

Workshop for Additional District Judges 10 th -12 th November		
Serial No	Table of Contents	Page No
Session 1		
1	ADR and Access to Justice: Issues and Perspectives by Justice S.B.Sinha <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Can't we Strive for Better 'Access to Justice'?</i> • <i>Problems of Formal Legal System</i> • <i>An Analysis on Evolution of ADR Mechanisms in Indian Judiciary</i> • <i>Different modes of Justice Delivery Mechanism of ADR</i> • <i>How to make ADR Mechanisms more Viable</i> 	
2	Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India – Resolve in India by Bibek Debroy and Suparna Jain, NITI Aayog, Government of India <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Glimmer of Hope: Various forms of Alternate Dispute Resolution</i> • <i>Tracing the History of Arbitration in India</i> • <i>Key Highlights of Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act 2015</i> • <i>Legislative Concerns</i> • <i>Need for Judicial Support</i> 	
3	Alternative Dispute Resolution and the Courts: An Introduction by James J. Alfani, 69 Judicature 252, 253	
4	Need for Alternative Dispute Resolution (Available at: http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/44117/8/08_chapter%202.pdf) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>An Analysis on Evolution of ADR Mechanisms in Indian Judiciary</i> • <i>Advantages of Alternative Dispute Resolution</i> 	
5	Need for Justice-Dispensation through ADR, Report No. 222, Law Commission of India <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Propositions</i> • <i>Recommendations</i> 	
Session 2		
1	Judicial Role and Case Management by David Neubauer, The Justice System Journal, Vol. 4, No. 2 (Winter 1978), pp. 223-232 Published by: Taylor & Francis, Ltd	
2	“The Business of Court Management” by Justice Roshan Dalvi, 7 th Law Review, Government Law College, 25 (2007)	
3	Justice Administration: Case and Court Management by Justice Sunil Ambwani <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Modernising and Streamlining the Rules and Procedures</i> • <i>Finance Autonomy and Accountability</i> • <i>Human Resource Management</i> • <i>Record Keeping</i> • <i>Planning Court Rooms, Infrastructure and Record Rooms</i> • <i>Control over Management of Cases and Case flow Management</i> • <i>Specialization and Equitable allocation of work</i> 	

4	<p>Case Management and Court Administration by Justice Madan B. Lokur</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India</i> • <i>Role of lawyers and Litigants</i> • <i>Availability of Information</i> • <i>Preparation of Documents</i> • <i>Use of Technology</i> 	
5	<p>Case Management and Its Advantages by Justice M. Jagannadha Rao</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>(UK) Lord Woolf's Reports on 'Case Management'</i> • <i>Objections to 'Case Management'</i> • <i>The Australian Law Reform Commission (1997)</i> 	
6	<p>National Court Management System, NCMS Baseline Report on Case Management System Headed by Justice A.M. Khanwilkar (Available at: http://supremecourtfindia.nic.in/pdf/NCMS/Case%20Management%20System.pdf)</p>	
Session 3		
1	<p>The Sentencing Process – Problems and Perspective by G. Kameswari & V. Nageswara Rao</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Rameshwar Dayal v. State of Uttar Pradesh</i> • <i>State of Karnataka v. Krishna Alias Raju</i> 	
2	<p>Evidence-Based Practices and State Sentencing Policy: Ten Policy Initiatives to Reduce Recidivism by Roger K. Warren</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Create Offender-Based Data and Sentencing Support Systems that Facilitate Data-Driven Sentencing Decisions</i> • <i>Develop Community-Based Corrections Programs that Address the Criminogenic Needs of Felony Offenders</i> • <i>Develop Community-Based Intermediate Sanctions Appropriate to the Nature of Committing Offenses and Offender Risks</i> • <i>Provide Judges and Advocates with Access to Accurate and Relevant Sentencing Data and Information</i> 	
3	<p>A Capricious Noose: A Comment on the Trial Court Sentencing Order in the December 16 Gang Rape Case by Aparna Chandra</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Hanging in the Balance: The Rarest of Rare Standard</i> • <i>Rarest of Rare: Bachan Singh</i> • <i>Rarest of Rare Take-two: Machhi Singh</i> • <i>Gurvail Singh's "Procrustean Cruelty"</i> • <i>Balancing without a Scale: The Aggravating/ Mitigating Analysis and the Problems of Judicial Incoherence</i> • <i>Death Penalty and Disparate Impact Shock to the Community's Conscience: Public Opinion and the Death Penalty</i> 	
4	<p>Justice J.S.Verma, "Sentencing and Punishment" in Report of the Committee on Amendments to Criminal Law, Chapter 9, Jan 2013; (Available at: http://apneaap.org/wpcontent/uploads/2012/10/Justice-Verma-Committee-Report.pdf)</p>	

