</p> <p>27. Sentencing will improve, cyber law knowledge was enhanced; Administrative justice will improve.</p> <p>28. 1. Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in subordinate court &amp; court &amp; case management: Role of judge; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. Criminal justice administration appellate &amp; revision jurisdiction.</p> <p>29. 1. Learned principles of sentencing; 2. Got the importance of role of judge to refer cases for mediation; 3. Got deep insight into the subjects discussed.</p> <p>30. Cyber-crimes topics discussion on sentencing.</p> <p>31. 1. Useful to discharge judicial work more efficiently; 2. Updated our required knowledge in the legal area; 3. Acquire somewhat better knowledge about cyber-crimes.</p> <p>32. <b>Session 3:</b> Fair Sessions Trial: Best practices; <b>Session 6:</b> Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>33. Cyber-crimes; Fair Trial; ADR.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. <b>Session 7:</b> Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; <b>Session 8:</b> Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges – got up to date knowledge on the exercise of</p>

appellate jurisdictions by the District or Additional District Judges. Interactions were also so helpful.

2. All Part.
3. I found cyber laws topic most useful because it is a challenging new area.
4. Civil justice administration, as matter were discussed consistently.
5. Session on cyber-crimes; This programme was eye opener to handle and use of the iPhone & other digital gadgets.
6. **Session 6:** Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; **Session 7:** Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; **Session 8:** Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges – are most useful because it is directly related to my work (Judicial) at present.
7. **Session 7:** Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; **Session 8:** Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.
8. Last part as under signed is dealing with the revision and appeals.
9. Sentencing, as it is most important aspect of the criminal justice system.
10. Participant did not comment.
11. **Session 4:** Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks; **Session 5:** Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.
12. **Session 6:** Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; **Session 7:** Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; **Session 8:** Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.
13. **Session 4:** Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks; **Session 5:** Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; **Session 6:** Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.
14. Laws relating to cyber-crimes & electronic evidence.
15. Cyber-crime & laws relating to it.
16. **Session 3:** Fair Sessions Trial: Best practices; **Session 6:** Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; **Session 7:** Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; **Session 8:** Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges- Those were useful for court proceedings.
17. Discussions in individual sessions.
18. Session on cyber laws; Issues of jurisdiction in civil matters is directly relevant to my current jurisdiction.
19. All sessions.

	<p>20. All parts were useful.</p> <p>21. Fair sessions trial; Sentencing.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Law relating to cyber-crimes; sentencing, appellate and revision jurisdiction.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Over all.</p> <p>27. Cyber law, as workload is increasing.</p> <p>28. Electronic evidence, collection which is grey area and enlightened in disposing of cases.</p> <p>29. Sentencing – got the importance of reformation of convicts while passing sentence.</p> <p>30. <b>Session 4:</b> Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks; <b>Session 5:</b> Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; <b>Session 6:</b> Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; <b>Session 7:</b> Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; <b>Session 8:</b> Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges- Clarity on new cyber law. Particularly on Sessions 6 to 8 as they deal not only with theory but also with problems.</p> <p>31. 1. Criminal appeal &amp; revision; 2. Civil appeal &amp; revision – the district judiciary.</p> <p>32. <b>Session 5:</b> Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; <b>Session 7:</b> Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; <b>Session 8:</b> Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>33. Cyber-crimes.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. I did not find any such; all were very relevant and useful.</p> <p>2. Help in work judicial.</p> <p>3. None</p> <p>4. Implementation of ADR system; As it requires more time for discussion.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. All useful, as required.</p> <p>7. No</p> <p>8. The cyber crimes as under signed is not dealing with these cases.</p>

	<p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Court &amp; case management.</p> <p>12. <b>Session 4:</b> Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks; <b>Session 5:</b> Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</p> <p>13. <b>Session 7:</b> Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; <b>Session 8:</b> Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>14. Sentencing issues.</p> <p>15. No.</p> <p>16. All are useful.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Prison reforms.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. No one.</p> <p>21. Cyber-crime.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Court and case management.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. <b>Session 8:</b> Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges- as it is directly related to districts judges and always they touch with CPC and other aspect.</p> <p>29. Nil</p> <p>30. <b>Session 1:</b> Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts; <b>Session 2:</b> Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges; <b>Session 3:</b> Fair Sessions Trial: Best practices. These topics hardly need discussion at such level.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--

	<p>32. <b>Session 1:</b> Challenges in implementation of the ADR system in Subordinate Courts.</p> <p>33. None.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Instead of dealing with so many topics, one topic may be given more time for discussion.</li> <li>2. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>3. There should be a session by motivational speakers.</li> <li>4. Interaction time may be fixed (Time frame).</li> <li>5. Car pool arrangement for local sight-seeing; Prior intimation to participants regarding group photo sessions.</li> <li>6. By imparting training through more law knowing judicial persons.</li> <li>7. Course material be sent to participant in advance.</li> <li>8. If the material be sent in advance to official address, so one should come more prepared.</li> <li>9. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>10. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>11. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>12. Every session should have problem solving exercise.</li> <li>13. Group discussions; Cyber laws topics.</li> <li>14. Classes on medical jurisprudence &amp; related issue.</li> <li>15. Enhance the time of each session.</li> <li>16. Days of training should be extended.</li> <li>17. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>18. Programme more effective &amp; views expressed by participants should be compiled in a report and circulated or at least kept for reference, especially in relation to those legal areas where law has yet not been clearly laid down; Serving the participants better- Please do organize local sight-seeing tours; Please ensure that swimming pool as also the lawn tennis/ sports areas is ready for use, before start of a programme.</li> <li>19. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>20. Every thing is ok.</li> <li>21. Programmes should be arranged for our practical difficulties.</li> </ol>



	<p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Overall it was very good.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Suggestion and willingness from all judicial officer should be called whether they are ready to work on deputation.</p> <p>28. 1. Try to get confirm reservation tickets. 2. Try to open Guest House No. 1 for participants coming during night.</p> <p>29. NJA has done an excellent job in all parts. So no more suggestions.</p> <p>30. Group discussion must be increased suggestions are on paper form.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Training on POCSO Act; SC/ST Act; NDPS Act.</p> <p>33. To give more importance on the parts which are being faced by the trial court during the trial.</p>
--	--