

National Judicial Academy

P 985 Conference to Resolve Cleavage in Judicial Pronouncement by Different High Courts : 29th April-1st May, 2016

Prog Coordinator	Ms. Pragya Aishwarya & Mr. Sanmit Seth, Law Associates
No. of Participants	14
No. of forms received	10

General Suggestions

4	<p>Give your views on the structure of the programme and sessions included:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Too open and not well defined. 2. Programme could have been structured in better manner and on wider issues. Not worth spending two and half days for HC judges. 3. Subject is very interesting and useful. However the different sessions are overlapping. In my view, much case law on Conflicting judgments should have been discussed in one or two sessions to analyze why such conflicting views were expressed in those decisions. Further, no remarkable exercise has been undertaken to arrive at a solution to prevent the conflicting judgments and to achieve judicial discipline. 4. Nicely arranged. It was a meaningful programme. All the sessions were full of very useful deliberations. 5. Structurally fine but could have been a two day programme. 6. Programme too long- 3 days. Leads to repetition/overlapping. 7. Good. Too many speakers in a programme may be avoided. 8. All the sessions should have been divided on sub-theme, to avoid repetition. 9. Good 10. Very enlightening
5	<p>What are your gains/learning from this programme?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Richer for the experience. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Different speakers have only highlighted the problem of conflicting judgments undermining the judicial discipline without suggesting the remedial measures. So, no much gain. 4. Learning is an unending process. It was a rich experience. 5. Clarity on several aspects of conflict/dissent/precedenting value of judgments was achieved. 6. Cross country exposure is always good and adds to knowledge bank and views. 7. Good learning. 8. Exchange, the learning process was very stimulating. 9. Good. Certainly there is value addition. 10. Learnt intricacies.
6	<p>Kindly make and Suggestion you may have on how NJA may Serve You better and make the Programme more effective:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More research and greater intellectual intent. 2. For widening the horizons, the experts from other non-legal fields may be invited to address the judges; Let there be resolutions passed at the end of the programme as to the consensus arrived at by the group on the subjects of the programme. 3. 1.By sending study material at least 15 days in advance; 2. Dividing the sessions different topics wise touching different facets of the subject instead of couching overlapping them with different tags; 3. Each participant officer must be given opportunity to express his views. 4. Reading material should be made available to the participating judges in advance. It will help all and there would be more effective discussion. 5. Probably programme should be finalized after receiving inputs from present and past

		<p>participants especially on issues which will require longer deliberations.</p> <p>6. An annual survey of development of law, in a year, at the level of Supreme Court and various High Courts should be prepared and circulated to all judges, maybe through email.</p> <p>7. I shall send it later.</p> <p>8. All the reading materials or book (e-book) on website.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment</p>
7	Any other Suggestion / Remarks	<p>1. Keep it up.</p> <p>2. Though the other Room Services and facilities have improved, the interior décor of the rooms with pink colour is difficult to appreciate.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment</p> <p>4. Scope of improvement is always there in every system and at all of its levels. Do a little introspection, analyse the mistakes committed and try to correct. Wish you all the best.</p> <p>5. None for the present.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment</p> <p>7. No</p> <p>8. No</p> <p>9. Over all good. Nice learning experience.</p> <p>10. Nil</p>

SNo	Particulars	Respon- dent	Marks out of 5	Remarks
1	Reading Material	04	04	Should be made available in advance.
		08	03	It should have contained relevant judgments.
2	Travel	-	-	-
4	Protocol	-	-	-
5	Reception	-	-	-
6	Cleanliness	05	04	Housekeeping should remove carpets and clean the rooms.
7	Food	-	-	-
8	Hygiene	05	03	The catering staff to be counselled on how to handle cups/glasses. One person was handling bread with bare hands thorough out.

Programme & Hospitality Feedback												
1	Mark Your Satisfaction, Ranking from 1 (Very Poor), 2 (Poor), 3 (Fair enough), 4 (good), 5 (Excellent), for the following:											
2	No. of Participant										14	
3	No. of forms received										10	
	Respondent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total marks out of 50
S. No.	Subject	Marks										
1	Reading Material	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	35/50
2	Travel	-	4	-	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	29/50
3	Protocol	5	4	4	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	45/50
4	Reception	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	5	5	3	42/50
5	Cleanliness	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	5	4	4	42/50
6	Food	4	4	3	5	3	3	4	4	5	3	38/50
7	Hygiene	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	5	5	3	40/50
8	Staff Behavior	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	5	4	3	41/50
9	Hospitality	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	3	43/50