5	<p>Latest view of Sentencing Policy with reference to the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court & High Court</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab (AIR 1980 SC 898)</i> • <i>Machhi Singh v. State of Punjab (1983) 3 SCC 470</i> • <i>State of Madhya pradesh v.Mehtab (Cri. Appeal no. 290/2015, dated 13.02.2015)</i> • <i>Gurubachan Singh v. Satpal Singh (AIR 1990 SC 209)</i> • <i>Norbetro v. Mrs. Prema Nalband, (2006 (1), AIR, Bom.R,481)</i> • <i>Shailesh Jasvantbhai and Another v. State of Gujarat and Others, [(2006)2 SC C 359]</i> • <i>In Brajendrasingh v. State of Madhya Pradesh (AIR 2012 SC 1552)</i> • <i>State of Andhra Pradesh v. Polamala Raju @ Rajarao (2000) 7 SCC 75)</i> • <i>Shyam Narain v. The State of NCT of Delhi (AIR 2013 SC 2209)</i> • <i>State of Punjab v. Bawa Singh (2015) 3 SCC 441</i> • <i>Shabnam v. State of Uttar Pradesh, Saleem v. State of Uttar Pradesh (2015) 6 SCC 632</i> • <i>Madhavan v. State of T.N. Criminal Appeal No. 1360 of 2017</i> 	
6	<p>Sentencing Guidelines, The Law Library of Congress, Global Legal Research Center (Available at: https://www.loc.gov/law/help/sentencing-guidelines/sentencing-guidelines.pdf)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Australia</i> • <i>England and Wales</i> • <i>India</i> • <i>South Africa</i> • <i>Uganda</i> 	
Session 4		
1	<p>Comparative Analysis of Trends of Cyber Crime Laws in USA and India by Rajlakshmi Wagh, International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Information Technology 2013, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 42-50, Article ID Tech-160</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Overlook of Some of the Cyber Crime Affected States in India</i> • <i>An Overview of Some of the Status of Convictions in India</i> • <i>US Cyber Crime Laws: An Exordium</i> • <i>Laws Governing Cyber Crime in India</i> 	
2	<p>The Law of Cyber-Attack by Oona A. Hathaway, Rebecca Crootof, Philip Levitz, Haley Nix, Aileen Nowlan, William Perdue and Julia Spiegel, California Law Review, Vol. 100, No. 4 (August 2012), pp. 817-885 Published by: California Law Review, Inc.</p>	
3	<p>A Study on Cyber Crime and Security Scenario in India by Yougal Joshi & Anand Singh, International Journal of Engineering and Management Research, Volume-3, Issue-3, June 2013</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Technological Aspect of Cybercrime</i> • <i>Strategic Aspect of Cybercrime</i> • <i>Negative Developments with Regard to Cybercrime</i> • <i>Attacks to Electronic Identity</i> • <i>Cyber Crimes and the Nature of Evidence</i> 	

