

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

PROGRAMME EVALUATION FORM

SE-1: TRAINING PROGRAMME FOR JUDICIAL OFFICERS FROM SRI LANKA

20th to 24th August, 2016

Programme Coordinator: Shivaraj S. Huchhanavar, Research Fellow & Sumit Bhattacharya, Research Fellow

No of Participants: 32

No of Forms received: 30

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	76.66	23.33	-	
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	46.66	53.33	-	
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	70.00	30.00	-	16. Some areas have not been properly addressed.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	70.00	30.00	-	
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	53.33	46.66	-	16. More time is necessary.

II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	53.33	46.66	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	50.00	46.00	3.33	-
c. Up to date	41.37	55.17	3.44	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	40.00	53.33	6.66	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	37.93	58.62	3.44	-
III STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	73.33	26.66	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz. Group discussions	50.00	42.85	7.14	-
Case studies	40.00	53.33	6.66	-
Interactive sessions	48.27	48.27	3.44	16. Need more line.

Simulation Exercises	38.46	46.15	15.38	-
Audio Visual Aids	44.44	51.85	3.70	-
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	62.96	37.03	-	
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	64.29	35.71	-	16. only some Resource persons have adequately address.
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	79.31	20.68	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/research/ policy in the discussed area	79.31	20.68	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	89.65	10.34	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				

<p>a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will improve my leadership and judicial skills in many ways; 2. 1. Informative; 2. Knowledge sharing; 3. Philosophical approach to judgment writing by speaker on Session 13 &14- <i>Art, Science and Craft of Judging</i>. 3. ADR; Case management; Circumstantial evidence 4. Cyber Crimes 5. Case management; Writing judgment 6. Session 1 – Mapping of success of ADR Initiatives in India; Session 2-Case Management Methods Developed in India 7. Cyber crime 8. <i>Session 1-Mapping of success of ADR Initiatives in India; Session 02- Case Management Methods Developed in India; Session 03- Cybercrimes and Laws dealing with Cybercrimes and Session 06-Usefulness of Death Penalty-to apply in our system and to dispose case early to avoid delay in Justice system.</i> 9. Participant did not comment 10. Psychological aspects of writing judgments. 11. How to arrive at conclusions more effectively. 12. Sentencing, ADR, Ethics. 13. Indian aspect of law; ADR in India 14. Participant did not comment 15. Almost all the programme is well useful and well organized. Indeed, I am fully benefitted. 16. 16. 1. How the two countries apply their Law in their each jurisdiction; 2. Similarities on both Legal System; 3. How the important aspects be applied in our System, wherever possible. 17. Hon’ble Justice AK Sikri’s lecture; Hon’ble Justice Kumar’s lecture; case management methods developed in India; Hon’ble Justice KC Bhanu’s lecture. 18. 1. How to write judgment (in broader vision); 2. Judicial ethics – to look outside the box; 3. Determining sentence- sentencing guidelines.
--	---

	<p>19. 1. Case Managements methods developed in India; 2. Cyber Crimes and cases dealing with Cyber Crimes; 3. Circumstantial Evidence.</p> <p>20. Case Management; Transactional Analysis; Art, Science and Craft of judging.</p> <p>21. 1. Critical Analysis; 2. Balancing sentencing practices; 3. Art of writing (more creatively).</p> <p>22. Participant did not Comment.</p> <p>23. Indian System; Sentencing in Economic Offences; Case Management.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Session 1 Mapping of success of ADR Initiatives in India -; Session 2-Case Management Methods Developed in India; Session 9 -Circumstantial Evidence.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Useful awareness programme; Enhancement of knowledge; Learning of Indian Legal principles to some extent.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Case Management methods; Evaluation of Evidence; Economic offences.</p> <p>30. 1. Mapping of success of ADR;</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Lectures and material since those will be used and utilized in my day to day judicial activities.</p> <p>2. Sessions 13 &14- - <i>Art, Science and Craft of Judging</i> because the Director too said it is the most important thing of a case.</p> <p>3. ADR. Though I am a judge, I prefer ADR since it reduces animosity, distrust and humiliation. Especially the final solution is WIN-WIN.</p> <p>4. Judicial Ethics</p> <p>5. Cyber crimes</p> <p>6. Session 11- <i>Judicial Ethics: Stages of Moral Development</i>; Session 12-<i>Transactional Analysis</i></p> <p>7. ADR</p>

