

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-994: SEMINAR ON THE WORKING OF THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS IN
INDIA
08 – 09 OCTOBER, 2016

Programme Coordinator: Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya, Research Fellow, NJA

Number of Participants: 15

No of forms received: 15

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	80.00	20.00	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	86.67	13.33	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	66.67	33.33	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	73.33	26.67	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	71.43	28.57	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	71.43	28.57	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	62.50	37.50	-	-
c. Up to date	71.43	28.57	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	80.00	20.00	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	63.64	27.27	9.09	-

III STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	86.67	13.33	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz:				
i. Break out Group discussion was	73.33	26.67	-	-
ii. Interactive sessions were fruitful	66.67	33.33	-	-
iii. Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	66.67	26.67	6.67	-
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	73.33	26.67	-	-
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	73.33	26.67	-	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	53.33	46.67	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	50.00	50.00	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	80.00	20.00	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

<p>a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How to categorize and dispose matter effectively/ specifically. 2. 1. Need for formal introduction and institutionalization of ADR in CAT; 2. Digitization of Records. 3. 1. The concern for speedy disposal; 2. Use of modern technology; 3. Israel emerging as source of learning in legal matters. 4. 1. Interaction; 2. Study materials; 3. Meeting other judges. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Natural justice 7. Natural justice 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Rejuvenated to learn more. 10. Meeting colleagues and hearing their experience; Learning about NJA. 11. Getting fresh perspective 12. Experience sharing 13. Participant did not comment 14. Award given 15. i. Nuances of litigation; ii. Case laws; iii. Anecdotes
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sessions were very fruitful. 2. Session on Judicial Reviews, Natural Justice and judicial discretion. 3. Professor Singh giving academic inputs. 4. Interaction 5. Participant did not comment. 6. E-Courts 7. E-courts 8. Participant did not comment. 9. All 10. Computerization of Delhi HC, District Court.

	<p>11. Lectures that contained lessons brought out through personal experience.</p> <p>12. All sessions</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment</p> <p>14. Justice S. Murlidhar</p> <p>15. The Art of Judgment ; E- courts</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Group interaction because of lack of time allotted.</p> <p>4. Lectures. Practical problems need discussion and spending time with the help of more experience persons.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Judgment writing</p> <p>7. Judgment writing</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Writing judgments; (at this age, we already have developed a writing style).</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment</p> <p>15. All were useful</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Former CAT members may be invited.</p> <p>2. 1. Longer duration of Programme; 2. Enlarge resource panel; mix of former CAT members (Administrative and Judicial Members)</p> <p>3. Local sight seeing be arranged.</p> <p>4. Do not depend on the Administration minority. Please interact with Hon Chairman. We need better treatment regarding our journey and protocol as we have the status of High Court judges.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Longer duration programmes.</p>

	<p>7. Longer duration programmes</p> <p>8. Programme needs a bit more time. Say 3 days.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Discuss exhibition of case law in important areas of service law and legal principles. (Discuss contradictions in judgments).</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Advance communication with participants and apprising them of what to expect and what can be done to meet their expectations.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Already given.</p> <p>15. Please make it a week's programme, so that there will be more interaction with Resource Persons, and among participants.</p>
--	--