4	Jurisdictional issues in Cyberspace by Justice S. Muralidhar, The Indian Journal of Law and Technology, Volume 6, 2010	
5	IP Address and Expeditious Disclosure of Identity in India by Prashant Iyengar 9 Ind. J.L & Tech. 35 (2013)	
Session 5		
1	Admissibility of Electronic Evidence by Justice Kurian Joseph, (2016) 5 SCC (J)	
2	The Supreme Court of India Re-defines Admissibility of Electronic Evidence in India by Tejas Karia, Akhil Anand and Bahaar Dhawan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Relevance of Electronic Evidence</i> • <i>Principles and Salient Provisions of the Evidence Act</i> • <i>Admissibility of Electronic Records</i> • <i>Mandatory Authentication of Digital Evidence</i> 	
3	Electronic Evidence and its Challenges by Dr. Swarupa Dholam <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Guidelines for Handling Digital Evidence</i> • <i>Analysis of Digital Evidence</i> • <i>Challenges to the Authenticity of Electronic Evidence</i> • <i>Points to be Considered which may Help in Dealing with Electronic Evidence</i> 	
4	Admissibility of Electronic Evidence: Anvar v. P. K. Basheer	
5	Appreciation of Evidence Including Evidence Recorded through Electronic Media for Sessions Cases by Justice P. Sathasivam <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Statement as Part of Electronic Record</i> • <i>Conditions for the Admissibility of Electronic Evidence</i> • <i>Presumptions Regarding Electronic Evidence</i> • <i>Secure Electronic Records and Digital Signatures</i> • <i>Admissibility of Intercepted Telephone calls</i> • <i>Examination of a Witness by Video Conference</i> 	
Session 6		
1	Fair Trial and Corpus Delicti by Alok Kumar Verma (Available at: http://ujala.uk.gov.in/files/issue%202/2Ch-3.pdf)	
2	Fair Trial vis-à-vis Criminal Justice Administration: A Critical Study of Indian Criminal Justice System by Neeraj Tiwari <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Glimpses of Fair Trial under the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973</i> • <i>Adversary Trial System</i> • <i>Presumption of Innocence</i> • <i>Evidence to be taken in the presence of the Accused</i> • <i>Cross-Examine Prosecution Witnesses</i> • <i>Prohibition on Double Jeopardy</i> • <i>Role of Defence Counsel and Fair Trial</i> 	
3	The Democratic Model Needs a Fair Trial by John E. Angell, 12 Criminology 379, 384 (1975)	

4	<p>Trial Procedure: Challenges and Prospect of Enhancing the Procedures in Asian Criminal Justice Systems by Yubaraj Sangroula</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Significance of Trial Procedure: Fundamentals of Fair And Impartial Trial <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Right of the Accused to Know the Accusation</i> • <i>Right of the Accused to be Present at Trial</i> • <i>Right of the Accused to Cross-Examine Prosecution Witnesses</i> • <i>The Right to Remain Silent</i> ➤ Efficient Speedy Trial and the Prospect of better Protection of Fairness, Impartiality and Human Rights <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Prospect of Using Informal means of Justice in Criminal Proceedings</i> • <i>Fostering Culture of Pretrial Conference</i> • <i>Fast-Track Trial Process</i> 	
5	<p>Inordinate Delay Versus Speedy Trial: An Indian Experience by S. N. Sharma, 31 Ban.L.J.(2002) 174-183</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Conceptual Perspective</i> • <i>Legislative Activism</i> • <i>Judicial Creativity</i> 	
6	<p>Fair Trial Manual A Handbook for Judges and Magistrates, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative (CGRI 2010), edited by Maja Daruwala</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>General Principles of a Fair Trial</i> • <i>Arrest and Pre-Trial Detention</i> • <i>From the Investigation to the Trial Stage</i> • <i>From Trial to Final Judgement</i> 	
Session 7		
1	<p>Martin Shapriro, "Appeal", Law & Society Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, Contemporary Issues in Law and Social Science (Spring, 1980), pp. 629-66</p>	
2	<p>Case Laws:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Mohd. Hashim v. State of Uttar Pradesh: (2017) 2 SCC 198</i> • <i>Subhash Chand v. State (Delhi Administration); (2013)2 SCC 17</i> • <i>Gurudev Singh v. Surinder Singh; 2014 (9) SCALE 556</i> • <i>Ghuray Lal v. State of Uttar Pradesh; (2008) 10 SCC 450</i> 	
Session 8		
1	<p>Case Laws:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Union of India v. K. V. Lakshman (AIR 2016 SC 3139)</i> • <i>Shasidhar v. Ashwini Uma Mathad; (AIR 2015 SC 1139)</i> • <i>Vinod Kumar v. Gangadhar; (2015) 1 SCC 391</i> • <i>Kuldeep Kumar Dubey v. Ramesh Chandra Goyal (2015) 12 SCC 525</i> • <i>State Bank of India v. Emmsons International Limited (2011) 12 SCC 174</i> • <i>Banarasi v. Ram Phal (2003) 9 SCC 606</i> • <i>P. Kiran Kumar v. A.S. Khadar (2002) 5 SCC 161</i> 	