

National Judicial Academy
P-1027: Workshop for Additional District Judges
11th – 13th August, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya, Research Fellow

No. of Participants : 40

No. of forms received : 40

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	92.11	7.89	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	82.05	17.95	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	89.74	10.26	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	87.18	12.82	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	76.92	23.08	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	89.19	10.81	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	77.78	22.22	-	-
c. Up to date	63.16	34.21	2.63	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	58.33	38.39	2.78	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	40.00	45.71	14.29	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	84.21	15.79	-	-

b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
Case Studies were relevant	76.92	23.08	-	-
Interactive sessions were fruitful	74.36	25.24	-	-
Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	74.36	25.24	-	-
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	66.67	30.77	2.56	-
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	79.49	20.51	-	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	89.74	10.26	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	66.67	33.33	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	87.17	12.82	-	-
VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. To read the Acts and Rules; To discuss facts, Laws and our duty; To be honest in discharging duties.</p> <p>2. 1. The objective of the programme was clear; 2. The program is useful and relevant to my work; 3. I got benefited from attending this programme.</p> <p>3. Great help for deciding civil appeals cases related to adoption of child. ADR case and hence management. Cybercrimes etc.</p> <p>4. The lecture on cyber-crimes was very good and information the electronic evidence was also too good.</p> <p>5. Subject material is very useful and it will help me in my working.</p>			

	<p>6. 1. Case study method; 2. Claim for cation of concepts; 3. Generation of new ideas.</p> <p>7. A.D.R. System; Case and court management; Sentencing issues.</p> <p>8. 1. Reading material provided by NJA; 2. Deliberation of Resource Persons; 3. Discussion in the laws.</p> <p>9. A.D.R. civil & criminal appellate stage, J.J. Act.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. A.D.R. System; Court & case management; Sentencing issues.</p> <p>12. Get to gather with all judicial officers; Case management; mediation.</p> <p>13. Issues relating to adoption in India J.J. Act; Law relating to cybercrimes; Civil justice.</p> <p>14. Law relating of cybercrimes.</p> <p>15. Techniques for enhancement of quality with speed.</p> <p>16. 1. Case Management; 2. Reading material is comprehensive about the subjects which are relevant in day to day work; 3. Knowledge to cyber laws.</p> <p>17. 1. Case Management; 2. Technic of medication; 3. Knowledge & freedom of J.J. Act.</p> <p>18. 1. More emphasis on ADR system; 2. Effective discussion & interaction on subject of civil appeals; 3. Trials on sessions court, more particularly on talking of cogitations at different levels.</p> <p>19. 1. Learnt about different procedures followed by colleagues in different State; 2. Gained knowledge and had the opportunity to hear about experiences of Hon'ble Judges; 3. Latest reveling learning the issue had been obtained.</p> <p>20. Comprehensive, practical aspects and several facts of a point.</p> <p>21. 1. How to be innovative and creative within legal limit for effective dispensation of justice; 2. Effectively managing court work; 3. Better appreciation of evidence.</p> <p>22. 1. Updated the legal knowledge; 2. Enhanced. The tit bits for expedition disposals; 3. Updated the Court management.</p> <p>23. 1. Interaction on practical aspects relation to day to day work; 2. Study material provided by the Academy; 3. Case laws discussed.</p> <p>24. I learnt about case management. Speedy disposal learnt new skills ideas learnt about adoption. The last session where civil and criminal appeals/revision etc was enlighten.</p> <p>25. 1. Law relating to cybercrimes; 2. Electronic evidence; collection presentation and appreciation; 3. Fair Sessions Trails.</p>
--	--

