

National Judicial Academy

Colloquium for Judges heading the State Court Management System Committee and Member Judges of the NCMS Committee : November 12 -13, 2015

Prog Coordinator	Ms. Ruchi Singh, Law Associate	
No. of Participants	22	
No. of forms received	13	
General Suggestion		
4	Give your views on the structure of the programme and sessions included:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Good 2. Very constructive and helpful to know the views form various High Courts. 3. Meticulously planned 4. This programme is very useful because it is on a new dimension to enrich the judicial system as a whole. 5. Respondent did not comment. 6. Good 7. Got valuable inputs but concentration must be on backlog, which is bring bad name to the judiciary. 8. Respondent did not comment. 9. Useful 10. Very good. Well organized 11. Well structured programme. All elements of court management system included. 12. Sensitization programme for 5 plus zero initiative needs to be organized twice a year. 13. Fairly good.
5	What are your gains/learning from this programme?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A lot 2. Respondent did not comment. 3. Got sensitisation the goals to be achieved to the judiciary through NCMS and SCMS. 4. Thoughts and ideas from all other High Courts about NCMS and SCMS. 5. Respondent did not comment. 6. Well informed of the NCMS norm. Enlightened on the deliberations. 7. Got insight into the system/functioning. 8. Respondent did not comment. 9. Closer understanding of the rule of SCMC and its responsibilities. 10. By showing vies, we get lot of information and it will help in improving the functioning of personal as well as Court. 11. This programme has helped in sensitization of Chairman and members of SCMS ; Got many ideas for effective implementation of SCMS. 12. It will sensitize us for improving the infrastructure, management and case tracking system of subordinate courts. 13. Great idea and great learning about the subject.
6	Kindly make and Suggestion you may have on how NJA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Very enlightening.

	<p>may Serve You better and make the Programme more effective:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Can the proceedings (even if short/brief/summary) be available in the NJA website so that it may be accessible to other judges who may not be able to participate in these programmes. 3. Respondent did not comment. 4. In my view it is functioning well. 5. Respondent did not comment. 6. Programme should be made on line to the State Academy 7. Resource persons can be Supreme Court judges/ Chief Justice or Chairman of NCMS. 8. Reading material should be sent 10 days before by mail to every High Court. 9. Reading/Reference material could either be sent vide email or hard copies supplied before we come to NJA. It may enable us to read before coming to NJA. 10. NJA is doing well. 11. Over and above discussion, audio visual presentation will also make programme more effective. 12. Going good. 13. To maintain the recent work.
<p>7</p>	<p>Any other Suggestion / Remarks</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Respondent did not comment. 2. Respondent did not comment. 3. Respondent did not comment. 4. Nothing 5. Respondent did not comment. 6. Respondent did not comment. 7. Let such interaction be on a regular basis. 8. Respondent did not comment. 9. Respondent did not comment. 10. Respondent did not comment. 11. NJA may explore possibility of having evening dinner with light entertainment such as musical evening programme, if possible. 12. Respondent did not comment. 13. Nil

SNo	Particulars	Respon- dent	Marks out of 5	Remarks
1	Reading Material	3	4/5	Should have been sent in advance
		6	3/5	Should have been made available in advance.
2	Travel	7	4/5	Drive from Airport to NJA was little rough
4	Protocol	-	-	-
5	Reception	-	-	-
6	Cleanliness			
7	Food	4	4/5	Non veg items may be kept.
		10	3/5	Requires better quality of food. Specially non oily food.
8	Hygiene			
9	Staff Behaviour	-	-	-
10	Hospitality	-	-	-
11	Others	-	-	-

P-960 Programme & Hospitality Feedback Mark Your Satisfaction, Ranging from 1(Very Poor), 2 (Poor), 3 (Fair enough),4 (good), 5 (Excellent),for the following: (0 Indicates no response)																
2	No.of Participant	22														
3	No. of forms received	13														
	Respondent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total mark. out of 60	
No.	Subject						Marks									
1	Reading Material	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	0	3	4	5	2	2	42/60	
2	Travel	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	0	4	3	4	3	3	45/60	
3	Protocol	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	0	4	4	5	4	4	53/60	
4	Reception	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	0	4	4	5	3	3	49/60	
5	Cleanliness	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	0	3	5	4	2	2	47/60	
6	Food	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	0	4	3	5	2	4	46/60	
7	Hygiene	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	0	4	4	4	2	2	45/60	
8	Staff Behavior	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	0	3	4	4	3	4	49/60	
9	Hospitality	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	0	4	4	4	3	4	51/60	