

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SESSION-1		
Understanding ‘Judicial Integrity’, ‘Discipline’, ‘Accountability’ and ‘Conduct Unbecoming of a Judge’.		
1.	Dakolias, M. Thachuk, K. (2000). Attacking Corruption in the Judiciary: Critical Process in Judicial Reform. <i>Wisconsin International Law Journal</i> 18(2), 353-406.	1
2.	Zipursky, B. (2003). Conflicts of Integrity. <i>Fordham Law Review</i> 72(2), 395-404.	55
3.	Frankel, J. E. (1966). Judicial Discipline and Removal. <i>Texas Law Review</i> 44(6), 1117-1135.	65
4.	V R Krishna Iyer, Judicial accountability to the community: a democratic necessity, <i>Economic and Political Weekly</i> Vol. 26, No. 30 (July 27, 1991), pp. 1808-1814	85
5.	Le Sueur, A. (2004). Developing Mechanisms for Judicial Accountability in the UK. <i>Legal Studies</i> 24(Issues 1-2), 73-98.	93
6.	Bhagwati, P. (1999). Judicial Independence vs. Judicial Accountability: Debate; A Comment by Jerome J. Shetack. <i>CIJL Yearbook</i> 7, 85-94.	119
7.	Verma, J. S. Judicial Independence: Is it Threatened? Available at: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/article/Judicial%20Independence%20JSVJ.pdf	129
8.	Sabharwal, Y.K. Canons of Judicial Ethics, Available at: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/article/Cannons%20of%20Jud%20Ethics.pdf	141
9.	Vikramaditya, P. Corruption in Judiciary, <i>Justice Versus Judiciary,</i> Aroo Publications. 104-120.	155
10.	Judicial observations on Judicial Ethics, Integrity, Misconduct, Discipline and Corruption	173

	<p>1. <i>State vs. Chief Editor, Manabjain and others, (Bangladesh)</i> LEX/BDHC/0113/2002</p> <p>2. <i>C. Ravichandran Iyer vs. Justice A.M. Bhattacharjee and Ors.</i> (1995)5SCC457</p> <p>3. <i>K.P. Singh vs. High Court of H.P. & Ors.</i> 2011 (3) KLJ 11</p> <p>4. <i>R.C. Chandel v. High Court of M.P.,</i> (2012) 8 SCC 58</p> <p>5. <i>All India Judges Association Case</i> (Para 61 - SCC p.140)</p> <p>6. <i>Rajesh Kohli vs. High Court of J. and K. and Anr.</i> (2010)12 SCC 783</p>	173
11.	<p>Resolution of Chief Justices Conferences on Registrar Vigilance and Vigilance Cell</p> <p>1. Chief Justices Conference, 2009</p> <p>2. Chief Justices' Conference, 2015</p>	189
12.	Committee on Reforms of Criminal Justice System, Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs Report.	192
13.	Ancient Indian Jurisprudence on Judicial Ethics, Integrity, Impartiality, Corruption and Vigilance System	194
14.	Restatement of Values in Judicial Life, 1997	203
15.	The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct, 2002	205
<p>SESSION-2</p> <p>Conducting Discreet Inquiries: Role and Responsibilities of Registrar (Vigilance)</p>		
1.	Importance of Vigilance and Inquiry	219
2.	<p>Discreet Inquiry against Judicial Officers: Case Law</p> <p>1. <i>Barkha Gupta vs. High Court of Delhi through Registrar General and Lieutenant Governor of Delhi:</i> 136(2007)DLT119</p> <p>2. <i>K.B. Krishnamurthy vs. The State of Karnataka,</i> MANU/KA/2502/2011</p> <p>3. <i>Registrar General High Court of Gujarat and Anr. vs. Jayshree Chamanlal Buddhhatti,</i> 2013(13) SCALE 230</p>	222

	<p>4. <i>Rajendra Singh Verma (Dead) Through Lrs. v. Lt. Governor of NCT of Delhi</i>, (2011)10 SCC 1</p> <p>5. <i>The Registrar of High Court of Madras v. Vasudevan, A.K.</i> 1996 (1) MLJ 153</p> <p>6. <i>Barkha Gupta</i> (Supra) full case</p> <p>7. <i>A.D. Nargolkar vs. Union of India & Ors.</i> (Delhi High Court) MANU/DE/3031/2012</p>	<p>229</p> <p>246</p>
--	---	-------------------------------------

