

National Judicial Academy

P-1022: Colloquium in Develop Guidelines on Exercise of Supervisory Power over the
Subordinate Courts
22nd – 23rd April, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Paiker Nasir, Research Fellow
No. of Participants : 19
No. of forms received : 17

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	94.12	5.88	-	7. Good Programme
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	94.12	5.88	--	7. It is good for me.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	62.50	37.50	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	75.00	25.00	-	7. Good for me.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	94.12	5.88	-	7. Good
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	64.71	35.29	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	53.33	46.67	-	-
c. Up to date	50.00	50.00	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	78.57	21.43	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	36.36	63.64	-	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	88.24	11.76	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case Studies were relevant	61.54	38.46	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	87.50	12.50	-	-
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	81.25	18.75	-	-
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	100.00	-	-	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	88.24	11.76	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	76.47	23.53	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	93.33	6.67	-	-
VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1 Effect of Art. 235 and Art. 227; Practical tips regarding inspection as Adm. Judge; Exchanging of ideas by judges from different jurisdiction.</p> <p>2. Some Ideas have been generated on the operation of Act 235 better ideas for inspection and ACR writing.</p> <p>3. To open a broad line for effective inspection for writing ACR properly.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Interactive session churns out new ideas.</p> <p>7. 1. I Could learn from the Hon'ble High Court Judge how to control the lower courts; 2. Powers of High Court under of Art. 235; High Court should not intimidate the lower courts.</p>			

	<p>8. Best practices of other High Courts; Approach towards the District Judiciary is reoriented; Some misconceptions are clarified.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Reordering of ACR; Annual Inspection; Guidelines to be issued to the subordinate judiciary.</p> <p>12. Interactive among judges; Academic exchange of views; Getting updated.</p> <p>13. Sharing of experiences of various States.</p> <p>14. 1. NJDG Information; 2. Role of Guardian Judge; 3.Updating Knowledge and skills to improve district Judiciary in the State.</p> <p>15. 1.Over all supervisory skills; 2. New best practices adopted by other States; 3. Writing of annual confidential report.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. 1. Effect of Article 235; 2. Constitutional scheme with regard to High Courts vis-a-vis Subordinate courts; 3. Ideal role of a Zonal/Guardian Judge.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. The last day interactive sessions.</p> <p>3. For developing guideline.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Entire Programme.</p> <p>7. 1st day programme is good.</p> <p>8. All sessions are useful.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Role of Guardian Judges.</p> <p>12. All Programmes.</p> <p>13. Interaction.</p> <p>14. All Six sessions were useful.</p> <p>15. Session 2: Judicial Performance Assessment: Role of Guardian Judges & Session 4: ACRs: Enhancing Objectivity and Catalysing Excellence ---because it was new field.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Nil.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. The introducing session.</p>

<p>find least useful and why</p>	<p>3. Session 6: <i>Developing Guidelines for Exercise of Supervisory Power: Way Forward</i></p> <p>4. Participant did not comment. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Nil 7. Participant did not comment. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. None as they were interrelated. 12. None 13. NA. 14. None 15. Nil 16. Participant did not comment. 17. Nil.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1 Send material through e-mail before the conference; Improve Air conditioning.</p> <p>2. The interactive session and deliberations should in a structured form having special focus on the topic.</p> <p>3. Everything is excellent.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment. 5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Advocates may also be trained on adopting but practices in High Courts.</p> <p>7. No. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. The programme was comprehensive and study material were relevant to the topic.</p> <p>12. Its fine.</p> <p>13. Would be more affection if all the participants are having experience in this particular subject.</p> <p>14. No suggestion as everything at NJA is fine. 15. Nil at present. 16. Participant did not comment. 17. Send material by email to judges well in advance.</p>