	<p>26. 1. Recap of legal principles; 2. Learnt new concepts in various fields; 3. Good interaction & removal of doubts.</p> <p>27. A judge must speak about his State Judiciary from each State. So uniformity came in whole India.</p> <p>28. There should a 5 minutes for State judiciary working & proffers in State facing judges.</p> <p>29. 1. Session 5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and bottlenecks; 2. Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; 3. Session 8: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>30. Case law study more useful; Good interaction; Proper solutions to the issues related in the programme.</p> <p>31. To analyze our self, to know how to prioritize things before us, to update our self with the emerging trends.</p> <p>32. Case management; Authenticity & appreciation of electronic evidence, Cybercrimes.</p> <p>33. 1. Adoption issues; 2. Case management.</p> <p>34. Carried with me a wealth of knowledge and some best practices.</p> <p>35. 1. Practical approach in respect of judicial work; 2. Eye opener speech in the matter of solving problem; 3. Deliberation have given me same new ideas.</p> <p>36. None.</p> <p>37. 1. To manage court in effective manner by involving best practices; 2. Concentrate on the provisions of law; 3. To make same charges in procedure.</p> <p>38. 1. We must do our best within the infrastructure available with us; 2. “Prioritizing the work”, should be the aim of judicial officer; 3. To do justice judicial officers should step on to the express provision, if situation demands by following the law of areas my in humble way.</p> <p>39. Update your knowledge, apply the same as per law. Court Management learnings from the specific topics.</p> <p>40. Session regarding cyber-crime; 2. Sessions Regarding Appeal & Revision; 3. Session regarding A.D.R.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Last Session, It is very convincing.</p> <p>2. Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>3. None</p> <p>4. The lecture in cyber-crimes and electronic evidence.</p> <p>5. Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>6. Interactive Session.</p>

	<p>7. Cyber-crime; Revision & appeal jurisdiction; Fair trial.</p> <p>8. Session 6: <i>Issues relating to Adoption in India under J.J. Act</i> -is useful because it is new one.</p> <p>9. A.D.R. & Cyber-crime.</p> <p>10. All part of the programme were use useful.</p> <p>11. Court & Case Management; Role of judge by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Roshan Dalvi- very interactive.</p> <p>12. Case Management.</p> <p>13. Sentencing issues.</p> <p>14. Sentencing issues and challenges.</p> <p>15. ADR- repeated and continuous efforts u/s Sec 89. will lead to fruits.</p> <p>16. Cyber law as it is evolving field and specialized subject; Time management.</p> <p>17. A.D.R. – because it gave practical knowledge & to do something in expertise way.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Lecture regarding electronic evidence as well as regarding Adoption procedure. Because it had been an eye opener as the electronic evidence had been obtained without clear knowledge and the save can be clever subsequently.</p> <p>20. All Sessions.</p> <p>21. Session 7: <i>Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</i> Since it was most interactive and brainstorming.</p> <p>22. Session 1: <i>Challenges in implementation of ADR system in Subordinate Courts;</i> Session 4: <i>Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation;</i> Session 5: <i>Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and bottlenecks;</i> Session 7: <i>Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges;</i> Session 8: <i>Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and</i> Session 9: <i>Fair Sessions Trial.</i></p> <p>23. Session 7: <i>Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges;</i> Session 8: <i>Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and</i> Session 9: <i>Fair Sessions Trial-related to trails, appeal and revision.</i></p> <p>24. The last day programme i.e. Appellate and revision jurisdiction criminal side and civil side fair session trail. It deals with my day to day work. It enlighten us on various aspects such as fearing charge, cognizance 313 statement.</p> <p>25. All parts of the programme.</p> <p>26. Lens relating to cyber-crimes; Appellate & Revision jurisdiction.</p>
--	---

	<p>27. Cannot say.</p> <p>28. Learn new ideas on mediation.</p> <p>29. Law relating to cybercrimes & I.T. Act.</p> <p>30. Session 9: Fair Sessions Trial- related to trails, appeal and revision – was most useful for conducting fair session trial.</p> <p>31. Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session 5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and bottlenecks- on electronic evidence, since it is a must know topic at the present scenario.</p> <p>32. Session 5: Laws relating to Cyber Crimes: Advances and bottlenecks and Session 9: Fair Sessions Trial- related to trails, appeal and revision.</p> <p>33. Admissibility of electronic evidence.</p> <p>34. Cybercrime sessions.</p> <p>35. Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session 5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and bottlenecks; and Session 6: Issues relating to Adoption in India under J.J. Act.- because the speakers were well prepared before taking part in deliberation and they have given clear ideas about subjects.</p> <p>36. None.</p> <p>37. Appeal, Revision and session trial because same is useful in our work.</p> <p>38. Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation-As became there or issues are very relevant now a day’s & our system is not so equipped to deal with investigation in proper manner so far in respect of electronic evidence.</p> <p>39. Session 1: Challenges in implementation of ADR system in Subordinate Courts; Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session 5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and bottlenecks; Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 8: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges and Session 9: Fair Sessions Trial-related to trails, appeal and revision.</p> <p>40. Regarding cyber-crime & appeal and revision are useful because it relates to our daily work.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. None.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. Court and case management.</p> <p>5. Laws relating to cyber-crimes.</p> <p>6. All the parts were useful.</p> <p>7. Adoption and J.J. Act.</p>