SESSION-3

Preliminary/Fact Finding and Departmental Inquiry: Role and Responsibility of Registrar (Vigilance)

1.	<p>Preliminary/Fact Finding Inquiry</p> <p>Salient Features</p> <p>Preliminary Inquiry when necessary? Some illustrations</p> <p>Central Vigilance Commission on Preliminary Inquiry</p> <p>Investigation/inquiry report</p>	273
2.	<p>Departmental Inquiry</p> <p>Whether the Disciplinary Authority can disagree with the Inquiry Officer</p> <p>Right to be heard before the penalty is imposed</p> <p>Whether an inquiry be initiated against the authority exercising Quasi-Judicial functions?</p> <p>Whether prolonged delay vitiates the Inquiry</p> <p>Removal of Probationer: Is regular inquiry mandatory?</p> <p><i>Parshotam Lal Dhingra v. Union of India: Magna Carta of Indian Civil Servants</i></p> <p>Disciplinary/Departmental/Fact finding Inquiry: Scope of Judicial Review</p> <p>Fact Finding Inquiry: Observance of Principles of Natural Justice</p>	282
3.	<p>Land mark judgements on ‘inquiry against judicial officers’</p> <p><i>R.C. Sood v. High Court of Judicature at Rajasthan and Ors.</i> AIR 1965 SC 1651</p>	300

	<i>Anowar Hussain v. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee and Ors.</i> 2014(1) AJR 118	301
4.	Cases where the punishment is termination proper inquiry is mandated <i>Shamser Singh v. State of Punjab</i> AIR 1974 SC 2192 <i>Anoop Jaiswal v. Government of India</i> (1984) 2 SCC 369 <i>Chandra Prakash Shahi v. State of U.P</i> (2000) 5 SCC 152	303
5.	'Arbitrary action' at any stage will attract Art. 14 <i>E.P. Royappa v. State of Tamil Nadu and Anr.</i> AIR 1974 SC 555	305
6.	Whether reduction in rank amounts to punishment and attracts Art.311: Principles of Natural Justice	306
7.	Decision of compulsory retirement must be based on material	308
8.	Suguna, K. Disciplinary Proceedings Duties & Responsibilities of Disciplinary Authority & Enquiry Officer. Available at: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/Article/Effective%20District%20Admn.pdf	310
9.	Landmark judgements (Full Cases) on Inquiry <i>R.R. Parekh vs. High Court of Gujarat and Ors.</i> AIR 2016 SC 3356 <i>Indira Jaising vs. Registrar General, Supreme Court of India and Another</i> (2003) 5 SCC 494 <i>Daya Shankar vs. High Court of Allahabad and Ors.</i> (1987) 3 SCC 1	321 331 337
SESSION-4		
Use of Police by High Court Vigilance Wing: Issues and Concerns		
1.	HC's Vigilance Cell is not a Police Station, <i>The Hindu</i>	343
2.	<i>Sharanappa vs. State of Karnataka</i> , ILR 2015 KAR 6012	345
3.	<i>Samsher Singh and Another vs. State of Punjab</i> , AIR 1974 SC 2192	373
4.	<i>Delhi Judicial Service Association vs. State of Gujarat</i> , (1991) 4 SCC 406	423