<p>8. <i>Session 9-Circumstantial Evidence; Session 10- Recording of Confessions, Reliability of Witnesses-</i> I am as a High Court Judge holding cases having those matters.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment</p> <p>10. Prof. Oberoi's session. It gives an opportunity to think widely on judge's role.</p> <p>11. <i>Session 1 Mapping of success of ADR Initiatives in India; Session 02- Case Management Methods Developed in India;</i> More informative and the Resource Persons showed their experiences with us. (Justice G. Raghuram, Director is an asset to the Academy)</p> <p>12. Cyber Crime in India</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment</p> <p>15. Almost all the programme is well useful and well organized. Indeed, I am fully benefitted.</p> <p>16. The very important kind ideas of the Hon. Director of the NJA, found most useful as His Lordship experienced through how long and experienced career as a Hon. Judge and also as experienced personally on all levels.</p> <p>17. Case management methods in India; its usual for me to do in my work.</p> <p>18. Art, science and craft of judging with practicals.</p> <p>19. Cyber Crimes and Laws dealing with Cyber Crimes. This is not a threat not only in Sri Lanka and India, but to the whole world.</p> <p>20. Transactional Analysis. It will help to manage the case and ease the tension in a difficulty situation.</p> <p>21. Judicial Ethics: stages of Moral Development. I realized that Judges are not final interpreters of a case. There are so many interpreters in the society.</p> <p>22. Judge Craft and Art of Writing judgment conducted by Justice Raghuram. Sessions conducted by Justice Raghuram is very productive. He is the most experienced and knowledgeable judge.</p> <p>23. Disparity and discrimination in Sentencing practices</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. All most all part (subject to the comment in C)</p>

	<p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. <i>Session 7-Sentencing in Economic Offences (Crime against State); Session 8- Sentencing in Offences against Human Body</i> were found most useful because I had an opportunity to discuss the relevant legal principles in depth. Apart from that <i>session 6- Usefulness of Death Penalty, Session 11-Judicial Ethics: Stages of Moral Development; Session 12- Transactional Analysis</i> were also very relevant and thoughtful.</p> <p>28. Disparity and discrimination in Sentencing practices</p> <p>29. The lecturer which covered the psychological part.</p> <p>30. Disparity and discrimination in Sentencing practices</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. The 2nd tea break which delayed things.</p> <p>2. There was no such part.</p> <p>3. Non</p> <p>4. No part</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment</p> <p>6. All useful</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment</p> <p>8. <i>Session 11- Judicial Ethics: Stages of Moral Development and Session 12- Transactional Analysis-</i> I found difficulties as this subject is correlated with Psychology.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment</p> <p>11. <i>Session 5- Disparity and Discrimination in Sentencing Practices; Session 9- Circumstantial Evidence, Session 10- Recording of Confessions, Reliability of Witnesses-</i>Laws discussed were different from ours.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment</p> <p>15. Almost all the programme is well useful and well organized. Indeed, I am fully benefitted.</p> <p>16. More focus should be given to practical aspects than theoretical aspects.</p>

	<p>17. All programmes were useful. Lectures have been given by eminent persons.</p> <p>18. Disparity and discrimination in Sentencing practices- The lecturer just read the article appeared on the screen without discussing.</p> <p>19. Disparity and discrimination in Sentencing practices, Professor only ready the paper not explained clearly.</p> <p>20. Disparity and discrimination in Sentencing practices; Professor was reading a note.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Art and Science of Judging.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Most of the sessions are very useful and I did not find useless sessions in this programme.</p> <p>28. None</p> <p>29. Last session – Art of Writing judgment.</p> <p>30. Cyber Crimes and Law dealing with Cyber Crimes- as it is not practiced in my court.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective.</p>	<p>1. By supplying more material on pen drive; it can be improved.</p> <p>2. Having the programme for 7 days, so can go to more courts and meet judges.</p> <p>3. If programmes are arranged in financial leasing and deciding quantum of damages in accident cases could be more appreciable.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Not there</p> <p>6. None</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment</p> <p>8. Please make some action to visit Court House while proceedings going on; Then only we will come to know about the practical knowledge.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment</p> <p>10. Please pay close attention in organizing court visit.</p>

	<p>11. The Court visit may be organized in an effective manner, allowing us to gather information regarding Court/ case management.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment</p> <p>15. I am fully satisfied with your services.</p> <p>16. Need more time for interactive Sessions. Being Asian both countries, mostly have common problems in legal areas and therefore discussion should be based on practical situations.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment</p> <p>18. To give learning material not on a printed format. To store into a pen drive and email too.</p> <p>19. If an opportunity is given to our delegation to share our experiences and knowledge, will be highly appreciated.</p> <p>20. More material and case law and articles should be given.</p> <p>21. NJA has done so much and the whole programme has gone well. Some of the judges were ill during the programme for food poisoning (perhaps) and we suggest to have more variety of good ; Thank you very much for everything.</p> <p>22. Materials should be given in e-forms also (CD/DVD).</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. In the case of Sri Lankan Judges, it is better if you could study or just go through simply the relevant areas in Sri Lanka before the programme, so that we could compare and understand well. Thank you all for what you have done for us during this period.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. NJA can include sessions to discuss about applicability of English Law.</p> <p>28. Participants did not comment.</p> <p>29. It's suggested that you may contact</p> <p>30. Participants did not comment.</p>
--	--