	<p>8. None</p> <p>9. J.J. Act – provision for adoption in case of outrun child.</p> <p>10. No part of the programme was found to be least useful.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Appeal/Recessions.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. None.</p> <p>17. None.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. None</p> <p>21. Session 5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and bottlenecks. Since a comprehensive treatment of the subject was not possible for paucity of time and vestments of the subject.</p> <p>22. Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>23. Nil</p> <p>24. Issues relating to Adoption. It is least useful as I do not have any adoption matters.</p> <p>25. No, any.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Not say, Whole programme is good.</p> <p>28. The lecture on revision because two faculty was doing arguments while each other.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. None</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Session 6: Issues relating to Adoption in India under J.J. Act – J.J. Act provision are not related to us ADJ. Mainly provisions are apply in magistrate.</p> <p>33. Programme is useful because the knowledge appearing will help us to discharge our duty effectively.</p> <p>34. Enjoyed the last session on revision and appeals in civil and criminal matters and the session on adoption.</p>
--	--

	<p>35. Nothing such.</p> <p>36. Sufficient time should have been given for discussing J.J. Act.</p> <p>37. I found all the parts useful.</p> <p>38. Session 6: Issues relating to Adoption in India under J.J. Act- there issues very rarely comes before the court.</p> <p>39. Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>40. Session regarding J.J. Act.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. No comment, As it is very excellent.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. I may be given opportunity in future on any course related to appreciation of medical evidence or comes of MACT</p> <p>4. More practical aspect must be dealt with less of theoretical aspect.</p> <p>5. Programme would be for a week or 10 days.</p> <p>6. By incorporating new case studies.</p> <p>7. Providing course materials and case laws; Use audio, video material and case study.</p> <p>8. No suggestion.</p> <p>9. More useful training material provided to the participant.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Presentations should be given to the participants.</p> <p>12. None</p> <p>13. If possible supply referring material referred by Resource Persons.</p> <p>14. If possible supply referring material referred by Resource Persons.</p> <p>15. Requested to supply Pen-drive of all papers prepared by Resource Persons.</p> <p>16. Bare Acts which are relevant to session should be made available on each desk in advance.</p> <p>17. None.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Kindly do provide more time for discussions and kindly avoid overlapping speech of Resource Persons so that we will be benefited from speech of every Resource Person.</p> <p>20. Should allot more time to each subject.</p>

	<p>21. None.</p> <p>22. There should be full trail programme on evidence Act.</p> <p>23. Please try to supply short notes of important citation relates to relevant and critical topics on which a particular speaker in going to address.</p> <p>24. Kindly arrange the special programmes on POSCO and MACT matters. Some platform should be separately made available to discuss other difficulties too apart from topic of conference.</p> <p>25. Present effort of NJA is sufficient.</p> <p>26. 1. More member of sitting Supreme Court & High Court judges should be called so address; 2. Local transport & would be provided during course days.</p> <p>27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. In MPSJ Academy there are some good faculties they should be invited.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Group discussions matters the programme more effective.</p> <p>31. I felt that the resource persons were not provided with sufficient time.</p> <p>32. How to make easy expedite trial in civil & criminal cases- old cases how to decide expediently.</p> <p>33. None.</p> <p>34. Programmes should be structured topic wise concisely copy of citations referred to should be circulated to all participants.</p> <p>35. I like to suggest that sessions should be there in the matter of practical matters/problems and resource persons should be from serving judicial officers</p> <p>36. None</p> <p>37. More topics useful in day to day working in present times, should be introduced.</p> <p>38. Programme may be set up on the relevant challenges before the court on particular subject but not as a whole subject, like 'Apply' of 'Revision' etc.</p> <p>39. Duration of programme should be longer especially in case of programme dealing with procedural laws, number of subjects may be reduced and time on the remaining subjects may be enhanced.</p> <p>40. Extend time for programme.</p>
--	---