5.	Petition Challenging, Gujarat High Court the Vigilance Cell (Judicial Department) Rules, 1986	427
SESSION-5		
Cognizance and Investigation of Anonymous Complaints		
1.	Why anonymous complaints or disciplinary proceedings based on unsubstantiated complaints must be avoided? Some judicial rationale <i>Iswar Chandra Jain v. High Court of Punjab and Haryana</i> , AIR 1988 SC 1395 <i>K.P. Tiwari v. State of Madhya Pradesh</i> , 1993 CriLJ 1377 <i>Kashi Nath Roy v. The State of Bihar</i> , 1996 CriLJ 2469 <i>Lunjarrao Bhikaji Nagarkar v. Union of India</i> , (2000)ILLJ728SC, <i>Ramesh Chander Singh vs. High Court of Allahabad and Anr.</i> 2007(3) SCALE 559 SC <i>Rahul vs. The State of Maharashtra</i> , MANU/MH/0066/2012	451
2.	Circular vide D.O. No. CJI/CC/Comp/2014/1405 dt. 3-10-2014	455
3.	Circular vide No. 87/CJS-III/Compt./2016 dt. 17/05/2016	457
4.	NJA Newsletter (Excerpts) Vol. 04 (1), <i>Evaluation of Responses on mandatory requirement of sworn affidavit.</i>	459
5.	Anonymous and Pseudonymous complaints: Practice and Procedure of CBI	463
6.	Action against persons making false complaints: CVC Guidelines	469
7.	CVC Circular No. 3 (v)/99/2 dt. 29/6/1999	471
8.	<i>Dipak Narendrabhai Tapodhan vs. State of Gujarat and Ors.</i> MANU/GJ/0879/2015	475
9.	<i>Ishwar Chand Jain vs. High Court of Punjab and Haryana</i> , (1999) 3 SCC 370	483
10.	<i>P.C. Joshi vs. State of U.P and Ors.</i> (2001) 6 SCC 491	497

11.	<i>Registrar, High Court of Gujarat and Anr. vs. C. G. Sharma</i> , AIR 2005 SC 344	503
12.	<i>Rahul vs. The State of Maharashtra</i> , MANU/MH/0066/2012	515
SESSION-6		
Utility of inputs from Inspection Reports to the Vigilance Cell		
1.	Observations of Supreme Court on Inspection of Courts	533
2.	Tripathy, P.K. Inspection . Available at: http://www.nja.nic.in/Concluded %20 Programes%202015-16/P-956%20Reading%20Material.pdf	535
3.	Shukla, S. Identifying the different objectives of Inspection and Vigilance . Available at: http://www.nja.nic.in/Concluded%20Programes%202015-16/P-949%20Reading%20Material.pdf	538
4.	Tamilvanan, S. Inspection of Courts – Civil & Criminal Court Registers Assessment of Works of Subordinate Officers , available at: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/Article/Effective%20District%20Admn.pdf	542
5.	Allahabad High Court Inspection Proforma (Excerpts)	565
SESSION-7		
Impact of Transparency and Accountability of Vigilance Cell on Performance Appraisal		
1.	Colbran, S. (2003). The Limits of Judicial Accountability: The Role of Judicial Performance Evaluation . <i>Legal Ethics</i> 6(1), 55-72.	579
2.	White, P. J. (2002). Judging Judges: Securing Judicial Independence by Use of Judicial Performance Evaluations . <i>Fordham Urban Law Journal</i> 29(3), 1053-1078.	597
3.	American Bar Association Guidelines for the Evaluation of Judicial Performance with Commentary	623

4.	How lack of ‘transparency and fair play’ in disciplinary dealings and sturdy accountability mechanism rattles the carrier of a judicial officer: An Example	643
5.	<i>Vijayan, A.A. vs. High Court of Kerala and Another</i> , ILR 2013 (4) Kerala 39	657
6.	Judicial Conduct Investigations Office (UK): An example of Transparency in Regulatory Frameworks	687
7.	Judicial Conduct Investigations Office Annual Report 2015-16	709
Miscellaneous		
1.	Role and Responsibilities of Registrar (Vigilance): High Court Rules	731
2.	CBI arrests senior judge of Delhi’s <i>Tis Hazari</i> court in bribery case	745
3.	Karnataka trial court judges can be tried for corruption, <i>The Hindu</i>	747
4.	Two judges caught in corruption case; sent to judicial custody, <i>The Hindustan Times</i>	748
5.	The Indian Judiciary on Trial: Tackling Corruption in India’s Courts, <i>The Global Anticorruption Blog</i> .	749
6.	Bail-for-sale scam: Three judges now arrested, 10 big developments, <i>NDTV</i>	752
7.	Two more A.P. judges arrested in cash-for-bail scam, <i>The Hindu</i>	754
8.	High Court marked Registrar Vigilance inquiry against DRT Presiding Officer, <i>Supreme Laws Advocates and Consultants</i>	755
9.	Spare judiciary from corrupt practices: Supreme Court, <i>Times of India</i>	756