**Programme Coordinator**: Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, Research Fellow, NJA Bhopal.

**No. of Participants**: 21

**No. of forms received**: 19

## I. OVERALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The objective of the Program was clear to me</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work</td>
<td>47.37</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program</td>
<td>73.68</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work</td>
<td>73.68</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences</td>
<td>89.47</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## II. KNOWLEDGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Useful to my work</td>
<td>72.22</td>
<td>27.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Up to date</td>
<td>64.71</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical</td>
<td>73.68</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Case studies were relevant</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful</td>
<td>84.21</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial</td>
<td>73.68</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(To be modified as per the sessions planned)*

### IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective and Useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>84.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>73.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>84.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>84.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>72.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>72.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>77.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The Program material is useful and relevant</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The content was updated.</td>
<td>73.68</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area
c. The content was organized and easy to follow

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68.42</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS**

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme

1. Exposure to new dimension & progress in law.
3. Excellent.
4. Basic principles of IP Act; Trademarks, patent.
5. 1. Introduction to International treaty; 2. Effect of digital advancement on protection of Intellectual Rights; 3. Landmark judgments and analysis thereof.
6. Cleared the basic concepts.
7. A totally new & recent subject which is still evolving; great judicial attention has been put in a simplified manner. What was most interesting was the national as well as international aspect of the IP laws.
9. Exposure to TRIPS vis-à-vis intellectual property rights.
10. Exposure to IPR and latest case law.
11. **Session 1: Intellectual Property Rights: Genesis, Benefits, Importance; Session 3: Challenges of Intellectual Property Rights in Digital Age**
    **Session 4: Emerging Issues on IP regime in India and Globally.**
13. It was a good learning experience on various aspects of the laws involved.
14. To gain knowledge about intellectual property rights in Government policies. Role of judiciary and the challenges in future on the subject.
15. 1. Motivation to read more on developments in IP law; 2. To read more on precedents of foreign courts on the law.

16. 1. IP rights are very important rights not less than property rights; 2. Its genesis has been learnt along with all the relevant Indian law what may not be described in a sentence; 3. Latest cases laws in the field will be of good help when I would be sitting in this jurisdiction.


18. Preparation; 2. Focus on subject; 3. Discipline.


b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why?

1. Case law illustrations.

2. Interaction and explanation by Justice S. Ravindra Bhat.

3. Excellent.

4. All part dated 19.11.2017

5. The entire programme was very useful as intellectual property rights litigation has its own unique challenges and some were adequately highlighted during the programme.

6. Important/ Landmark judgements on IPR- as it will be useful in my work.

7. The presentation from all the Resource Persons & their practical experiences shared during the conference.


10. Genesis of IPR and Indian case law on the subject.


12. All the parts of the programme.

13. The historical background of the laws was an interesting perspective to the subjects.
14. **Session 1:** Intellectual Property Rights: Genesis, Benefits, Importance; **Session 2:** Intellectual Property Rights Regime in India: Government Policies; **Session 3:** Challenges of Intellectual Property Rights in Digital Age and **Session 4:** Emerging Issues on IP regime in India and Globally- As in all these sessions we got an overall idea and knowledge of intellectual property rights.

15. Emerging issues in IP regime in Indian & Globally.

16. **Session 8:** Landmark Judgments on Intellectual Property Rights in India and abroad and their Impact.

17. **Session 8:** Landmark Judgments on Intellectual Property Rights in India and abroad and their Impact.

18. **Session 1:** Intellectual Property Rights: Genesis, Benefits, Importance- Where I got some information regarding intellectual property.


c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why

1. Participant did not comment.

2. Participant did not comment.

3. Excellent.

4. Participant did not comment.

5. NA

6. **Session 2:** Intellectual Property Rights Regime in India: Government Policies; **Session 7:** India’s IP-related Treaty Obligations. They should have been clubbed together in order to avoid repetition.

7. Participant did not comment.

8. Participant did not comment.

9. Participant did not comment.


11. Participant did not comment.

12. Participant did not comment.

13. Participant did not comment.

14. Nil

15. Participant did not comment.

16. All the sessions were useful for me.

17. Every part was useful with of course some differences in the magnitude of usefulness. But I can’t indicate any programme as least useful.
18. **Session 8: Landmark Judgments on Intellectual Property Rights in India and abroad and their Impact** - As I was not aware of judgments pertaining to IP.

19. Resolving IP disputes via commercial courts and ADRs; Since it is an accepted fundamental principle of dispute resolution, commonly in vogue in other laws.

d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective

1. 3 day programme is difficult 2 day is better for court functionaries.

2. Participant did not comment.

3. Excellent.

4. Participant did not comment.

5. Just keep up the good work.

6. Try to avoid repetition in the topics.

7. The conference was excellent & very useful.

8. Participant did not comment.

9. The programme format may be charged/framed in the following manner to make it more effective - (i) Two day seminar each on trade mark and patent; (ii) Two day seminar each on copyright and design and sub topic; Topics to be carefully chosen which should be jurisprudential and relevant to current issue and critical analysis of Indian and foreign judgements.

10. More exposure to what is happening in other parts of the world.

11. Participant did not comment.

12. Study material should be supplied to the participants on e-mail, so they may study timely, to understand them in better way.

13. Participant did not comment.

14. Participant did not comment.

15. Encourage round table discussion on a more informal basis to share experiences.

16. Participant did not comment.

17. Putting in effective audio-video stories may be a better idea for comprehension, participation and communication.

18. Not needed as it is excellent for all purposes.

19. I think that the copies of the slides should also be provided to the participants.